



RADEMIKIBART

PROTOCOL CBP-201-206

A Phase 2, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel-group, Placebo-controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rademikibart as an Add-on Treatment for Acute Exacerbation in Adult and Adolescent Participants with Asthma and Type 2 Inflammation



Investigational Product:	Rademikibart (CBP-201)
Protocol Number:	CBP-201-206
IND #:	154808
Development Phase:	2
Sponsor:	Connect Biopharm LLC (dba, Connect Biopharma) 3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130 USA
Version and Date:	3.1, 23 September 2025

Confidentiality Statement This document, including the information contained herein, is confidential and proprietary information of the Sponsor and is subject to the terms of the applicable confidentiality agreement you entered into with respect to this trial. This document is being provided to you as a potential Investigator or consultant for review by you or your designee(s) and affiliated institutional review board or independent ethics committee. Do not copy or distribute this document without Sponsor's prior written consent. Any unauthorized use, reproduction, publication, or dissemination is strictly prohibited.

SIGNATURE PAGE

PROTOCOL TITLE: A Phase 2, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel-group, Placebo-controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rademikibart as an Add-on Treatment for Acute Exacerbation in Adult and Adolescent Participants with Asthma and Type 2 Inflammation

PROTOCOL NUMBER: CBP-201-206

PROTOCOL VERSION: 3.1 (dated 23 September 2025)

The protocol has been approved by Connect Biopharma.

Sponsor's Authorized Officer:

Signed by:

Kimberly Manhard



Signer Name: Kimberly Manhard
Signing Reason: I approve this document
Signing Time: 9/23/2025 | 9:30:34 AM PDT

9A274C00844A4DD39990CF408669E960

Kimberly J Manhard
Chief Development Officer

INVESTIGATOR'S AGREEMENT

PROTOCOL TITLE: A Phase 2, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel-group, Placebo-controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rademikibart as an Add-on Treatment for Acute Exacerbation in Adult and Adolescent Participants with Asthma and Type 2 Inflammation

PROTOCOL NUMBER: CBP-201-206

PROTOCOL VERSION: 3.1 (dated 23 September 2025)

1. All documentation for this trial that is supplied to me and that has not been previously published will be kept in the strictest confidence. This documentation includes this trial protocol, Investigator's Brochure(s) (IB), electronic Case Report Forms (eCRFs), and scientific data not in the public domain.
2. The trial will not commence without the prior written approval of a properly constituted Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Independent Ethics Committee (IEC). No changes will be made to the trial protocol without the prior written approval of the Sponsor and the IRB/IEC, except where necessary to avert an immediate hazard to the participants.
3. I have read the protocol, and I agree that (a) I am qualified by education, experience, and training to conduct this trial and (b) the trial will be conducted in compliance with the protocol and in accordance with the principles of the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki (Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects), and with all other government, local and regional regulations.
4. I acknowledge that I am responsible for the overall trial conduct. I agree to personally conduct or supervise the described clinical trial. I agree to ensure that all associates, colleagues and employees assisting in the conduct of the trial at my site are informed about their obligations. Mechanisms are in place to ensure that site staff receive the appropriate information throughout the trial. I will provide copies of the protocol, IB, and all other information on the investigational product (IP) that were furnished to me by the Sponsor to all physicians and other trial personnel responsible to me who participate in this trial and will discuss this material with them to ensure that they are fully informed regarding the IP and the conduct of the trial.
5. I agree to keep records on all participant information (eg, medical records, eCRFs, and informed consent/assent statements), IP shipment and return forms, and all other information collected during the trial in accordance with local and national Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines.

Reviewed by:

Printed Name of Investigator and Research Center

Signature of Investigator

Date

1. SYNOPSIS

Sponsor:	Connect Biopharma 3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130 USA
Protocol Number:	CBP-201-206
Trial Title:	A Phase 2, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Parallel-group, Placebo-controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rademikibart as an Add-on Treatment for Acute Exacerbation in Adult and Adolescent Participants with Asthma and Type 2 Inflammation
Short Title:	Rademikibart Add-on Treatment of an Acute Asthma Exacerbation (Seabreeze STAT Asthma)
Trial Phase:	2
Interventional Treatment:	Rademikibart (CBP-201) is a recombinant monoclonal antibody that binds human interleukin (IL)-4 receptor alpha (R α), a common subunit of the IL-4 and IL-13 receptor dimers. Rademikibart blocks signaling from both IL-4 and IL-13, which are thought to play a role in the pathogenesis of type 2 inflammatory airway diseases (such as asthma) where the up-regulation of IL-4 and IL-13 activity has been implicated as an important inflammatory component in disease progression.
Planned Number of Trial Sites(s):	Approximately 50 sites.
Planned Participant Enrollment:	Approximately 160 participants will be randomized, which may be adjusted following the planned interim analysis (IA) due to a sample size re-estimation.
Population:	Adolescent (≥ 12 to ≤ 17 years weighing ≥ 40 kg) and adult (≥ 18 to ≤ 75 years) participants with asthma characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype who require an urgent healthcare visit for treatment of an acute exacerbation. This trial will screen participants through 2 different channels: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition. 2. Participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial at an urgent healthcare visit for acute asthma exacerbation.
Trial Duration:	For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition: up to 34 weeks, which includes a Screening Period of up to 26 weeks, a 28-day Treatment Assessment Period, and a 28-day Follow-up Period. For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial during the urgent healthcare visit for an acute asthma exacerbation: approximately 8 weeks, which includes a Screening Period of up to 48 hours, a 28-day Treatment Assessment Period, and a 28-day Follow-up Period.
Trial Design; Interventional	This is a Phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, interventional trial in participants with an acute asthma

<p>Treatment Dosing Schedules</p>	<p>exacerbation with type 2 inflammation to compare rademikibart plus standard therapy to standard therapy alone (plus placebo), targeting an acute asthma exacerbation in the urgent healthcare setting.</p> <p>For the purposes of this trial, an urgent healthcare setting may include an emergency department (ED), a hospital inpatient ward, an urgent healthcare facility, or an outpatient clinic equipped to provide the level of care specified in this protocol.</p> <p>The trial is designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a single 600 mg subcutaneous (SC) dose (administered as 4 separate 150 mg injections) of rademikibart as adjunct therapy to standard therapy in adolescent and adult participants with asthma characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype (peripheral blood eosinophil count ≥ 300 cells/μL at Screening Visit 1b or as part of the assessment of the index acute asthma exacerbation within 48 hours prior to Screening) who require an urgent healthcare visit for an acute asthma exacerbation.</p> <p>Approximately 160 adolescent and adult participants will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to 1 of the following double-blinded treatment groups, stratified by age (≥ 18 vs < 18 years old) and baseline smoking status (current smokers vs former smokers or non-smokers):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rademikibart 600 mg dose administered as 4 SC injections of 1 mL (150 mg) each. • Matching placebo administered as 4 SC injections of 1 mL each. <p>Investigational product (IP; rademikibart 600 mg SC or placebo SC) will be administered on Day 0. The participant's forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) must be $\geq 30\%$ predicted prior to administration of the IP.</p> <p>One IA is planned when approximately 80 participants have completed the Week 4 Visit, and the final analysis for the trial will be conducted after all participants have completed Week 8 or the End of Trial (EOT) Visit.</p>							
<p>Trial Objectives and Endpoints:</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Objectives</th> <th>Endpoints</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <p>Primary Objective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on the treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. </td> <td> <p>Primary Endpoint</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization. </td> </tr> <tr> <td> <p>Secondary Objectives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to </td> <td> <p>Secondary Endpoints</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of new asthma exacerbations in the </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Objectives	Endpoints	<p>Primary Objective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on the treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<p>Primary Endpoint</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization. 	<p>Secondary Objectives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to 	<p>Secondary Endpoints</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of new asthma exacerbations in the 	
Objectives	Endpoints							
<p>Primary Objective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on the treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<p>Primary Endpoint</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization. 							
<p>Secondary Objectives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to 	<p>Secondary Endpoints</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of new asthma exacerbations in the 							

	<p>standard therapy on subsequent asthma exacerbations in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation.</p>	<p>28 days after randomization.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time to the first new asthma exacerbation in the 28 days after randomization. • Mean CFB in morning/evening asthma symptom score and nocturnal awakenings (e-diary) at Week 1, Week 2, and Week 4. • Absolute CFB in post-BD FEV₁ at Day 3, Week 1, and Week 4.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the safety of rademikibart compared to placebo when administered as an adjunct to standard therapy in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of AEs, including SAEs, AESIs, and DILI reported. • Incidence of UADEs. • Incidence of injection site reactions. • Changes in laboratory safety parameters. • Changes in vital signs, physical examinations, and ECG parameters.
<p>AE = adverse event; AESI = adverse event of special interest; BD = bronchodilator; CFB = change from baseline; DILI = drug-induced liver injury; ECG = electrocardiogram; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; SAE = serious adverse event; UADE = unanticipated adverse device effect.</p>		
<p>Eligibility Criteria:</p>	<p><u>Inclusion Criteria</u></p> <p>Participants entering the trial who are in stable condition (Channel 1) or who are experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation (Channel 2) are eligible to be included in the trial only if ALL of the following criteria apply, unless specified:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Written informed consent/assent obtained prior to performing any protocol-related procedures. 2. Adults and adolescents ≥12 to ≤75 years at the time of signing the informed consent/assent. 3. Body weight of ≥40 kg at Screening. 4. Physician-diagnosed asthma with duration of ≥12 months (based on the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) 2024 Guidelines) by medical chart or participant report. 	

	<p>5. Currently receiving treatment with low, medium, to high dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS; equivalent ICS doses as per GINA 2024 Guidelines) in combination with at least 1 additional asthma controller medication (eg, long-acting beta agonist [LABA], leukotriene receptor antagonist [LTRA], long-acting muscarinic antagonist [LAMA], or theophylline), for at least 30 days prior to the Screening Visit (to be checked at both Visit 1a and Visit 1b).</p> <p>6. Must have experienced at least 1 asthma exacerbation requiring the use of systemic corticosteroids (oral or parenteral) within the previous 12 months prior to Screening.</p> <p><i>Note: If participant is maintained on oral corticosteroids (OCS), exacerbation requiring an increase in dose of at least 2-fold is considered adequate to fulfill this criterion.</i></p> <p>7. For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition, must have current or historic evidence of spirometry confirming variable airflow limitation (any one of airflow reversibility FEV₁ change >200 mL and FEV₁% change of 12%; and/or positive Bronchial Provocation Test [eg, Methacholine Challenge Test], PC20 ≤8 mg/mL; and/or peak flow diurnal variation of ≥10%; and/or variable FEV₁/forced vital capacity [FVC] ratio), a documented historical peripheral blood eosinophil count of ≥250 cells/μL and/or a FeNO ≥25 ppb within 12 months prior to Screening Visit 1a.</p> <p>8. In the opinion of the Investigator, participant is willing and able to comply with all trial visits and trial-related procedures (such as, willing and able to perform entries of daily e-diary patient-reported outcomes [PROs]).</p> <p>9. Women of childbearing potential, unless surgically sterile and/or at least 2 years postmenopausal, should have a confirmed negative serum beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) test at Screening Visits 1a and/or 1b and agree to use a highly effective method of avoiding pregnancy from trial recruitment through Day 56.</p> <p>a. A female is considered of childbearing potential following menarche and until becoming postmenopausal unless permanently sterile. Permanent sterilization methods include hysterectomy, bilateral salpingectomy and bilateral oophorectomy.</p> <p>b. Adolescent females specific: If a female has reached menarche or has reached Tanner stage 3 breast development (even without reaching menarche), the participant will be considered as a woman of childbearing potential.</p> <p>Additional Inclusion Criteria for Current Acute Asthma Exacerbation</p> <p>10. Current acute asthma exacerbation requiring an urgent healthcare visit for treatment.</p> <p><i>Note: Asthma exacerbation must be the primary reason for the urgent healthcare visit. For the purposes of this trial, an urgent healthcare setting may include an ED, a hospital inpatient ward, an urgent</i></p>
--	--

healthcare facility, or an outpatient clinic equipped to provide the level of care specified in this protocol.

11. Peripheral blood eosinophil count of ≥ 300 cells/ μL at Screening Visit 1b or as part of the assessment of an index acute asthma exacerbation within 48 hours prior to Screening Visit 1b.

Note: Where possible, it is advisable to obtain eosinophil count prior to the administration of corticosteroids.

12. Requires systemic corticosteroid as standard-of-care (SoC) in the urgent healthcare setting to treat the current acute asthma exacerbation.

13. FEV₁ $\geq 30\%$ predicted prior to receiving IP on Day 0.

Exclusion Criteria

Participants entering the trial who are in stable condition (Channel 1) or who are experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation (Channel 2) who meet any of the following criteria will be ineligible to participate in this trial:

1. Previously received rademikibart; or a known history of systemic hypersensitivity or anaphylaxis to any biologic therapy, including any excipients.
2. Regular use of immunosuppressive medication (including but not limited to maintenance daily prednisolone [>10 mg per day], hydrocortisone, azathioprine, or weekly methotrexate) 12 weeks or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer.

Note: Participants requiring maintenance OCS with a stable dose ≤ 10 mg/day prednisone or equivalent OCS in addition to ICS are eligible.

3. Scheduled elective surgery or other procedures requiring general anesthesia during the trial.
4. Previous intubation for a severe asthma exacerbation.
5. Unstable ischemic heart disease, cardiomyopathy, heart failure (New York Heart Association Class III or IV), uncontrolled hypertension, which in the opinion of the Investigator, may put the participant at risk or negatively affect the outcome of the trial. Cardiac arrhythmias including paroxysmal (eg, intermittent) atrial fibrillation are excluded. Participants with persistent atrial fibrillation as defined by continuous atrial fibrillation for at least 6 months and controlled with a rate control strategy (ie, selective beta blocker, calcium channel blocker, pacemaker placement, digoxin or ablation therapy) and stable appropriate level of anticoagulation for at least 6 months may be considered for inclusion.
6. Any other unstable significant disease or disorder which, in the opinion of the Investigator, may either put the participants at risk because of participation in the trial, or may influence the result of the trial, or the participant's ability to participate in the trial.
7. Current or former smoker, has a smoking history at the time of signing the informed consent/assent form including:
 - a. If <30 years old: Smoked for ≥ 5 pack-years.
 - b. If ≥ 30 years old: Smoked for ≥ 10 pack-years.

	<p><i>Note: This includes tobacco, marijuana, and vaping products.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. COPD and other clinically significant pulmonary disease other than asthma (including but not limited to lung cancer, pulmonary fibrosis, bronchiectasis as primary respiratory problem, active pulmonary tuberculosis, cystic fibrosis, obesity hypoventilation syndrome)9. A chest X-ray or computed tomography (CT) within 12 months of screening or at Screening Visit 1a and/or 1b with findings that are inconsistent for an asthmatic population.10. Another clinically significant pulmonary or systemic disease associated with an elevated peripheral blood eosinophil count (eg, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, hyper-eosinophilic syndrome, and helminth infection).11. Known or suspected history of immunosuppression, including history of invasive opportunistic infections (eg, tuberculosis, histoplasmosis, listeriosis, coccidioidomycosis, pneumocystosis, aspergillosis), despite infection resolution; or unusually frequent, recurrent or prolonged infections, in the opinion of the Investigator.12. History of known immunodeficiency disorder (including HIV-1 or HIV-2).13. Known medical history of hepatitis B or C.14. History of alcohol abuse and/or drug abuse within 12 months prior to Screening Visit 1a and/or 1b.15. History of cancer except basal cell carcinoma or in situ carcinoma of the cervix treated with apparent success with curative therapy >1 year prior to entry or other malignancies treated with apparent success with curative therapy >5 years prior to entry. <p>Additional Exclusion Criteria for Current Acute Asthma Exacerbation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">16. Fever recorded as >38°C and/or a suspected pulmonary infection (chest radiograph demonstrating consolidation).17. Any condition (ie, respiratory failure necessitating non-invasive or invasive ventilation, or impending hemodynamic compromise, or impending intensive care unit [ICU] admission) that, in the opinion of the Investigator, would interfere with evaluation of the IP or interpretation of trial results.18. Evidence that current acute asthma attack is due to aspirin-induced asthma.19. Evidence that current asthma episode is an anaphylactoid/anaphylactic reaction presenting with acute bronchospasm.20. Known exposure to inhaled occupational agents or fumes with an established diagnosis of occupational asthma, or asthma exacerbation due to acute inhalational exposure.
--	---

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 21. Prolonged QTc interval (male >450 msec, female >470 msec, Fredericia correction); any other clinically significant abnormalities in electrocardiogram (ECG) at Screening, in the opinion of the Investigator. 22. An alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) level $\geq 2.5 \times$ the upper limit of normal (ULN) and/or bilirubin level $\geq 1.5 \times$ ULN at Screening Visit 1b 23. Any other acute illness other than an acute asthma exacerbation at the Screening Visit 1b. 24. Any clinically significant abnormal findings in physical examination, vital signs, hematology, clinical chemistry or urinalysis, which in the opinion of the Investigator, may put the participant at risk because of their participation, or may influence the results of the trial, or the ability to complete the duration of the trial. 25. Female participant who is pregnant, lactating or breast-feeding, or has a positive urinary β-hCG prior to randomization. 26. Evidence of clinically significant non-respiratory active infection, including ongoing chronic infection. 27. Receipt of any marketed nonbiologic drug that modulates type 2 cytokines (eg, suplatast tosilate) 30 days or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer. 28. Receipt of any marketed biologic drug (eg, omalizumab, mepolizumab, benralizumab, reslizumab, dupilumab, tezepelumab, or other monoclonal antibody) or any investigational biologic for asthma or other diseases within 16 weeks or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer. 29. Live, attenuated vaccinations within 4 weeks prior to randomization or planned live, attenuated vaccinations during the trial. 30. Participants that have been treated with bronchial thermoplasty in the last 12 months prior to Visit 1b. 31. Treatment with OCS and/or hospitalization for an exacerbation of asthma (not for the index acute asthma exacerbation) completed less than 4 weeks prior to randomization. 32. Donation of blood, plasma or platelets within 90 days prior to randomization. 33. Receipt of intravenous immunoglobulin or blood products within 30 days before randomization into the trial. 34. Receipt of any investigational nonbiologic drug within 30 days or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer.
<p>IPs and Route of Administration:</p>	<p>Rademikibart drug product is provided as a colorless-to-pale yellow sterile solution at 150 mg/mL concentration in a 1 mL single-dose pre-filled syringe (PFS) that delivers 1.0 mL solution.</p> <p>Placebo for rademikibart is provided as colorless-to-pale yellow sterile solution containing the same excipients as the rademikibart drug product in a 1 mL single-dose PFS that delivers 1.0 mL solution.</p>

	The IPs will be administered by SC injection.
Trial Evaluation	
Efficacy:	<p>Efficacy will be assessed via evaluations of the treatment failure rate, acute asthma exacerbations, spirometry assessments, asthma symptoms and asthma control, peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurements, PROs, and healthcare resource utilization and economics per the Schedule of Assessments. PRO assessments carried out at trial visits will be completed as the first assessment in the visit schedule before spirometry or any other trial procedure.</p> <p><u>Treatment Failure:</u> Treatment failure is defined as death due to any cause, (re)admission to a hospital for asthma, ED (re)visit or unscheduled medical visit for worsening of asthma symptoms, or the necessity to intensify pharmacologic treatment (including second course of systemic steroids for asthma exacerbation) within 28 days after randomization.</p> <p><u>Acute Exacerbation:</u> Asthma exacerbation is defined by protocol as either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Worsening of asthma which requires use of systemic corticosteroids (tablets, suspension, or injection) for at least 3 consecutive days (a single depo-injectable dose of corticosteroids will be considered equivalent to a 3-day burst of systemic corticosteroids). <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A hospitalization or ED visit because of asthma, requiring systemic corticosteroids. <p>For those participants on OCS at the start of the trial, only a ≥ 2-fold increase in dosage in response to symptoms will fulfill the definition of exacerbation.</p> <p>Exacerbations separated by less than 7 days will be treated as a continuation of the same exacerbation. Courses of corticosteroids separated by 7 days or more should be treated as separate acute exacerbations.</p> <p><u>Spirometry:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-bronchodilator (pre-BD; prior to using albuterol [if needed] and/or other BDs for their asthma) measured parameters, including FEV₁ and FVC. • Post-bronchodilator (post-BD) measured parameters, including FEV₁ and FVC. <p><u>PEF Measurements at Home:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Morning and evening PEF measurements. <p><u>PROs:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inhalations of short-acting rescue medications. • Morning and evening symptom scores. • Modified Borg Dyspnea Scale

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asthma Control Questionnaire 5-question version. <p><u>Healthcare Resource Utilization and Economics:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasons and duration of hospitalizations and ED visits. • Length (hours) of stay in ED per visit. • Unscheduled visits for urgent care primarily related to asthma symptoms. • Unscheduled calls primarily related to asthma symptoms. • Total length (hours) of stay in ED over the 28-day Treatment Assessment Period. • ICU admission rate. • Time to ready-for-discharge in hospitalized participants based on clinical assessment and lung function tests.
Safety:	<p>Safety will be evaluated by assessment of reported adverse events (AEs), including serious adverse events (SAEs) and AEs of special interest (AESIs), drug-induced liver injury (DILI), unanticipated adverse device effects (UADEs), safety laboratory evaluations (hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis), vital signs, physical examinations including injection site evaluation, oxygen saturation detected via pulse oximetry, ECG, and concomitant medication/treatment review.</p>
Immunogenicity:	<p>Immunogenicity will be evaluated by assessment of anti-drug antibodies (ADAs) and, if applicable, neutralizing antibodies (nAbs).</p>
Pharmacokinetics (PK):	<p>Blood samples for PK assessments will be collected prior to administration of IP and post-dose at the specified timepoints. Plasma samples for determination of rademikibart concentrations will be analyzed by a laboratory using a validated method.</p>
Pharmacodynamics:	<p>Biomarkers of type 2 inflammation will be assessed over the course of the participants' treatment including fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNo), peripheral blood eosinophil count, eotaxin-3, C-reactive protein, total immunoglobulin E, and thymus and activation-regulated chemokine. FeNo will be measured over the course of the participant's treatment.</p>
Concomitant Medications or Therapies:	<p><u>Background Therapy</u></p> <p>Prior to administration of IP, all participants will receive the standard therapy for acute asthma exacerbation as described in the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Expert Report 3 and 2020 Focused Updates to the Asthma Management Guidelines.</p> <p><i>Note: SoC must be documented in the participant's source documentation and in the electronic case report form (eCRF). The use of other medications for treatment of the index asthma exacerbation and any subsequent asthma exacerbation(s), if applicable, is at the discretion of the Investigator or medically qualified health care personnel. Use of medication for treatment of subsequent exacerbations does not need to follow the SoC requirements for the index asthma exacerbation.</i></p> <p>Participants will be given the following:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oral/systemic prednisone: SoC for the index asthma exacerbation is defined for this protocol as treatment with oral/systemic corticosteroid[s] (prednisone 40 mg/day or equivalent) for 5 days; the dose and/or duration of prednisone (40 mg/day or equivalent) may be modified according to the Investigator's/medically qualified designee's judgement or according to local country/institution practice. The participants will be given enough oral prednisone (40 mg/day) to complete a 5-day course of treatment. • Inhaled rescue albuterol/salbutamol for the remainder of the trial. <p>Participants are instructed to continue their regular asthma maintenance treatments (eg, ICS-LABA, LAMA, LTRA, or theophylline) for the duration of the trial. At each follow-up visit, participants will be assessed specifically for the need to increase ICS or add additional controller medication(s), and this will be recorded.</p>
<p>Sample Size Determination:</p>	<p>To account for a 5% dropout rate, approximately 160 participants will be randomized to ensure that 152 participants are evaluable. Assuming a 22.5% treatment failure rate for the rademikibart group and 45% treatment failure rate in the placebo group up to 28 days after randomization, this sample size will provide at least 80% power to detect a difference, using a two-sided Type I error rate of 5%. Conditional power will be calculated during the IA and the final sample size will be defined according to the prespecified rules.</p>
<p>Statistical Methods:</p>	<p>Complete details of the statistical analyses and methods, including data conventions, will be contained in a Statistical Analysis Plan.</p> <p>Descriptive statistics will be used to summarize the safety, PK, and pharmacodynamic (PD) data. Demographic and baseline characteristics will be listed and summarized.</p> <p>One IA is planned to be performed when approximately 80 participants with an asthma exacerbation are enrolled into the trial and have completed the Week 4 Visit. During the IA, the treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization and the new asthma exacerbation rate over the 4-week period will be assessed, and conditional power will be calculated by an independent statistician. The independent statistician will compare the calculated conditional power with the prespecified sample size to determine the final sample size for the trial. The independent statistician and Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) will recommend to the Sponsor any changes required to the sample size. Results from the IA will be communicated to a limited number of the Sponsor's senior management team who are independent of the clinical trial team and will be identified in the unblinding plan before the IA is performed.</p> <p><u>Efficacy:</u> Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel chi-square tests will be used to compare treatment groups with respect to the proportion-based binary efficacy endpoints and a mixed model repeated measures or analysis of covariance will be used to compare treatment groups with respect to continuous efficacy endpoints. The asthma new exacerbation rate in the 28 days after randomization will be analyzed using a negative binomial regression model adjusting for randomization stratification factors and</p>

	<p>baseline characteristics. The time to first new exacerbation will be analyzed using a stratified log-rank test.</p> <p><u>Safety</u>: Safety data will be summarized using frequency tables (counts with percentages) and will be presented by treatment and scheduled time, if appropriate. AEs will be classified by Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA). Continuous safety data will be summarized using descriptive statistics by treatment and scheduled visits and timepoints, if applicable.</p> <p><u>PK</u>: Individual plasma concentrations will be plotted and summarized using descriptive statistics.</p> <p><u>PD</u>: Changes in serum levels of PD analytes and peripheral eosinophil counts will be summarized using descriptive statistics by treatment and scheduled time and, if appropriate, will be plotted by treatment.</p> <p><u>Immunogenicity</u>: Incidence and duration of treatment-emergent ADAs and nAbs will be summarized by treatment group.</p>
<p>Data Monitoring/Other Committee:</p>	<p>An independent DMC comprising members who are independent of the Sponsor and Investigators will be established for this trial. This committee will include externally based individuals with expertise in the diseases under trial, biostatistics, and/or clinical research.</p> <p>The primary responsibilities of the DMC are to review and evaluate the safety data during the trial, review IA results and make appropriate recommendations regarding the conduct of the clinical trial to the Sponsor. The DMC will also provide the Sponsor with appropriate recommendations on the conduct of the clinical trial to ensure the protection and safety of the participants enrolled in the trial. The DMC will also institute any measures that may be required for ensuring the integrity of the trial results during the trial execution.</p> <p>All activities and responsibilities of the DMC are to be described in the DMC charter.</p>

2. TABLE OF CONTENTS, LIST OF TABLES, AND LIST OF FIGURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SIGNATURE PAGE	2
INVESTIGATOR’S AGREEMENT	3
1. SYNOPSIS	4
2. TABLE OF CONTENTS, LIST OF TABLES, AND LIST OF FIGURES	15
3. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS.....	22
4. INTRODUCTION	26
4.1. Background.....	26
4.2. Summary of Benefits and Risks of Rademikibart	27
4.2.1. Nonclinical Experience.....	27
4.2.2. Clinical Experience.....	28
4.3. Rationales	29
4.3.1. Rationale for Clinical Development	29
4.3.2. Rationale for Trial Conduct.....	30
4.3.3. Rationale for Trial Design	31
4.3.4. Rationale for Dose	31
4.3.5. Rationale for Comparator	32
4.3.6. Rationale for Trial Population	32
4.4. Compliance	33
4.4.1. Regulatory Safety Reporting Requirements	33
4.4.2. Unanticipated Problems.....	33
4.4.3. Protocol Deviations	35
4.4.4. Regulatory Authority Approval.....	36
5. OBJECTIVES AND ENDPOINTS.....	37
6. INVESTIGATIONAL PLAN.....	40
6.1. Trial Design	40
6.2. Number of Participants	41
6.3. Treatment Assignment.....	41
6.4. Participant Duration.....	41
6.5. Dose Adjustment Criteria	42

6.5.1.	Safety Criteria for Adjustment or Stopping Doses	42
6.6.	Criteria for Trial Termination	42
6.7.	Data Monitoring Committee	42
7.	SELECTION AND WITHDRAWAL OF PARTICIPANTS	44
7.1.	Inclusion Criteria	44
7.2.	Exclusion Criteria	45
7.3.	Lifestyle Considerations	48
7.3.1.	Meals and Dietary Restrictions	48
7.3.2.	Caffeine, Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Habits	48
7.3.3.	Physical Activity	48
7.3.4.	Other Activity	48
7.4.	Screen Failures	48
7.5.	Discontinuation of IP	49
7.5.1.	Criteria for Permanent Discontinuation of IP	49
7.5.2.	Temporary Discontinuation or Interruption of IP	49
7.5.3.	Rechallenge	49
7.6.	Participant Withdrawal from the Trial	50
7.7.	Lost to Follow-Up	50
8.	TREATMENT OF PARTICIPANTS	51
8.1.	Description of Investigational Product	51
8.2.	Concomitant Medications	51
8.2.1.	Prohibited Concomitant Therapy	51
8.2.2.	Permitted Medications and Therapies	52
8.2.2.1.	Asthma Medications and Non-Drug Therapies	52
8.2.2.2.	Non-Asthma Medications and Non-Drug Therapies	53
8.2.2.3.	Rescue Therapy	53
8.3.	Treatment Compliance	54
8.4.	Randomization and Blinding	54
8.4.1.	Participant Assignment	54
8.4.2.	Randomization	54
8.4.3.	Blinding and Unblinding	54
8.4.4.	Emergency Unblinding	55
9.	INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT MATERIALS AND MANAGEMENT	56

9.1.	Investigational Product	56
9.2.	Investigational Product Packaging and Labeling	56
9.3.	Investigational Product Storage	56
9.4.	Investigational Product Preparation and Administration.....	57
9.5.	Investigational Product Accountability	57
9.6.	Investigational Product Handling and Disposal	57
10.	ASSESSMENT OF EFFICACY	58
10.1.	Treatment Failure.....	58
10.2.	Acute Exacerbation.....	58
10.3.	Spirometry	58
10.4.	PEF Measurements at Home	60
10.5.	Patient-reported Outcome Questionnaires	60
10.5.1.	Asthma Control Questionnaire 5-question Version (ACQ-5).....	61
10.5.2.	Modified Borg Dyspnea Scale.....	62
10.5.3.	Daily Diary of Symptoms	62
10.5.4.	Rescue Medication Use	62
10.6.	Healthcare Resource Utilization and Economics	63
10.6.1.	Time to Ready-for-Discharge in Hospitalized Participants.....	63
11.	ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY	64
11.1.	Screening and Eligibility Assessments.....	64
11.1.1.	Chest X-ray or CT	64
11.1.2.	Smoking Status Review	64
11.1.3.	Concomitant Medications.....	65
11.1.4.	Demographic/Medical and Surgical History and Other Baseline Characteristics.....	65
11.2.	Safety Parameters	65
11.2.1.	Vital Signs and Oxygen Saturation.....	65
11.2.2.	Height and Weight.....	66
11.2.3.	Physical Examination	66
11.2.4.	Electrocardiograms	66
11.2.5.	Injection Site Reaction Assessment.....	67
11.2.6.	Laboratory Assessments	67
11.2.7.	Pregnancy Screen.....	68

11.3.	Safety Reporting Definitions	68
11.3.1.	Adverse Event (AE).....	68
11.3.2.	Serious Adverse Event.....	70
11.3.3.	Adverse Event of Special Interest.....	70
11.3.4.	Suspected Drug-induced Liver Injury	71
11.3.5.	Unanticipated Adverse Device Effect	71
11.3.6.	Unexpected Adverse Event.....	71
11.4.	Relationship to Investigational Product.....	71
11.5.	Severity Assessment	72
11.6.	Recording Adverse Events	72
11.7.	Safety Reporting to the Sponsor of Serious Adverse Events and Other Safety Reports.....	73
11.7.1.	Serious Adverse Events	73
11.7.2.	Adverse Event of Special Interest.....	73
11.7.3.	Adverse Event of Drug-induced Liver Injury.....	74
11.7.4.	Unanticipated Adverse Device Effect	74
11.7.5.	Reporting Pregnancy	74
11.8.	Follow-Up of Adverse Events	74
12.	PHARMACOKINETICS, PHARMACODYNAMICS, AND IMMUNOGENICITY	76
12.1.	Pharmacokinetics	76
12.2.	Pharmacodynamics	76
12.2.1.	Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide.....	76
12.3.	Immunogenicity.....	76
13.	STATISTICS	77
13.1.	Primary Analysis Hypothesis	77
13.2.	Sample Size Determination	77
13.3.	Analysis Sets.....	78
13.4.	Statistical Analyses.....	78
13.4.1.	General Considerations.....	78
13.4.2.	Multiplicity Adjustment.....	79
13.4.3.	Disposition of Participants.....	79
13.4.4.	Demographics and Other Baseline Characteristics.....	79

13.4.5.	Primary Efficacy Endpoint	79
13.4.5.1.	Sensitivity Analyses.....	79
13.4.5.2.	Supplementary Analyses	80
13.4.6.	Secondary Efficacy Endpoints.....	80
13.4.6.1.	Supplementary Analyses	80
13.4.7.	Exploratory Efficacy Endpoints	80
13.4.8.	Safety Analysis	80
13.4.8.1.	Adverse Events	81
13.4.8.2.	Concomitant Medications	81
13.4.8.3.	Vital Signs	81
13.4.8.4.	Laboratory Values	81
13.4.8.5.	ECG Data.....	82
13.4.9.	Anti-drug Antibodies	82
13.4.10.	Pharmacodynamic Analysis.....	82
13.4.11.	Pharmacokinetic Analysis	82
13.5.	Other Analysis	83
13.5.1.	Subgroup Analysis.....	83
13.6.	Handling of Missing Data.....	83
13.7.	Interim Analysis.....	83
14.	DIRECT ACCESS TO SOURCE DATA/DOCUMENTS.....	84
14.1.	Trial Monitoring	84
14.2.	Audits and Inspections.....	85
14.3.	Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee Approval	85
15.	QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	86
15.1.	Trial Auditing	86
16.	ETHICS	87
16.1.	Ethics Review	87
16.1.1.	Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee Approval	87
16.2.	Ethical Conduct of the Trial	87
16.3.	Written Informed Consent/Assent	87
16.4.	Investigator Responsibilities and Documentation	88
17.	DATA HANDLING AND RECORDKEEPING	90
17.1.	Inspection of Records	90

17.2.	Source Documents and Retention of Records	90
17.2.1.	Financial Disclosure	90
18.	PUBLICATION AND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE POLICY	92
18.1.	Confidentiality	92
19.	APPENDICES	94
APPENDIX A.	SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENTS	95
APPENDIX B.	CONTRACEPTION AND PREGNANCY TESTING	101
APPENDIX C.	CLINICAL SAFETY LABORATORY ASSESSMENTS	104
APPENDIX D.	INJECTION SITE REACTION ASSESSMENT TOOL.....	105
APPENDIX E.	ASTHMA CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE (ACQ)-5 SCORE	106
APPENDIX F.	MODIFIED BORG DYSPNEA SCALE.....	107
APPENDIX G.	LIST OF PROHIBITED LIVE ATTENUATED VACCINES	108
APPENDIX H.	ADDITIONAL SAFETY REPORTING DEFINITIONS FOR ADVERSE EVENTS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	109
APPENDIX I.	DRUG-INDUCED LIVER INJURY.....	110
APPENDIX J.	MAINTENANCE THERAPY EQUIVALENCE	112
APPENDIX K.	PROTOCOL VERSION HISTORY	113
20.	REFERENCES	114

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	List of Abbreviations	22
Table 2:	Objectives and Endpoints	37
Table 3:	Prohibited Therapy	51
Table 4:	Investigational Products.....	56
Table 5:	Schedule of Assessments.....	96
Table 6:	Injection Site Reaction Assessment.....	105
Table 7:	List of Opportunistic Infections.....	109
Table 8:	Definition of Anaphylaxis	109
Table 9:	Estimated Daily Dose for Inhaled Corticosteroid.....	112

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Trial Schema.....	41
-----------	-------------------	----

3. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

The following abbreviations and specialist terms are used in this protocol.

Table 1: List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
30-DR	30-day readmission rate
ACQ-5	Asthma Control Questionnaire 5-question version
AD	atopic dermatitis
ADA	anti-drug antibody
ADL	activities of daily living
AE	adverse event
AESI	adverse event of special interest
ALP	alkaline phosphatase
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
ATS	American Thoracic Society
AUC	area under the concentration-time curve
β-hCG	beta-human chorionic gonadotropin
BD	bronchodilator
BP	blood pressure
CFB	change from baseline
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
C _{max}	maximum concentration
CONSORT	Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials
COPD	chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CPAP	continuous positive airway pressure
CRF	case report form
CRO	contract research organization
CSR	clinical study report
CT	computed tomography
CTCAE	Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events
CV	curriculum vitae
DILI	drug-induced liver injury
DMC	Data Monitoring Committee

Abbreviation	Explanation
DPI	dry powder inhaler
ECG	electrocardiogram
eCRF	electronic case report form
ED	emergency department
EDC	electronic data capture
EOT	end of trial
ERS	European Respiratory Society
FAS	Full Analysis Set
FeNO	fractional exhaled nitric oxide
FEV ₁	forced expiratory volume in 1 second
FSH	follicle-stimulating hormone
FVC	forced vital capacity
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
GINA	Global Initiative for Asthma
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice
GMP	Good Manufacturing Practice
GPP	Good Publication Practice
HFA	hydrofluoroalkane
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
HRT	hormonal replacement therapy
IA	interim analysis
IB	Investigator's Brochure
IC ₅₀	half-maximal inhibitory concentration
ICF	informed consent form
ICH	International Council for Harmonisation
ICS	inhaled corticosteroid
ICU	intensive care unit
IEC	Independent Ethics Committee
IL	interleukin
ILC2	innate lymphoid type 2
IP	investigational product
IRB	Institutional Review Board

Abbreviation	Explanation
IRT	Interactive Response Technology
ICMJE	International Committee of Medical Journal Editors
KD	dissociation constant
LABA	long-acting beta agonist
LAM	lactational amenorrhea method
LAMA	long-acting muscarinic antagonist
LTRA	leukotriene receptor antagonist
MCID	minimal clinically important difference
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
MDI	metered-dose inhaler
nAb	neutralizing antibody
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
OCS	oral corticosteroids
PD	pharmacodynamic(s)
PEF	peak expiratory flow
PFS	pre-filled syringe
PK	pharmacokinetic(s)
pMDI	pressurized metered-dose inhaler
PPS	Per-Protocol Analysis Set
PRO	patient-reported outcome
PT	preferred term
q2w	every 2 weeks
RBC	red blood cell
RCT	randomized controlled trial
RS	Randomized Set
SABA	short-acting beta 2-agonist
SAE	serious adverse event
SAP	Statistical Analysis Plan
SC	subcutaneous
SoA	Schedule of Assessments
SoC	standard-of-care
STAT6	signal transducer and activator of transcription 6

Abbreviation	Explanation
SUSAR	suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction
TARC	thymus and activation-regulated chemokine
TEAE	treatment-emergent adverse event
Th2	T-helper type 2 cell
UADE	unanticipated adverse device effect
ULN	upper limit of normal
US	United States
WBC	white blood cell
WOCBP	women of childbearing potential

4. INTRODUCTION

4.1. Background

Asthma is a common, chronic inflammatory airway disorder caused by the interaction of genetic and environmental factors. It is characterized by widespread, variable, and reversible airflow obstruction, airway inflammation, excessive mucus production; and airway hyperresponsiveness that lead to recurrent episodes of wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and coughing. Asthma affects 1% to 29% of the population in different countries, more than 300 million people worldwide (Olin and Wechsler 2014; Mortimer et al. 2022). It is estimated that 3% to 10% of asthma patients have severe asthma, defined as asthma that remains uncontrolled despite adherence with maximal optimized Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) step 4 or step 5 therapy (medium or high dose inhaled corticosteroid [ICS] - long-acting beta agonist [LABA] maintenance, other additional controllers, biologic therapy for patients with specific phenotypes, and maintenance low dose oral corticosteroid) and treatment of contributory factors, or worsens when optimized therapy is reduced (Global Initiative for Asthma [GINA] 2024). Despite the availability of multiple therapeutic options, asthma continues to be a major health problem, causing around 1000 deaths per day (Global Initiative for Asthma [GINA] 2024). Although recent progress has been made in developing targeted asthma therapeutics, there is an unmet need for new approaches to treat patients with severe and exacerbation-prone asthma, who contribute disproportionately to disease burden (Ray et al. 2016; Israel and Reddel 2017). For example, while approximately 3% to 10% of adult patients with asthma have severe disease, care of these patients accounts for more than 60% of asthma-related health care expenditures (Centre of Excellence in Severe Asthma 2016).

Asthma is a highly heterogeneous disease, with multiple underlying inflammatory pathways and structural airway abnormalities that impact disease persistence and severity (Fahy 2015; Levy et al. 2015). Extensive deep phenotyping has revealed the heterogeneous nature of severe asthma and identified distinct disease subtypes (Moore et al. 2010; Denlinger et al. 2017). Type 2 asthma accounts for approximately 50% of asthma cases and is characterized by more severe asthma, airway and systemic eosinophilia, as well as positive response to glucocorticosteroids and targeted inhibitors of type 2 inflammation (Fahy 2015). Type 2 asthma occurs both with allergy, in which both CD4+ T-helper type 2 cell (Th2) and innate lymphoid type 2 (ILC2) cells are sources of type 2 cytokines, and without allergy, in which type 2 cytokines are derived predominantly from ILC2 cells (Boonpiyathad et al. 2019; Lambrecht et al. 2019). Recent therapeutic approaches in asthma have been focused on trying to control the Th2 response. Up-regulation of interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 activity has been implicated as an important inflammatory component of asthma disease progression. Dupilumab, an anti-IL4R α biologic, was approved as an add-on maintenance treatment of patients with moderate-to-severe asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with an eosinophilic phenotype.

Asthma is a leading cause of emergency department (ED) visits and hospitalizations worldwide, reflecting both the chronic nature of the disease and the potential for acute exacerbations. In the United States (US) alone, data from 2020 indicate approximately 986,453 ED visits for asthma as the primary diagnosis, with approximately 716,117 visits involving adults aged 18 and older (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] 2020). Adolescents, who often transition between pediatric and adult care systems, also contribute significantly to this statistic (Centers

for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] 2020). Exacerbation events that result from type 2 cytokine driven eosinophilic inflammation are an important aspect of poor asthma control, and type 2 biomarkers (peripheral blood eosinophils and fractional exhaled nitric oxide [FeNO]) serve well as predictors of exacerbation risk (Wagener et al. 2015; Mogensen et al. 2016; M. Castro et al. 2018).

Though biologic therapies targeting type 2 cytokines have made significant progress in preventing asthma exacerbation as maintenance treatment, there have been relatively few trials examining the treatment of an acute, ongoing, asthma exacerbation with biologics (Nowak et al. 2015; Ramakrishnan et al. 2024). Despite the significant health issues associated with steroid treatment, the mainstay for acute asthma exacerbation treatment continues to be systemic corticosteroids due to their efficacy in reducing airway inflammation (Global Initiative for Asthma [GINA] 2024). Notwithstanding, trials have consistently demonstrated that a considerable proportion of adult and adolescent asthma patients (up to 20%) treated in the ED or hospital experience symptom relapse or require additional urgent medical care within 28 days of discharge (Mayers et al. 2023). The 30-day readmission rate (30-DR) following an inpatient asthma admission event was 11.9% in US with the major cause of 30-DR among the asthma patients being respiratory diseases (61.26%) (Patel et al. 2022). One factor that may play a role in relapse rates are patients with elevated eosinophils who are at greater risk of experiencing asthma exacerbations. Research has shown that elevated eosinophil counts in the blood or sputum are indicative of eosinophilic inflammation, which is linked to increased asthma severity and a higher frequency of exacerbation (Bafadhel et al. 2012). New treatment intervention during acute exacerbation that could reduce the risk of recurrent asthma exacerbation is one of the greatest unmet needs in asthma exacerbation management.

4.2. Summary of Benefits and Risks of Rademikibart

Rademikibart (also known as CBP-201) is a novel, potent, and selective recombinant human monoclonal antibody directed against the human cell surface protein IL-4 receptor alpha (IL-4R α), a common subunit of the IL-4 and IL-13 receptor dimers. Connect Biopharmaceuticals, Ltd, Connect Biopharm LLC and affiliates (collectively referred to here as Connect) is developing rademikibart for the treatment of respiratory diseases with Type-2 inflammation.

4.2.1. Nonclinical Experience

Extensive in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo studies have been conducted to characterize the pharmacological properties of rademikibart. Rademikibart bound recombinant soluble monomeric human IL-4R α has a dissociation constant (KD) of approximately 20.7 pM. It does not bind to mouse or monkey IL-4R α . Rademikibart inhibits proliferation of TF-1 cells stimulated with IL-4 and IL-13 with a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of 8.00 and 9.68 ng/mL, respectively. It also inhibits IL-4- and IL-13-mediated signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6) activation in HEK-Blue IL-4/IL-13 secreted alkaline phosphatase cells; with an IC₅₀ of 7.04 and 6.56 ng/mL, respectively. In ex vivo assays, rademikibart inhibited IL-4-induced production of thymus and activation-regulated chemokine (TARC) in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, and the IC₅₀ was 59.1 ng/mL. These studies show that rademikibart is a highly potent antibody against the IL-4R α . No rademikibart-related adverse effects or changes on the central nervous, cardiovascular,

respiratory, and lymphoid systems were found following subcutaneous (SC) administration of up to 200 mg/kg/week of rademikibart in cynomolgus monkeys.

The off-target toxicity of rademikibart was evaluated in a 4-week Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) study in cynomolgus monkeys. Rademikibart (6, 40, and 200 mg/kg) was administered once a week by SC injection and was very well-tolerated at all dose levels. No abnormal clinical observations or abnormalities in hematology, clinical chemistry, or pathology were noted. No abnormalities in cardiac and lung function were observed. Based on this study, the no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) was 200 mg/kg, the highest dose tested, which corresponds to a maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) of approximately 6279 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and an area under the concentration-time curve at the last time point (AUC_{last}) of approximately 837.4 $\text{h}\cdot\text{mg/mL}$.

With the availability of the transgenic mice that express human IL-4 and IL-4R α , a GLP 26-week toxicity study of rademikibart with a 4-week recovery period in B-hIL-4/hIL-4R α mice by SC injection was conducted. No abnormal clinical observations or abnormalities in pathology were found. Findings in hematology and clinical chemistry at 200 mg/kg on Day 184 that were considered to likely be test article related due to its pharmacological effect as a monoclonal antibody included decreases in absolute count of lymphocytes and white blood cells in males and an increase in serum globulin and a decrease in albumin/globulin females and males. Under the conditions of the study, the NOAEL was considered to be 200 mg/kg, the highest dose tested, which corresponds to a C_{max} , $AUC_{0-168\text{h}}$, and estimated AUC_{inf} of rademikibart on Day 176 of 5000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, 586 $\text{h}\cdot\text{mg/mL}$, and 1940 $\text{h}\cdot\text{mg/mL}$, respectively, in males; and 4250 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, 509 $\text{h}\cdot\text{mg/mL}$, and 900 $\text{h}\cdot\text{mg/mL}$, respectively, in females.

4.2.2. Clinical Experience

Rademikibart has been evaluated in 3 Phase 1 studies in healthy adults, one Phase 1b study in participants with atopic dermatitis [AD]), and 5 Phase 2 studies (3 in participants with AD, 1 in participants with asthma, and 1 in participants with nasal polyps). Phase 1 studies demonstrated that rademikibart is safe and well-tolerated, with no treatment-related serious adverse events (SAEs) and only mild-to-moderate treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs).

In Phase 2 studies, rademikibart showed potential as an effective and safe treatment for AD, asthma, and nasal polyps. It significantly improved disease severity and symptom control, with a safety profile comparable to placebo. Most TEAEs were mild-to-moderate, and no SAEs related to rademikibart were reported.

In a single-ascending dose trial involving 40 healthy adult participants, single SC doses of rademikibart (75 mg, 150 mg, 300 mg, 600 mg) or placebo were administered, with an additional cohort administered a 30-minute intravenous infusion of 300 mg rademikibart or placebo. Rademikibart exposure following SC dosing increased in a greater-than-dose-proportional manner, indicating a nonlinear component to clearance. The absolute bioavailability was 58%, and the median time to the last detectable drug concentration ranged from 14 days at 75 mg to 56 days at 600 mg. Single SC doses significantly reduced serum TARC levels, a biomarker in AD and other inflammatory conditions. As shown in this study, a single 600 mg SC dose results in substantially higher rademikibart exposure up to 28 days relative to the other SC dose levels, with all dose levels similarly well-tolerated.

A comparative bioavailability trial conducted in healthy adults, comparing SC dosing of rademikibart 300 mg administered from a pre-filled syringe (PFS) relative to the same dose administration from a vial, indicated pharmacokinetic (PK) similarity of the 2 product presentations.

In a Phase 2 efficacy and safety clinical trial (CBP-201-WW002) of rademikibart as an add-on treatment to adult participants with persistent moderate-to-severe asthma not adequately controlled with medium to high dose ICS/LABA therapy, participants were randomized to receive rademikibart (a 600 mg loading dose followed by 300 mg or 150 mg rademikibart every 2 weeks [q2w]) or placebo for 24 weeks. Rademikibart demonstrated significant improvements in lung function, as measured by pre-bronchodilator (pre-BD) forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁). Compared to placebo, both rademikibart treatment groups (150 mg SC q2w and 300 mg SC q2w) resulted in significant improvement in pre-BD FEV₁, which started as early as Week 1 and was sustained through Week 24. A prospectively planned analysis showed further improvements in lung function in participants with eosinophil levels ≥ 300 cells/ μ l. A greater reduction in both rademikibart groups compared with the placebo group in Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) score (Q1-5 +7) (indicating improved asthma control) was observed at Week 24, with decreases in the rademikibart groups as early as Week 1. Although not powered to detect differences in exacerbations, the study showed that treatment with rademikibart was associated with a reduction in exacerbations compared with placebo. The safety profile was favorable, with no deaths or SAEs related to rademikibart reported.

Based on results from population PK analysis that included data from participants in the age range of 15-74 years who received rademikibart in studies of AD, age was not a significant covariate for the PK parameters according to covariate screening results. Therefore, no dose adjustment is required for adolescent or adult participants of different ages.

Additional details, as well as details on additional studies conducted with rademikibart, are available in the rademikibart Investigator's Brochure (IB).

4.3. Rationales

4.3.1. Rationale for Clinical Development

IL-4R α plays a central role in the activation of allergic inflammation by mediating the functions of both IL-4 and IL-13. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that inhibition of IL-4R α is effective in ameliorating allergic inflammation in animal models, suggesting that blocking this receptor will have the potential to treat a broad range of allergic inflammatory diseases (Beckmann et al. 1990). Clinical studies of the IL-4R α antibody dupilumab have shown strong efficacy in a number of allergic disease conditions, including AD, asthma, and nasal polyps (Dupixent EU SmPC 2024; Dupixent USPI 2024).

Patients with asthma and COPD have an ongoing need for safe, effective, and convenient therapies for the treatment of these allergic inflammatory conditions. Blockade of IL-4R α has been validated as a useful target in treatment of multiple chronic respiratory diseases, including asthma and COPD with type 2 inflammation.

4.3.2. Rationale for Trial Conduct

Although asthma is rarely fatal, patients who relapse after an asthma exacerbation are at increased risk of death from asthma. Especially in participants with peripheral blood eosinophilia, the risk of dying from asthma was 7.4 (confidence interval, 2.8 to 19.7) times greater than in those without eosinophilia (Ulrik and Frederiksen 1995). In addition, there is an increased risk of recurrent exacerbation and hospital readmission during the first 4 weeks following a hospitalized asthma exacerbation (Patel et al. 2022). Thus, there is a huge unmet need for novel therapies that can treat acute asthma exacerbations more effectively by reducing the severity and preventing the following recurrent exacerbation.

The recent results of several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) suggest that targeting IL-4 and IL-13 can significantly reduce exacerbation rates and improve lung function in moderate-to-severe asthma patients. Comparing with blocking a single cytokine (ie, IL-5) or its main target (ie, IL-5R α on eosinophils) which show a modest improvement of lung function in asthma patients, the trials of monoclonal antibodies targeting the IL-4R α in asthma patients showed more robust improvements of lung function (FitzGerald et al. 2016; Mario Castro et al. 2018). Although blood eosinophil counts serve as practical and accessible markers of type 2 inflammation, type 2 inflammatory pathways are not necessarily driven solely by blood eosinophils. The efficacy that was observed in these trials is supported by the central role of IL-4 and IL-13 in driving airway epithelial barrier dysfunction, airway remodeling, and, more specifically for IL-13, goblet-cell hyperplasia and mucus secretion in type 2 mediated airway diseases (Maspero et al. 2022). Collectively, these findings highlight the importance of targeting IL-4 and IL-13 as drivers of type 2 inflammation in asthma.

Recently, the Acute Exacerbations Treated With BenRALizumab (ABRA) trial (NCT04098718) evaluated blood eosinophil-guided benralizumab therapy in asthma participants with eosinophilic exacerbations (blood eosinophil counts ≥ 300 cells/ μ L) in the acute setting. At 90 days, in participants with an eosinophilic exacerbation of asthma, a single SC injection of benralizumab, with or without a short course of systemic glucocorticoids (oral prednisolone) reduced treatment failures, prolonged time to first event, and improved respiratory symptoms and disease-specific health quality compared with standard care with oral corticosteroids (OCS) following an exacerbation. ABRA is the first trial to target the eosinophilic treatable trait, during exacerbations (Ramakrishnan et al. 2024). This is a promising finding, as this trial provides evidence that biologic therapy targeting eosinophilic inflammation could drive future strategies of treating exacerbations according to endotype.

Based on the available evidence in asthma patients with an eosinophilic phenotype, it is anticipated that a fully human monoclonal antibody that blocks the shared receptor component for IL-4 and IL-13, key and central drivers of type 2 inflammation, could acutely treat eosinophilic exacerbations of asthma. However, this approach has not yet been tested. Given the results from the ABRA study (Ramakrishnan et al. 2024), the rapid improvements in lung function with rademikibart (Kerwin et al. 2024) as well as the significant unmet medical needs in asthma exacerbation, it is worth investigating whether eosinophilic exacerbations of asthma could be treated acutely with a monoclonal antibody targeting the IL-4R α .

Rademikibart is a novel, potent, and selective recombinant human monoclonal antibody directed against the human cell surface protein IL-4R α . To date, rademikibart has demonstrated efficacy and safety across various diseases associated with type 2 inflammation. In the CBP-201-WW002

Phase 2 trial, participants treated with rademikibart demonstrated significant improvements in lung function by Week 1 that were sustained through Week 24, as measured by in-clinic spirometry. In an exploratory post hoc analysis of this study, the absolute change from baseline (CFB) in pre-BD FEV₁, derived from self-administered at home spirometry on Days 1 through 7, was analyzed in participants with baseline eosinophil counts ≥ 300 cells/ μ L. In this subpopulation, 77% of the Week 1 improvement in pre-BD FEV₁ was achieved within 24 hours post-dose (FEV₁ CFB: 93 mL improvement vs -101 mL for placebo), with 84% of the total improvement realized by Day 2.

This post hoc analysis demonstrates that rademikibart delivers rapid and significant lung function improvement, with notable gains within 24 hours of a single 600 mg loading dose. These findings highlight rademikibart's potential as a fast-acting, effective therapy for type 2 inflammation-driven airway disease and suggest its possible utility in early treatment following acute exacerbations of asthma.

4.3.3. Rationale for Trial Design

This Phase 2 trial is designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a single 600 mg SC dose of rademikibart as adjunct therapy to standard therapy in adolescent and adult participants with asthma characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype (peripheral blood eosinophil count of ≥ 300 cells/ μ L at Screening Visit 1b or as part of the assessment of the index acute asthma exacerbation within 48 hours prior to Screening) who require an urgent healthcare visit for an acute asthma exacerbation. Participants will be treated with rademikibart or placebo in addition to standard therapy. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial design where the effect of the investigational product (IP) is assessed on top of the optimized background therapy is considered to be the most appropriate design to explore the efficacy and safety of a novel biologic therapy in asthma exacerbation. Similar trial designs and endpoints have been employed for recent trials with other biologics and small molecule drugs in acute exacerbation ([House et al. 2015](#); [Nowak et al. 2015](#); [Ramakrishnan et al. 2024](#)). In addition, this trial intends to assess the PK profile of rademikibart in the trial population.

The primary endpoint of treatment failure rate has been widely used in interventional clinical trials in asthma exacerbations ([Camargo et al. 2003](#); [House et al. 2015](#); [Ramakrishnan et al. 2024](#)). The proposed primary endpoint would support the investigation of the hypothesis that rademikibart reduces the treatment failure rate for index asthma exacerbations during the vulnerable period in the targeted trial population: those experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation with high eosinophil counts and with a history of exacerbations. This trial is also designed to evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on subsequent asthma exacerbations and lung function improvements in the targeted trial population.

4.3.4. Rationale for Dose

A single 600 mg SC dose of rademikibart was selected for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma in this trial. This dose was the loading dose in the Phase 2 trial in participants with persistent asthma (CBP-201-WW002). In 214 participants randomized to rademikibart in that trial, a 600 mg SC loading dose of rademikibart was administered followed by either a 300 mg or 150 mg SC dose starting at Week 2, which was administered q2w until Week 24. Significant

improvements in lung function were demonstrated by Week 1 following the 600 mg SC dose. Post hoc analysis of at-home spirometry data revealed rapid improvements within 24 hours of the single 600 mg loading dose. As noted in Section 4.3.2, improvements began in Week 1 following the 600 mg SC loading dose in the population of participants with eosinophils ≥ 300 cells/ μ L. These findings demonstrate that a single 600 mg loading dose of rademikibart produces rapid and clinically meaningful improvements in lung function within 24 hours, underscoring its potential as a fast-acting and effective therapy for type 2 inflammation-driven airway disease.

Furthermore, of the 1498 participants who received rademikibart in the 9 completed clinical trials, 1005 participants have received at least 1 dose of 600 mg rademikibart. This dose was well tolerated across the trials and supports its use in this trial in adult and adolescent participants with acute exacerbations of asthma. Based on population PK analysis of data from participants in the age range of 15-74 years, rademikibart PK is consistent among all age groups, and no dose adjustment is required in the adolescent participants ≥ 12 years.

4.3.5. Rationale for Comparator

Placebo has been chosen as the control group as there is no biologic approved for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma. There is no anticipated risk for participants randomized to the placebo group, as all participants will receive the standard therapy for the acute asthma exacerbation, including oral/systemic corticosteroids (prednisone 40 mg/day or equivalent), and/or other medical interventions at the discretion of the Investigator or a medically qualified designee. In addition, participants are instructed to continue their regular asthma maintenance treatments throughout the trial.

4.3.6. Rationale for Trial Population

This trial targets adolescent and adult participants with asthma characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype who are experiencing an acute exacerbation and are at high risk for future exacerbations. This represents a population with significant unmet medical need in this disease who will potentially benefit from this trial.

The clinical trial population includes adolescent (≥ 12 to ≤ 17 years weighing ≥ 40 kg) and adult (≥ 18 to ≤ 75 years) participants with asthma and a history of asthma exacerbation requiring systemic corticosteroids use within the previous year. Participants in this trial must experience an acute asthma exacerbation characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype and require systemic corticosteroids as standard-of-care (SoC) treatment in the urgent healthcare setting for the current exacerbation.

Adolescents are included in this trial because asthma often develops during adolescence and can persist into adulthood. Asthma presents similarly in both adolescents and adults and current treatment guidelines do not provide different treatment recommendations for adolescents vs adults ([National Asthma Education and Prevention Program 2007](#); [Global Initiative for Asthma \[GINA\] 2024](#)).

In a completed Phase 2 trial in moderate-to-severe asthma participants, rademikibart significantly improved lung function as measured by FEV₁ compared with placebo at Week 12 with both doses studied. A prospectively planned analysis showed further improvement in lung function at Week 12 was achieved in participants with eosinophil levels of ≥ 300 cells/ μ L. This raises the

possibility that rademikibart could be an effective therapy for participants with an eosinophilic asthma exacerbation characterized by blood eosinophil counts of ≥ 300 cells/ μ L.

Exacerbations of asthma are key events in the natural history of the disease because they impact significantly on the health status of the participant and lead to increased mortality and disease progression. The occurrence of a severe exacerbation needing systemic corticosteroid is a risk factor for future exacerbations ([Global Initiative for Asthma \[GINA\] 2024](#)). This indicates that the trial population is at high risk for future exacerbations.

4.4. Compliance

The trial site is required to adhere to all applicable laws, regulations, and guidelines including, but not limited to, the US Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), International Council for Harmonisation (ICH), Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, Good Radiation Practice, as well as any applicable local and federal regulations.

4.4.1. Regulatory Safety Reporting Requirements

The Investigator must promptly report to the Sponsor all SAEs, unanticipated adverse device effects (UADEs), adverse events of special interest (AESIs), and suspected drug-induced liver injury (DILI) events as defined in accordance with the procedures detailed in in Section 11.7. The Sponsor has a legal responsibility to notify, as appropriate, both the local regulatory authority and other regulatory agencies about the safety of a product under clinical investigation. Prompt notification by the Investigator of urgent safety reports as described in Section 11.7 to meet legal obligations and ethical responsibilities regarding the safety of other participants is required.

Investigator letters are prepared according to Sponsor policy and are forwarded to the Investigators as necessary. An Investigator letter is prepared for any suspected adverse reaction that is attributable to IP, that is both serious and unexpected. The purpose of the Investigator letter is to fulfill specific regulatory and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) requirements regarding the product under investigation.

The Investigator, or responsible person according to local requirements, must comply with requirements related to the reporting of SAEs to the Independent Ethics Committee (IEC)/Institutional Review Board (IRB).

The Sponsor is responsible for informing IRBs/IECs, Investigators, and regulatory authorities of findings that could adversely affect the safety of participants or affect the conduct of the trial. Events will be reported to regulatory authorities in accordance with expedited and periodic reporting requirements.

4.4.2. Unanticipated Problems

Unanticipated problems are incidents, experiences, or outcomes that **meet all of the following criteria:**

- Unexpected in terms of nature, severity, or frequency given (a) the research procedures that are described in the protocol-related documents, such as the research protocol and informed consent document approved by the IRB/IEC and (b) the characteristics of the participant population being studied.

- Related or possibly related to participation in the research (“possibly related” means there is a reasonable possibility that the incident, experience, or outcome may have been caused by the procedures involved in the research).
- Suggest that the research places participants or others at a greater risk of harm (including physical, psychological, economic, or social harm) than was previously known or recognized.

Only a small subset of adverse events (AEs) occurring in trial participants will meet these 3 criteria for an unanticipated problem. The following single occurrences of AEs should be considered as unanticipated problems that must be reported to the IRB/IEC:

- An unexpected SAE that is uncommon and strongly associated with drug exposure (such as angioedema, agranulocytosis, hepatic injury, or Stevens-Johnson syndrome).
- An unexpected SAE that is not commonly associated with drug exposure, but uncommon in the trial population (eg, tendon rupture, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy).
- An AE that is described or addressed in the IB, protocol, or informed consent form (ICF) documents, but occurs at a specificity or severity that is inconsistent with prior observations. For example, if transaminase elevation is listed in the Investigator’s Brochure (IB) and hepatic necrosis is observed in trial participants.

Refer to Section 11.3.2 for definition of an SAE and Section 11.3.6 for the definition of an unexpected AE.

Furthermore, there are other types of incidents, experiences, and outcomes that occur during the conduct of clinical trials that represent unanticipated problems but are not considered AEs. For example, some unanticipated problems involve social or economic harm instead of the physical or psychological harm associated with AEs (eg, theft of a trial laptop that included individually identifiable sensitive information about a trial participant). In other cases, unanticipated problems place participants or others at increased *risk* of harm, but no harm occurs (eg, a dosing error increased the risk of toxic manifestations of the IP, but the participant experienced no AE).

If the Investigator determines that an event meets the definition of an unanticipated problem, he/she must notify the Sponsor or designee **within 24 hours** of the Investigator becoming aware of the problem.

The following information will be included with unanticipated problem reporting:

- Protocol identifying information: protocol title, protocol number, and Investigator’s name.
- A detailed description of the event, incident, experience, or outcome.
- An explanation of the basis for determining that the event, incident, experience, or outcome represents an unanticipated problem.

It is the Investigator’s responsibility to report unanticipated problems to their IRB/IEC, as required by local regulations.

For this multicenter trial, the Investigator may rely on the Sponsor’s assessment of unanticipated problems that are based on aggregate analysis of AEs received from multiple clinical trial sites or

from other information and provide to the IRB a report of the unanticipated problem prepared by the Sponsor. These include the following:

- Multiple occurrences of an AE that, based on an aggregate analysis, is determined to be an unanticipated problem.
- A small number of occurrences of an unexpected SAE that are not commonly associated with drug exposure, but uncommon in the trial population (eg, tendon rupture, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy).
- An SAE that is described or addressed in the IB, protocol, or ICF documents, but for which the rate of occurrence in the trial represents a clinically significant increase in the expected rate of occurrence (ordinarily, reporting would only be triggered if there was a credible baseline rate for comparison).
- Any other AE or safety finding (eg, based on animal or epidemiologic data) that would cause the Sponsor to modify the IB, trial protocol, or ICF documents, or would prompt other action by the IRB/IEC to ensure the protection of human subjects.

4.4.3. Protocol Deviations

A protocol deviation is any modification to protocol-specified procedures, whether intentional or not, that occur without prior IRB/IEC approval and submission to regulatory authority(ies) of the protocol amendment implementing the modification. The Investigator will not implement any protocol modification without prior approval of the IRB/IEC and agreement by the Sponsor except where necessary to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to trial participants.

Protocol deviations fall into 2 categories:

- Important Protocol Deviations.
- Other Protocol Deviations.

Important Protocol Deviations are deviations that might significantly affect the completeness, accuracy, and/or reliability of the trial data or that might significantly affect a participant's rights, safety, or well-being. Some examples include:

- Failure to conduct trial procedures designed to assess participant safety or failure to adequately monitor participants.
- Administration of concomitant treatment prohibited by the protocol that may increase risks to participants and/or impact interpretation of safety and efficacy.
- Failure to obtain informed consent/assent or meet other applicable requirements for the protection of human participants.
- Failure to protect a participant's identifiable private protected health information.
- Enrollment of a participant in violation of key eligibility criteria designed to ensure a specific participant population.
- Failure to administer the IP according to specifications in the protocol, administration of the wrong treatment or incorrect dose to participants.

- Failure to collect data to evaluate important trial endpoints (eg, primary or secondary endpoints).
- Premature unblinding of a participant's treatment allocation for reasons other than those specified in the protocol.
- Failure to withdraw IP administration from participants who meet withdrawal criteria.

Other Protocol Deviations are those that do not meet the definition of an Important Protocol Deviation. Some examples of all Other Protocol Deviations include small deviations from protocol-specified visit windows; a signed consent/assent missing a participant's initials on a page; or failure to perform a trial procedure not relevant for safety monitoring or not related to an important trial efficacy endpoint (eg, primary or secondary endpoints).

For any protocol deviation, the Investigator will document it in the participant's source documents, indicating if any are an Important Protocol Deviation, and notify the Sponsor or designee. The Investigator will discuss the Important Protocol Deviation with the Sponsor's Medical Monitor or designee to reach an agreement on whether the participant should be withdrawn from the trial. The Investigator will notify the IRB/IEC of Important Protocol Deviations, as required by IRB/IEC guidelines and site requirements. The Sponsor is responsible for notifying the regulatory authorities, if required.

4.4.4. Regulatory Authority Approval

The Sponsor will obtain approval to conduct the trial from the appropriate regulatory agency in accordance with any applicable country specific regulatory requirements before any site may initiate the trial in that country.

5. OBJECTIVES AND ENDPOINTS

The primary, secondary, and exploratory objectives and endpoints are provided in [Table 2](#).

Table 2: Objectives and Endpoints

Primary Objective	Primary Endpoint
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on the treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization.
Secondary Objectives	Secondary Endpoints
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on subsequent asthma exacerbations in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rate of new asthma exacerbations in the 28 days after randomization. Time to the first new asthma exacerbation in the 28 days after randomization. Mean CFB in morning/evening asthma symptom score and nocturnal awakenings (e-diary) at Week 1, Week 2, and Week 4. Absolute CFB in post-BD FEV₁ at Day 3, Week 1, and Week 4.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To evaluate the safety of rademikibart compared to placebo when administered as an adjunct to standard therapy in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incidence of AEs, including SAEs, AESIs, and DILI reported. Incidence of UADEs. Incidence of injection site reactions. Changes in laboratory safety parameters. Changes in vital signs, physical examinations, and ECG parameters.
Exploratory Objectives	Exploratory Endpoints
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To further evaluate the efficacy of rademikibart compared to placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on subsequent asthma exacerbations in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proportion of participants with at least 1 asthma exacerbation requiring an urgent healthcare visit through Week 4 and Week 8.

<p>experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asthma exacerbation rate over the 8-week period.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the PK of rademikibart in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PK concentrations and, if calculable, PK parameters of rademikibart.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the pharmacodynamics of rademikibart in participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFB in FeNO. • CFB in biomarkers in the blood (including but not limited to peripheral blood eosinophil count, eotaxin-3, C-reactive protein, total immunoglobulin E, TARC).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the effect of rademikibart compared with placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on lung function in adult and adolescent participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change from the earliest available measurements in pre-BD FEV₁ (absolute and percent changes, percentage predicted) at Week 1, Week 4, and Week 8. • Percent CFB in post-BD FEV₁ and percentage predicted FEV₁ at Day 3, Week 1, and Week 4. • CFB in post-BD FEV₁ at Day 1 and Day 2, and during the Follow-up Period at Week 8. • CFB or the earliest available measurements in other lung function measurements: pre-BD and post-BD FVC, FEV₁/FVC at scheduled timepoints. • CFB in weekly mean morning and evening PEF at Week 1, Week 4, and during the Follow-up Period at Week 8.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the immunogenicity of rademikibart in adult and adolescent participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence and duration of treatment-emergent ADAs and nAbs.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To evaluate the effect of rademikibart compared with placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on healthcare resource utilization and economics in adult and adolescent participants with asthma and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time to ready-for-discharge in hospitalized participants based on clinical assessment and lung function tests.

<p>an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence and rate of unscheduled visits for urgent care primarily related to asthma symptoms. • Incidence and rate of unscheduled calls primarily related to asthma symptoms. • Length of stay in an urgent healthcare facility, ED, or hospital (hours). • Hospitalization rate (for asthma).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To explore the effect of rademikibart compared with placebo as an adjunct to standard therapy on asthma symptoms and asthma control, and health-related quality of life in adult and adolescent participants with asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFB in ACQ-5 score at Week 1, Week 2, Week 4, and during the Follow-up Period at Week 8. • CFB in morning/evening asthma symptom score and nocturnal awakenings (e-diary) during the Follow-up Period at Week 8. • CFB in Modified Borg Dyspnea Scale at scheduled timepoints. • Total dose/use of systemic corticosteroids. • Use of daily puffs of rescue medication.

ACQ-5 = Asthma Control Questionnaire 5-question version; ADA = anti-drug antibody; AE = adverse event; BD = bronchodilator; CFB = change from baseline; DILI = drug-induced liver injury; ECG = electrocardiogram; ED = emergency department; FeNO = fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; nAb = neutralizing antibody; PD = pharmacodynamic; PEF = peak expiratory flow; PK = pharmacokinetics; SC = subcutaneous; TARC = thymus and activation-regulated chemokine; UADE = unanticipated adverse device effect.

6. INVESTIGATIONAL PLAN

6.1. Trial Design

This is a Phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, interventional trial in participants with an acute asthma exacerbation with type 2 inflammation to compare rademikibart plus standard therapy to standard therapy alone (plus placebo), targeting an acute asthma exacerbation in the urgent healthcare setting. For the purposes of this trial, an urgent healthcare setting may include an ED, a hospital inpatient ward, an urgent healthcare facility, or an outpatient clinic equipped to provide the level of care specified in this protocol.

The trial is designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a single 600 mg SC dose (administered as 4 separate 150 mg injections) of rademikibart as adjunct therapy to standard therapy in adolescent (≥ 12 to ≤ 17 years weighing ≥ 40 kg) and adult (≥ 18 to ≤ 75 years) participants with asthma characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype (peripheral blood eosinophil count of ≥ 300 cells/ μ L at Screening Visit 1b or as part of the assessment of the index acute asthma exacerbation within 48 hours prior to Screening) who require an urgent healthcare visit for an acute asthma exacerbation.

This trial will screen participants through 2 different channels:

- Channel 1: Participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition.
- Channel 2: Participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial at an urgent healthcare visit for acute asthma exacerbation.

Participants who consent/assent to participate through channel 1 will be contacted by telephone approximately every 4 weeks after Screening Visit 1a to collect any changes in concomitant medications and any SAEs. The participant should be reminded to contact the site if they are experiencing worsening symptoms, so the site can determine if they may be experiencing an exacerbation and may qualify for randomization into the trial.

Details on treatment assignment are presented in Section 6.3. A trial schema is provided in Figure 1.


For participants who are hospitalized due to an acute asthma exacerbation at randomization (Day 0), inpatient or on-site follow-up will take place as outlined in the Schedule of Assessments (SoA; Table 5). On the day of discharge, FEV₁ measurements should be performed in the morning prior to discharge, including pre-BD and post-BD measurements.

For participants who are not hospitalized, on-site follow-up procedures will also follow the SoA (Table 5).

Spirometry assessments at Visit 3 and Visit 4 should be performed in participants who were hospitalized for the index asthma exacerbation unless, in the opinion of the investigator or treating physician, the hospitalized participant is not well enough to do so. Hospitalized participants discharged from the hospital prior to Visit 3 and/or Visit 4, and participants who were not hospitalized, should be encouraged to return to the trial site or have a home health care visit for spirometry assessments at Visit 3 and Visit 4. One interim analysis (IA) is planned when approximately 80 participants have completed the Week 4 Visit (see Section 13.7), and the final

analysis for the trial will be conducted after all participants have completed Week 8 or the End of Trial (EOT) Visit.

Figure 1: Trial Schema



6.2. Number of Participants

Approximately 160 adolescent and adult participants with asthma who require an urgent healthcare visit for treatment of an acute exacerbation will be enrolled. The planned participant enrollment may be adjusted following the planned IA due to a sample size re-estimation.

6.3. Treatment Assignment

Participants will be randomized at baseline in a 1:1 ratio to 1 of the following double-blind treatment groups, stratified by age (≥ 18 vs < 18 years old) and baseline smoking status (current smokers vs former smokers or non-smokers):

- **Rademikibart 600 mg dose** administered as 4 SC injections of 1 mL (150 mg) each.
- **Matching placebo** administered as 4 SC injections of 1 mL each.

IP (rademikibart 600 mg SC or placebo SC) will be administered on Day 0 per the SoA (Table 5). The participant's FEV₁ must be $\geq 30\%$ predicted prior to administration of the IP.

6.4. Participant Duration

For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition, the duration of the trial will be up to 34 weeks, which includes a Screening Period of up to 26 weeks, a 28-day Treatment Assessment Period, and a 28-day Follow-up Period.

For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial during the urgent healthcare visit for an acute asthma exacerbation, the duration of the trial will be approximately 8 weeks, which includes a Screening Period of up to 48 hours, a 28-day Treatment Assessment Period, and a 28-day Follow-up Period. A participant is considered to have completed the trial if they have completed all periods of the trial including the Follow-up Visit (Week 8). The end of the trial is defined as the date of the last visit of the last participant in the trial globally.

6.5. Dose Adjustment Criteria

Dose modification is not permitted.

6.5.1. Safety Criteria for Adjustment or Stopping Doses

Dosing for any individual participant will be stopped if the participant experiences a drug-related SAE or a drug-related significant nonserious AE, which, in the opinion of the Investigator, physician, or Sponsor's medical representative, warrants discontinuation of the trial for that participant's well-being.

6.6. Criteria for Trial Termination

The Sponsor or designee reserves the right to close the trial site or terminate the trial at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of the Sponsor.

Reasons for the early termination of the trial or early closure of a trial site by the Sponsor may include but are not limited to:

- Failure of the Investigator to comply with the protocol, the requirements of the IRB/IEC or local health authorities, the Sponsor's procedures, or GCP guidelines.
- Inadequate recruitment of participants by the Investigator.
- Discontinuation of further IP development.
- New information has been obtained that negatively affects the risk-benefit assessment of the IP, including sufficient evidence indicating lack of efficacy or unacceptable safety concerns.
- Major defects are discovered in the trial design, making it difficult to evaluate the drug, or major deviations occur during the trial implementation that affect the overall evaluation of the IP.
- Sponsor decision.

Trial sites will be closed upon trial completion. A trial site is considered closed when all required documents and trial supplies have been collected, and a trial-site closure visit has been performed.

The Investigator may initiate trial-site closure at any time, provided there is reasonable cause and sufficient notice is given in advance of the intended termination.

6.7. Data Monitoring Committee

An independent Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) comprising members who are independent of the Sponsor and Investigators will be established for this trial. This committee will include externally based individuals with expertise in the diseases under trial, biostatistics, and/or clinical research.

The primary responsibilities of the DMC are to review and evaluate the safety data during the trial and review IA results. The DMC will also provide the Sponsor with appropriate recommendations on the conduct of the clinical trial to ensure the protection and safety of the

participants enrolled in the trial. The DMC will also institute any measures that may be required for ensuring the integrity of the trial results during the trial execution. All activities and responsibilities of the DMC are described in the DMC charter.

7. SELECTION AND WITHDRAWAL OF PARTICIPANTS

Prospective approval of protocol deviations to recruitment and enrollment criteria, also known as protocol waivers or exemptions, is not permitted.

Prospective participants may be screened and provide informed consent/assent up to 26 weeks in advance of an acute exacerbation.

7.1. Inclusion Criteria

Participants entering the trial who are in stable condition (Channel 1) or who are experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation (Channel 2) are eligible to be included in the trial only if ALL of the following criteria apply, unless specified:

1. Written informed consent/assent obtained prior to performing any protocol-related procedures.
2. Adults and adolescents ≥ 12 to ≤ 75 years at the time of signing the informed consent/assent.
3. Body weight of ≥ 40 kg at Screening.
4. Physician-diagnosed asthma with duration of ≥ 12 months (based on the ([Global Initiative for Asthma \[GINA\] 2024](#)) guidelines) by medical chart or participant report.
5. Currently receiving treatment with low, medium, to high dose ICS (equivalent ICS doses as per GINA 2024 Guidelines) in combination with at least 1 additional asthma controller medication (eg, LABA, leukotriene receptor antagonist [LTRA], long-acting muscarinic antagonist [LAMA], theophylline) for at least 30 days prior to the Screening Visit (to be checked at both Visit 1a and Visit 1b).
6. Must have experienced at least 1 asthma exacerbation requiring the use of systemic corticosteroids (oral or parenteral) within the previous 12 months prior to Screening.

Note: If participant is maintained on OCS, exacerbation requiring an increase in dose of at least 2-fold is considered adequate to fulfill this criterion.

7. For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition, must have current or historic evidence of spirometry confirming variable airflow limitation (any one of airflow reversibility FEV₁ change >200 mL and FEV₁% change of 12%; and/or positive Bronchial Provocation Test [eg, Methacholine Challenge Test], PC20 ≤ 8 mg/mL; and/or peak flow diurnal variation $\geq 10\%$; and/or variable FEV₁/FVC ratio), a documented historical peripheral blood eosinophil count of ≥ 250 cells/ μ L and/or a FeNO ≥ 25 ppb within 12 months prior to Screening Visit 1a.
8. In the opinion of the Investigator, participant is willing and able to comply with all trial visits and trial-related procedures (such as, willing and able to perform entries of daily electronic diary (e-diary) patient-reported outcomes [PROs]).
9. Women of childbearing potential, unless surgically sterile and/or at least 2 years postmenopausal, should have a confirmed negative serum beta-human chorionic

gonadotropin (β -hCG) test at Screening Visits 1a and/or 1b and agree to use a highly effective method of avoiding pregnancy from trial recruitment through Day 56.

See [Appendix B](#).

- a. A female is considered of childbearing potential following menarche and until becoming postmenopausal unless permanently sterile. Permanent sterilization methods include hysterectomy, bilateral salpingectomy and bilateral oophorectomy.
- b. Adolescent females specific: If a female has reached menarche or has reached Tanner stage 3 breast development (even without reaching menarche), the participant will be considered as a woman of childbearing potential.

Additional Inclusion Criteria for Current Acute Asthma Exacerbation

10. Current acute asthma exacerbation requiring an urgent healthcare visit for treatment.

Note: Asthma exacerbation must be the primary reason for the urgent healthcare visit.

For the purposes of this trial, an urgent healthcare setting may include an ED, a hospital inpatient ward, an urgent healthcare facility, or an outpatient clinic equipped to provide the level of care specified in this protocol.

11. Peripheral blood eosinophil count of ≥ 300 cells/ μ L at Screening Visit 1b or as part of the assessment of an index acute asthma exacerbation within 48 hours prior to Screening Visit 1b.

Note: Where possible, it is advisable to obtain eosinophil count prior to the administration of corticosteroids.

12. Requires systemic corticosteroid as SoC in the urgent healthcare setting to treat the current acute asthma exacerbation.

13. FEV₁ $\geq 30\%$ predicted prior to receiving IP on Day 0.

7.2. Exclusion Criteria

Participants entering the trial who are in stable condition (Channel 1) or who are experiencing an acute asthma exacerbation (Channel 2) who meet any of the following criteria will be ineligible to participate in this trial:

1. Previously received rademikibart; or a known history of systemic hypersensitivity or anaphylaxis to any biologic therapy, including any excipients.
2. Regular use of immunosuppressive medication (including but not limited to maintenance daily prednisolone [>10 mg per day], hydrocortisone, azathioprine, or weekly methotrexate) 12 weeks or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer.

Note: Participants requiring maintenance OCS with a stable dose ≤ 10 mg/day prednisone or equivalent OCS in addition to ICS are eligible.

3. Scheduled elective surgery or other procedures requiring general anesthesia during the trial.

4. Previous intubation for a severe asthma exacerbation.
5. Unstable ischemic heart disease, cardiomyopathy, heart failure (New York Heart Association Class III or IV), uncontrolled hypertension, which in the opinion of the Investigator, may put the participant at risk or negatively affect the outcome of the trial. Cardiac arrhythmias including paroxysmal (eg, intermittent) atrial fibrillation are excluded. Participants with persistent atrial fibrillation as defined by continuous atrial fibrillation for at least 6 months and controlled with a rate control strategy (ie, selective beta blocker, calcium channel blocker, pacemaker placement, digoxin or ablation therapy) and stable appropriate level of anticoagulation for at least 6 months may be considered for inclusion.
6. Any other unstable significant disease or disorder which, in the opinion of the Investigator, may either put the participants at risk because of participation in the trial, or may influence the result of the trial, or the participant's ability to participate in the trial.
7. Current or former smoker, has a smoking history at the time of signing the informed consent/assent form including:
 - If <30 years old: Smoked for ≥ 5 pack-years.
 - If ≥ 30 years old: Smoked for ≥ 10 pack-years.

Note: This includes tobacco, marijuana, and vaping products.

8. COPD and other clinically significant pulmonary disease other than asthma (including but not limited to lung cancer, pulmonary fibrosis, bronchiectasis as primary respiratory problem, active pulmonary tuberculosis, cystic fibrosis, obesity hypoventilation syndrome)
9. A chest X-ray or computed tomography (CT) within 12 months of screening or at Screening Visit 1a and/or 1b with findings that are inconsistent for an asthmatic population.
10. Another clinically significant pulmonary or systemic disease associated with an elevated peripheral blood eosinophil count (eg, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, hyper-eosinophilic syndrome, and helminth infection).
11. Known or suspected history of immunosuppression, including history of invasive opportunistic infections (eg, tuberculosis, histoplasmosis, listeriosis, coccidioidomycosis, pneumocystosis, aspergillosis), despite infection resolution; or unusually frequent, recurrent or prolonged infections, in the opinion of the Investigator.
12. History of known immunodeficiency disorder (including HIV-1 or HIV-2).
13. Known medical history of hepatitis B or C.
14. History of alcohol abuse and/or drug abuse within 12 months prior to Screening Visit 1a and/or 1b.
15. History of cancer except basal cell carcinoma or in situ carcinoma of the cervix treated with apparent success with curative therapy >1 year prior to entry or other malignancies treated with apparent success with curative therapy >5 years prior to entry.

Additional Exclusion Criteria for Current Acute Asthma Exacerbation

16. Fever recorded as $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ and/or a suspected pulmonary infection (chest radiograph demonstrating consolidation).
17. Any condition (ie, respiratory failure necessitating non-invasive or invasive ventilation, or impending hemodynamic compromise, or impending intensive care unit [ICU] admission) that, in the opinion of the Investigator, would interfere with evaluation of the IP or interpretation of trial results.
18. Evidence that current acute asthma attack is due to aspirin-induced asthma.
19. Evidence that current asthma episode is an anaphylactoid/anaphylactic reaction presenting with acute bronchospasm.
20. Known exposure to inhaled occupational agents or fumes with an established diagnosis of occupational asthma, or asthma exacerbation due to acute inhalational exposure.
21. Prolonged QTc interval (male >450 msec, female >470 msec, Fredericia correction); any other clinically significant abnormalities in electrocardiogram (ECG) at Screening, in the opinion of the Investigator.
22. An alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) level $\geq 2.5 \times$ the upper limit of normal (ULN) and/or bilirubin level $\geq 1.5 \times$ ULN at Screening Visit 1b.
23. Any other acute illness other than an acute asthma exacerbation at the Screening Visit 1b.
24. Any clinically significant abnormal findings in physical examination, vital signs, hematology, clinical chemistry, or urinalysis, which in the opinion of the Investigator, may put the participant at risk because of their participation, or may influence the results of the trial, or the ability to complete the duration of the trial.
25. Female participant who is pregnant, lactating or breast-feeding, or has a positive urinary β -hCG test prior to randomization.
26. Evidence of clinically significant non-respiratory active infection, including ongoing chronic infection.
27. Receipt of any marketed nonbiologic drug that modulates type 2 cytokines (eg, suplatast tosilate) 30 days or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer.
28. Receipt of any marketed biologic drug (eg, omalizumab, mepolizumab, benralizumab, reslizumab, dupilumab, tezepelumab, or other monoclonal antibody) or any investigational biologic for asthma or other diseases within 16 weeks or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer.
29. Live, attenuated vaccinations within 4 weeks prior to randomization or planned live, attenuated vaccinations during the trial.
30. Participants that have been treated with bronchial thermoplasty in the last 12 months prior to Visit 1b.
31. Treatment with OCS and/or hospitalization for an exacerbation of asthma (not for the index acute asthma exacerbation) completed less than 4 weeks prior to randomization.

32. Donation of blood, plasma or platelets within 90 days prior to randomization.
33. Receipt of intravenous immunoglobulin or blood products within 30 days before randomization into the trial.
34. Receipt of any investigational nonbiologic drug within 30 days or 5 half-lives prior to randomization, whichever is longer.

7.3. Lifestyle Considerations

7.3.1. Meals and Dietary Restrictions

Participants should avoid eating a large meal for at least 2 hours prior to all spirometry assessments at the site.

Participants should not eat or drink for at least 1 hour prior to having the FeNO assessment.

7.3.2. Caffeine, Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Habits

Participants with a history of alcohol or drug abuse within 12 months of screening are excluded from the trial. Chronic alcohol or drug abuse is restricted throughout the conduct of the trial.

Participants will:

- Refrain from smoking (including cigarettes [including electronic cigarettes/pipes, vaporizers, cigars, pipes]) for at least 1 hour prior to and during the spirometry and FeNO assessments.
- Refrain from drinking beverages with high levels of caffeine, such as tea and coffee, for at least 2 hours prior to each spirometry assessments.

7.3.3. Physical Activity

Participants will abstain from strenuous exercise for ≥ 2 hours prior to spirometry assessments.

7.3.4. Other Activity

Participants must be willing to visit the clinic per the trial SoA ([Table 5](#)).

Participants should not donate blood or plasma from the time they are enrolled in the trial, ie, signing the informed consent/assent form, until 8 weeks following the dose administration of IP.

7.4. Screen Failures

Screen failures are defined as participants who signed the informed consent/assent form to participate in the clinical trial but are not subsequently randomized. These participants are not required to complete the EOT Visit assessments. Screen failures should be recorded in the Interactive Response Technology (IRT) according to instructions in the IRT manual. A minimal set of screen failure information is required to ensure transparent reporting of screen failure participants to meet the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) publishing requirements and to respond to queries from regulatory authorities. Minimal information includes demography, screen failure details, eligibility criteria, and any SAE.

Given the variable nature of asthma exacerbations, participants who initially are screen failures for the trial solely due to the ineligible eosinophil count (ie, eosinophil count of <300 cells/ μ L) at Screening Visit 1b are allowed to re-screen no more than twice if they experience another asthma exacerbation, provided it is deemed appropriate by the Principal Investigator. If the reason for Screen Failure was transient (eg, a recent asthma exacerbation within 4 weeks prior to Screening, ineligible medication/vaccine washout at Screening, or clinically significant pneumonia identified by chest X-ray [CT scan] at Screening), participants may potentially be considered for re-screening; however, the maximum combined number of chest X-rays and/or CT scans must not exceed 2 for screening. These cases should be discussed with the Sponsor Medical Monitor/designee and documented in the Investigator Study File.

If re-screened, the participant should be assigned a new participant number. The participant must meet all eligibility criteria at the time of re-screening to qualify for the trial.

7.5. Discontinuation of IP

If a participant is discontinued from IP, the participant will be asked to continue attending visits to the EOT Visit (Week 8).

7.5.1. Criteria for Permanent Discontinuation of IP

Participants should be discontinued from IP in the event of:

1. The participant withdraws his/her consent/assent to participate in the trial.
2. Anaphylactic reaction or other severe hypersensitivity reaction attributed to IP administration.
3. AE, laboratory abnormality, or inter-current illness which, in the opinion of the Investigator, indicates that continued treatment and/or participation in the trial is not in the best interest of the participant.
4. Evidence of pregnancy.
5. Participant is significantly non-compliant with trial procedures, which would put the participant at risk for continued participation in the trial in consultation with the Sponsor Medical Monitor or designee.
6. Important protocol deviation or requirement for medication or procedure prohibited by the protocol.
7. Severe laboratory abnormalities ([Appendix C](#)):
 - a. AST and/or ALT $\geq 3 \times$ ULN in combination with total bilirubin $\geq 2 \times$ ULN and no other explanation other than IP can be determined.
 - b. Confirmed AST and/or ALT $> 5 \times$ ULN (for more than 2 weeks).

7.5.2. Temporary Discontinuation or Interruption of IP

Temporary discontinuation or interruption of IP is not applicable for this single-dose trial.

7.5.3. Rechallenge

Rechallenge is not allowed.

7.6. Participant Withdrawal from the Trial

The Investigator will make reasonable efforts to keep each randomized participant in the trial. However, participation in the trial is strictly voluntary. A participant has the right to withdraw from the trial at any time for any reason, without any reprisal.

Randomized participants who are terminated early from the trial will have an EOT Visit conducted as soon as possible as per the SoA (Table 5). All information, including the reason for early withdrawal will be recorded in the participant's trial records and in the electronic case report form (eCRF).

If the participant refuses to complete EOT/follow-up procedures or continued data collection, this will be documented.

Any participant who consents/assents to the trial as a stable participant and is not randomized to receive IP is considered a screen failure (see Section 7.4). These participants are not required to complete the EOT Visit assessments. This includes participants who did not have an acute exacerbation prior to the close of enrollment in the trial and prior to them reaching Visit 1b.

7.7. Lost to Follow-Up

A participant will be considered lost to follow-up if the participant repeatedly fails to return for scheduled visits and if the trial site is not able to get in contact with the participant.

The following actions must be taken if a participant fails to return to the clinic for a required trial visit:

- The trial site must attempt to contact the participant and reschedule the missed visit as soon as possible, counsel the participant on the importance of maintaining the assigned visit schedule and ascertain whether or not the participant wishes to and/or should continue in the trial.
- Before a participant is deemed lost to follow-up, the Investigator or designee must make every effort to regain contact with the participant (where possible, 2 attempts of contact by telephone calls, and if necessary, a certified letter to the participant's last known mailing address or local equivalent methods). These contact attempts should be documented in the participant's medical record.
- Should the participant continue to be unreachable, the participant will be considered to have withdrawn from the trial.

8. TREATMENT OF PARTICIPANTS

8.1. Description of Investigational Product

Rademikibart drug product is provided as a colorless-to-pale yellow sterile solution at 150 mg/mL concentration in a 1 mL single-dose PFS that delivers 1.0 mL solution.

Placebo for rademikibart is provided as colorless-to-pale yellow sterile solution containing the same excipients as the rademikibart drug product in a 1 mL single-dose PFS that delivers 1.0 mL solution.

The IP will be administered by SC injection (see Section 9.4).

8.2. Concomitant Medications

All medications taken from 3 months prior to the participant's initial Screening Visit 1a or Visit 1b through the end of the trial, including those given in the urgent healthcare setting to treat the index acute asthma exacerbation, should be recorded in the participant's source document and in the eCRF.

Any medication or vaccine (including over-the-counter or prescription medicines, vitamins, and/or herbal supplements) that the participant is receiving at Screening Visits 1a and/or 1b or receives following Screening and throughout the trial must be recorded in the source documents and eCRF along with:

- Reason for use.
- Start and end date of administration.
- Dosage information including dose and frequency.

The Medical Monitor should be contacted if there are any questions regarding prior or concomitant medication or procedures.

8.2.1. Prohibited Concomitant Therapy

The concomitant treatments that are not permitted during the Screening Period or the randomized Treatment Assessment Period are listed in [Table 3](#).

Table 3: Prohibited Therapy

Prohibited Medication/Therapy:	Usage:
ICS/formoterol used as a reliever (eg, Symbicort Maintenance and Reliever Treatment)	Not allowed during Screening and throughout the trial, unless currently receiving treatment for at least 30 days prior to the Screening Visit.
Any marketed nonbiologic drug that modulates type 2 cytokines (eg, suplatast tosilate)	Not allowed 30 days or 5 half-lives (whichever is longer) prior to randomization, and throughout the trial.
Any marketed biologic (eg, omalizumab, mepolizumab, benralizumab, reslizumab, dupilumab, tezepelumab, or other monoclonal antibody) or investigational	Not allowed 16 weeks or 5 half-lives (whichever is longer) prior to the date of randomization, and throughout the trial.

biologic treatment (including investigational use of an approved biologic)	
Any investigational nonbiological drug or treatment (including investigational use of an approved drug)	Not allowed 30 days prior or 5 half-lives (whichever is longer) to randomization, and throughout the trial.
Live attenuated vaccines (see Appendix G)	Not allowed 4 weeks prior to randomization, and during the trial including the Follow-up Period.
Any immunomodulators or immunosuppressives (except for short term usage of OCS for asthma exacerbation or topical/nasal corticosteroids for any use)	Not allowed 12 weeks or 5 half-lives (whichever is longer) prior to randomization, and throughout the trial. <i>Note: Maintenance OCS at Screening with a stable dose ≤ 10 mg/day prednisone or equivalent OCS in addition to ICS is permitted.</i>
Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy or blood products	Not allowed 30 days prior to randomization, and throughout the trial.

8.2.2. Permitted Medications and Therapies

8.2.2.1. Asthma Medications and Non-Drug Therapies

To be eligible to be randomized in this trial, participants must present with an acute asthma exacerbation characterized by an eosinophilic phenotype and require systemic corticosteroids as SoC treatment in the urgent healthcare setting for the acute exacerbation. Prior to administration of IP, all participants will receive standard therapy for acute asthma exacerbation as described in the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Expert Report 3 and 2020 Focused Updates to the Asthma Management Guidelines ([National Asthma Education and Prevention Program 2007](#); [National Asthma Education and Prevention Program 2020](#)).

The start of SoC is defined as the start of either oral or systemic corticosteroids(s) whichever is earliest.

Note: SoC must be documented in the participant's source documentation and in the eCRF. The use of other medications for treatment of the index asthma exacerbation and any subsequent asthma exacerbation(s), if applicable, is at the discretion of the Investigator or medically qualified health care personnel. Use of medication for treatment of subsequent exacerbations does not need to follow the SoC requirements for the index asthma exacerbation.

Participants will be given the following:

- Oral/systemic corticosteroid: SoC for the index asthma exacerbation is defined for this protocol as treatment with oral/systemic corticosteroid[s] (prednisone 40 mg/day or equivalent) for 5 days; the dose and/or duration of prednisone (40 mg/day or equivalent) may be modified according to the Investigator's/medically qualified designee's judgement or according to local country/institution practice. The participants will be given enough oral prednisone (40 mg/day) to complete a 5-day course of treatment.
- Inhaled rescue albuterol/salbutamol for the remainder of the trial.

Participants are instructed to continue their regular asthma maintenance treatments (eg, ICS-LABA, LAMA, LTRA, or theophylline) for the duration of the trial. At each follow-up visit, participants will be assessed specifically by the Investigator/medically qualified designee for the need to increase ICS or add additional controller medication(s), and the modifications will be recorded in source documents and eCRF.

Participants must withhold the morning dose of regular asthma maintenance medications on the morning of the spirometry assessments, except when treatment is needed for an acute asthma exacerbation.

Allergen immunotherapy is allowed, if on stable therapy for at least 2 months prior to Screening Visit with no anticipated change during the Treatment Assessment Period.

Other concomitant asthma medications may be allowed at the discretion of the Investigator following consultation with the Sponsor's Medical Monitor.

Participants should not be treated with bronchial thermoplasty during the trial.

8.2.2.2. Non-Asthma Medications and Non-Drug Therapies

All medications for other disorders are permitted (except those listed in [Table 3](#)) as long as the dose remains constant whenever possible, and their use would not be expected to affect the efficacy (especially lung function) or safety assessments. The use of a continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) device is permitted during the trial, provided that this therapy was initiated prior to Screening and the participant is on a stable regimen. Patients that require acute use of CPAP cannot be enrolled. Use of this therapy is to be recorded in the source document and eCRF. Participation in the acute or maintenance phase of a Pulmonary Rehabilitation Program during the trial is permitted. Participation is to be recorded in the source document and eCRF.

8.2.2.3. Rescue Therapy

The trial site will supply each randomized participant with albuterol (salbutamol) metered-dose inhaler (MDI) for use in performing the post-BD FEV₁ assessments and as rescue medication for use as needed throughout the trial for treatment of acute symptoms of asthma.

A participant may use a different short-acting beta 2-agonist (SABA) inhaler other than that provided (eg, a different brand of albuterol/salbutamol/ levalbuterol that is distributed at Screening). All SABA inhaler dosing (puffs) must be recorded in the daily e-diary.

In addition, rescue use of albuterol (salbutamol) nebuluses administered via nebulization is discouraged, except as urgent treatment during an asthma exacerbation. Occasions where SABA is administered via nebulization will be recorded separately from MDI inhalations in the e-diary. Use of low dose ICS-formoterol as rescue medication is not allowed during the trial, unless currently utilizing ICS-formoterol treatment for at least 30 days prior to the Screening Visit.

Although the use of rescue albuterol (salbutamol) medications is allowable at any time during the trial, its use should be delayed for at least 4 hours prior to completing the pre-BD spirometry assessments, unless required for treatment of an acute asthma exacerbation. It is anticipated that participants who are randomized into the trial will receive albuterol as part of the routine treatment of asthma exacerbation during Visit 1b and Visit 2. In this instance spirometry should be scheduled to coincide with this treatment to allow post-BD recordings to be measured.

8.3. Treatment Compliance

The date and time of the IP dose administered to the participant will be recorded in the source documents. The dose of IP and trial participant identification will be confirmed at the time of dosing by a member of the trial site staff other than the person administering the IP.

8.4. Randomization and Blinding

8.4.1. Participant Assignment

At Screening (Visit 1a or 1b), all participants are assigned a unique sequential identification (ID) number by site personnel. The participant number is a 7-digit number consisting of a 2-digit country code, a 2-digit site identifier, and a sequential 3-digit participant number.

Following the Screening Period, eligible participants will be randomized to one of the 2 treatment arms.

8.4.2. Randomization

All participants meeting enrollment criteria will be randomized at baseline (Day 0) to receive treatment with either rademikibart 600 mg SC or volume-matched placebo.

As participants qualify for randomization, they will be assigned to treatment by the IRT System. The random treatment assignments will be done in a 1:1 ratio. Randomization will be stratified by age (≥ 18 vs < 18 years old) and baseline smoking status (current smokers vs former smokers or non-smokers).

See Section 7.4 for details on screen failures.

8.4.3. Blinding and Unblinding

This is a double-blind trial; neither the participants nor the Investigator will be aware of the treatment assignment for the participants. Blinding will be maintained throughout the trial by the use of active and placebo treatment of similar appearance and volume-matched dosing.

All Sponsor staff or designee will remain blinded to the treatment allocation until after the trial database is locked with the following exceptions:

- The independent statistician preparing the randomization codes, providing data to the DMC, and conducting the unblinded IA.
- A limited number of the Sponsor's senior management team (independent of the clinical trial team) who will receive results from the IA.
- The staff of the Sponsor or designee responsible for packaging IP.
- The staff of the Sponsor or pharmacovigilance vendor responsible for assessment and reporting of a Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction (SUSAR).
- The staff of contract research organizations (CROs) involved in processing the PK samples.
- The quality assurance auditors, where necessary.

8.4.4. Emergency Unblinding

The IRT will provide access to unblinded participant treatment information in the case of a medical emergency. In case of emergency when the treatment information is required by the Investigator to ensure a participant's safety in managing a medical condition, the treatment may be unblinded at the site by using a code break module. Whenever possible, the Investigator should contact the Sponsor prior to unblinding.

In the case of any intentional or accidental unblinding, the Sponsor or designee must be notified immediately.

Whenever a treatment sequence is prematurely unblinded, the reason, date and time of the unblinding, and the individual who broke the blind must be documented.

9. INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCT MATERIALS AND MANAGEMENT

9.1. Investigational Product

The rademikibart drug product is formulated as a liquid sterile solution at 150 mg/mL in 10 mM L histidine, 60 mM trehalose, and 100 mM NaCl with 0.02% polysorbate 80 at pH 6.2. It is provided as a colorless-to-pale yellow sterile solution at 150 mg/mL concentration in a 1 mL single-dose PFS that delivers 1.0 mL solution.

Placebo solution is identical in appearance and content to the active solution except for rademikibart.

All IPs (rademikibart and placebo) will be supplied as a sterile PFS with a pre-assembled needle safety device. Each PFS is intended for a single 1 mL dose (150 mg) administered SC.

The individual IP information is presented in [Table 4](#).

Table 4: Investigational Products

Intervention Name	Rademikibart	Placebo
Intervention Description	Novel anti-IL-4R α human monoclonal antibody	Matched-volume placebo
Formulation	Injection	Injection
Unit Dose Strength(s)	150 mg in 1 mL	Matching volume
Dosage	600 mg (4 mL) 4 injections	Matching volume 4 injections
Duration of Treatment	Single-dose administration	Single-dose administration
Route of Administration	Subcutaneous injection	Subcutaneous injection

9.2. Investigational Product Packaging and Labeling

The PFSs of IP are packaged in paper boxes with supportive custom inserts.

Clinical labeling and packaging for all studies are conducted under Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) manufacturing conditions. Labels are printed in accordance with local law with approved label specifications and are 100% visually inspected by Quality Assurance. Blinding of kit contents is maintained by assigning kit numbers to each package which are printed on both the drug product and the package labels. Kit numbers are assigned according to a pre-defined randomization schedule which is maintained within an IRT system.

9.3. Investigational Product Storage

The rademikibart drug product and matching placebo solution are to be stored between 2°C to 8°C. The drug product is stable for at least 1 month at 30°C.

9.4. Investigational Product Preparation and Administration

Rademikibart is intended for SC administration. The trial is designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a single 600 mg SC dose of rademikibart (administered as 4 separate 150 mg injections) as adjunct therapy to standard therapy.

IP will be administered by a qualified healthcare professional (eg, physician, physician assistant, nurse practitioner, pharmacist, or trial nurse) at the site. The anatomical location of the injection site must be recorded in the source documents and in the eCRF.

The person administering the dose will wipe the skin surface of the upper arm, anterior thigh or abdomen with alcohol and allow to air dry. The skin will be pinched to isolate the SC tissue from the muscle. The needle will be inserted at a 45-degree angle approximately halfway into the SC tissue. The trial medication will be slowly injected (at least 5 second duration is recommended) into the SC tissue using gentle pressure. The area should not be massaged after injection.

As multiple injections are required for IP administration, each injection site should be separated by at least 3 cm. Administration of the dose will be recorded in the eCRF.

In the case of any incorrect administration of IRT-assigned treatment, the Sponsor must be notified immediately (within 24 hours) after first knowledge. Further details on IP administration are provided in the current Pharmacy Manual.

9.5. Investigational Product Accountability

The Investigator (or designee) is responsible for maintaining accurate accountability records of the IP throughout the clinical trial. Appropriately trained personnel will inventory the IPs received and will maintain records of disposition of the drugs, including dates, quantity, and use. The Investigator or designee must maintain adequate records of the IPs (ie, accountability or dispensing logs) throughout the clinical trial: distribution, including the date received, number and units received, and lot numbers, storage, dispensing, and return or destruction of all IP. All dispensing and accountability records will be available for Sponsor or designee review. IPs accountability records will be reviewed and verified during on-site and remote monitoring visits. At the end of the trial, the Sponsor or designee will conduct a final reconciliation of all IP accountability records.

9.6. Investigational Product Handling and Disposal

Further guidance and information for the final disposition of unused IP is provided in the Pharmacy Manual.

10. ASSESSMENT OF EFFICACY

Efficacy will be assessed via evaluations of the treatment failure rate, acute asthma exacerbations, spirometry assessments, asthma symptoms and asthma control, peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurements, PROs, and healthcare resource utilization and economics per the SoA (Table 5). PRO assessments carried out at trial visits will be completed as the first assessment in the visit schedule before spirometry or any other trial procedure.

10.1. Treatment Failure

Treatment failure is defined as death due to any cause, (re)admission to a hospital for asthma, ED (re)visit or unscheduled medical visit for worsening of asthma symptoms, or the necessity to intensify pharmacologic treatment (including second course of systemic steroids for asthma exacerbation) within 28 days after randomization.

10.2. Acute Exacerbation

Asthma exacerbation is defined by protocol as either:

- Worsening of asthma which requires use of systemic corticosteroids (tablets, suspension, or injection) for at least 3 consecutive days (a single depo-injectable dose of corticosteroids will be considered equivalent to a 3-day burst of systemic corticosteroids).
- or
- A hospitalization or ED visit because of asthma, requiring systemic corticosteroids.

For those participants on OCS at the start of the trial, only a ≥ 2 -fold increase in dosage in response to symptoms will fulfill the definition of exacerbation.

Exacerbations separated by less than 7 days will be treated as a continuation of the same exacerbation. Courses of corticosteroids separated by 7 days or more should be treated as separate acute exacerbations.

The following information will be collected for each asthma exacerbation:

- Date of onset.
- Date of visit to healthcare provider or ED.
- Treatment.
- Resolution date.

10.3. Spirometry

Spirometry will be performed according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines (Miller et al. 2005; Graham et al. 2019) at the timepoints specified in the SoA (Table 5). Spirometry assessments, including FEV₁ and forced vital capacity (FVC; both the absolute measurement and the percentage of predicted normal value will be recorded) should be made as close to the scheduled timepoints as possible. In addition, protocol-specified clinic spirometry must be completed in accordance with the lifestyle restrictions as defined in Section 7.3.

Spirometry will be performed preferably in the morning; afternoon is allowable in the exceptional circumstance when morning spirometry cannot be performed. Current smokers need to be reminded not to smoke for at least 1 hour before spirometry. For each participant, the same spirometer and standard spirometric techniques, including calibration, will be used to perform spirometry at all visits and, whenever possible, the same person should perform the measurements.

Baseline FEV₁ is defined as the post-BD FEV₁ performed at Visit 2 before IP administration. If Visit 1b and Visit 2 are the same day, then post-BD spirometry does not need to be repeated.

At the specified clinical visits per the SoA (Table 5), if the participant's condition allows, post-BD FEV₁ will be conducted approximately 15 to 30 minutes after the participant receives 2 to up to 4 inhalations of albuterol (salbutamol) via MDI (ie, up to a total of 400 mcg) using a spacer device.

It is anticipated that participants who are randomized into the trial will receive albuterol (salbutamol) as part of the routine treatment of asthma exacerbation during Visit 2. In this case, spirometry should be scheduled to coincide with this treatment to allow post-BD recordings to be measured.

For hospitalized participants, post-BD spirometry should also be performed at the timepoints specified in the SoA (Appendix A), including Visit 3 and Visit 4, provided the participant's condition allows.

Hospitalized participants discharged from the hospital prior to Visit 3 and Visit 4, or participants that were not hospitalized should return to the trial site or have a home health care visit for spirometry assessments at Visit 3 and Visit 4 when feasible. If the participant can tolerate BD washout, at Visit 5, Visit 6, Visit 8, Visit 9, and on the day of discharge (only for hospitalized participants), spirometry will be performed prior to administering maintenance asthma medication(s) (pre-BD) and post-BD (as defined above). Participants should withhold their usual maintenance therapies on the day(s) when pre-BD spirometry is being performed as below:

- Withholding the last dose of salbutamol/albuterol or levosalbutamol/levalbuterol for at least 6 hours
- Withholding the last dose of LABA for at least 12 hours (ultra-long-acting LABA like vilanterol should be withheld for at least 24 hours)
- Withholding the last dose of LAMA for at least 24 hours.

This washout period will be verified before performing the measurements. After completing the pre-BD spirometry, the post-BD spirometry should follow the steps as that at Visit 2 before IP administration.

Where multiple assessments are scheduled at the same timepoint, the sequence of assessments should ideally be as shown below. However, during the Screening Visit (Visit 1b) and/or the Randomization Visit (Visit 2), this order may be modified as needed, including instances where some or all of these procedures are performed as part of routine/urgent/ inpatient hospitalized care.

- PROs and other questionnaires.

- Vital signs.
- 12-lead ECG.
- FeNO.
- Spirometry assessment (pre-bronchodilator).
- Post-bronchodilator spirometry.
- Blood sampling and laboratory assessments.
- IP administration (only applicable to Visit 2).

Further details on spirometry will be available in a separate operational manual provided to the sites.

10.4. PEF Measurements at Home

Home peak flow testing for PEF will be performed as outlined in the SoA ([Table 5](#)).

Home PEF testing will be performed by the participant in the morning upon awakening (and prior to using albuterol [if needed] or taking their morning asthma controller) and in the evening at bedtime (and prior to using albuterol [if needed] or taking their evening asthma controller) participants should perform 3 successive peak flow maneuvers while sitting or standing, but in the same position at every testing. The best (highest) morning and evening PEF will be derived from the available individual PEF measurements on each day in the morning and evening, respectively.

Peak flow meters for home and instructions for data recording will be provided to each enrolled participant at Screening Visit 1b. Participants are to be at least 80% compliant with home peak flow testing. Compliance of <80% is considered a protocol deviation.

CFB in weekly mean morning and evening PEF will be calculated separately.

10.5. Patient-reported Outcome Questionnaires

Patient-reported outcomes (PRO) data will be captured electronically using a handheld device at home and at the site. Site personnel will be trained on the use of the device and detailed procedures for using the device will be described in a separate instruction manual.

Participants will be trained on home use of the e-diary at Visit 2 and throughout the trial on an as needed basis. Training will emphasize the importance of completing the PRO assessments as scheduled to capture the participant's experience and meet the objectives of the trial. The participant will complete the following assessments twice daily via the e-diary at the timepoints defined per the SoA ([Table 5](#)) to:

- Respond to symptom scale questions.
- Record the use of established controller inhalation therapy and inhalations of short-acting rescue medications.

Investigator/authorized delegate will check participant's adherence to the PRO assessment schedule as is necessary and at each trial visit to minimize missing data.

The other questionnaires, Asthma Control Questionnaire 5-question version (ACQ-5) (see Section 10.5.1) and the modified Bord Dyspnea Scale (see Section 10.5.2) will only be administered at on-site visits or telephone visit as outlined in the SoA (Table 5). Participants responses to the questionnaires will be captured electronically using a handheld device at the site with the exception of using paper ACQ-5 questionnaires at the telephone visit (Visit 7). Electronic upload of a participant completed paper questionnaire is permitted when use of the electronic questionnaire is not possible.

For participants who are hospitalized, if a participant is too ill to complete the PRO assessments via the e-diary without assistance on a given day, a member of the trial staff may verbally recite the questions verbatim to the participant and the staff member may enter the participant's verbal response in the e-diary. The participant's confirmation of the accuracy of the staff member's transcription will be documented in the source document/e-diary. As the PROs are validated for self-administration only, the reason for this deviation will also be recorded. Hospitalized participants will be encouraged to complete the e-diary personally as soon as possible and will be trained to do so before discharge.

PRO questionnaires should be completed prior to any other assessments or procedures per visit. It is preferable if the ACQ is the first PRO questionnaire completed during a trial visit. However, during the Screening Visit (Visit 1b) and/or the Randomization Visit (Visit 2), this order may be modified as needed, including instances where some or all of these procedures are performed as part of routine/urgent/ inpatient hospitalized care.

10.5.1. Asthma Control Questionnaire 5-question Version (ACQ-5)

The ACQ was designed to measure both the adequacy of asthma control and change in asthma control which occurs either spontaneously or as a result of treatment (Juniper et al. 1999).

The ACQ-5 is a 5-item patient-reported questionnaire to assess the recall over the prior week with 5 items addressing the most common asthma symptoms (Juniper et al. 1999):

1. Frequency in past week awoken by asthma during the night.
2. Severity of asthma symptoms in the morning.
3. Limitation of daily activities due to asthma.
4. Shortness of breath due to asthma.
5. Wheeze.

Questions are weighted equally and rated on a 7-point Likert scale from 0 (no impairment) to 6 (maximum impairment) where scores of ≤ 0.75 indicate well-controlled asthma and scores > 1.5 indicate uncontrolled asthma (Juniper et al. 2006).

The ACQ-5 captures asthma symptoms, night-time waking, symptoms on waking, activity limitation and shortness of breath, wheezing) and SABA use via participant report. Questions are weighted equally and scored from 0 (totally controlled) to 6 (severely uncontrolled). The mean ACQ-5 score is the mean of the responses. Mean scores of ≤ 0.75 indicate well-controlled asthma, scores between 0.75 and < 1.5 indicate partly controlled asthma, and a score ≥ 1.5 indicates uncontrolled asthma (Juniper et al. 2006).

On the 7-point scale of the ACQ-5, a change or difference in score of 0.5 is the smallest change that can be considered clinically important, corresponding to the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) defined by the developer. Individual changes of 0.5 are considered to be clinically meaningful (Juniper et al. 2006).

10.5.2. Modified Borg Dyspnea Scale

The Modified Borg Dyspnea Scale is a patient self-reported measure of one's difficulty in breathing upon exertion. This modified 12-point scale (Wilson and Jones 1989) (0, 0.5, 1 to 10) corresponds with increasing shortness of breath (Appendix F). Patients are asked to mark the most appropriate description or number of their shortness of breath, where 0 represents no dyspnea and 10 represents maximal dyspnea. Although it is a participant assessment scale for assessing the intensity of breathlessness, the Modified Borg Dyspnea Scale has been shown to be reliable for quantifying dyspnea in trial patients with COPD who have undergone a 6-minute treadmill walk test and asthma crisis (Mador et al. 1995; Kendrick et al. 2000; Cavalcante et al. 2008).

No published studies have clearly addressed the MCID of the Borg dyspnea scores in asthma. Distribution-based analyses of data from trials in patients with COPD and heart failure suggest the MCID is 1 point (Ries 2005).

10.5.3. Daily Diary of Symptoms

Participants will be required to keep a diary of asthma symptoms twice daily via a symptom diary. The purpose of the diary is to record the impact of asthma on daily activities. The scoring system is described below.

Note: For the purposes of this trial, "AM Questions" are those defined as being answered by the participant in the morning upon waking (ie, referring to the previous approximate 12 hours, or overnight symptoms). "PM Questions" are defined as those questions answered by the participant in the evening before retiring (ie, referring to the previous approximate 12 hours, or during the day when the participant was awake).

The Investigator should regularly review the diary and discuss any concerns over symptom changes with the participant. Alarms will be programmed to encourage participants to contact the clinic if their symptoms worsen to indicate a possible exacerbation of their asthma.

10.5.4. Rescue Medication Use

Use of rescue medication (albuterol [salbutamol]) including inhaler and nebulizer use will be captured twice daily in the e-diary. If nebulized formulation is used, the number of nebulized treatments over the given period will be recorded twice daily. Inhaler usage will be reported as the number of puffs (ie, the sum of different relievers, if applicable) in a given period whereas nebulizer use will be reported as the number of times. For participants who are hospitalized and/or if the participant is too ill to complete the e-diary on his/her own on a given day, a member of the trial staff may record the participant's use of non-nebulized rescue medication and/or the number of nebulized treatments over the previous 24 hours in the e-diary, as applicable. The participant's confirmation of the accuracy of the staff member's transcription will also be documented in the e-diary /source document.

10.6. Healthcare Resource Utilization and Economics

A questionnaire of health care resource utilization (physician visit, hospitalization, ICU admission, emergency or urgent medical care facility visit) will be collected by the Investigator for all participants throughout the trial.

The data collected will include:

- Reasons and duration of hospitalizations and ED visits.
- Length (hours) of stay in ED per visit.
- Unscheduled visits for urgent care primarily related to asthma symptoms.
- Unscheduled calls primarily related to asthma symptoms.
- Total length (hours) of stay in ED over the 28-day Treatment Assessment Period.
- ICU admission rate.
- Time to ready-for-discharge in hospitalized participants based on clinical assessment and lung function tests.

The data collected will exclude procedures, tests, and encounters mandated by the protocol.

10.6.1. Time to Ready-for-Discharge in Hospitalized Participants

For hospitalized participants, Investigators or their designees will assess the participants daily during the initial hospitalization against the pre-defined discharge criteria based on clinical assessment and lung function tests (eg, FEV₁ or PEF has returned to ≥ 70 % of predicted or personal best and symptoms are minimal or absent). The time required to meet these criteria will be used to evaluate the speed of initial recovery from asthma exacerbation in hospitalized participants.

This assessment applies only to hospitalizations due to the initial asthma exacerbation and is not applicable to hospitalizations resulting from subsequent asthma exacerbations.

11. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY

11.1. Screening and Eligibility Assessments

Participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition should complete Screening Visit 1a after providing written informed consent/assent and after their eligibility has been verified. Investigators or their designees will contact the participants by telephone approximately every 4 weeks after Screening Visit 1a to collect any changes in concomitant medications and any SAEs. If a participant's symptoms worsen, such as increased breathlessness, cough, wheeze, chest tightness, this may indicate that the participant is experiencing an exacerbation. Participants should be reminded to contact the site to report worsening symptoms at the onset of the exacerbation, before taking any medication if not needed urgently. However, participants must not delay treatment (eg, use prescribed rescue therapies or seek emergency treatment) if urgently needed. They should then visit the ED or urgent healthcare clinic associated with the trial center to complete Screening Visit 1b (exacerbation visit) and further verify eligibility criteria. Written informed consent/assent, HIPAA authorization, participant demographics, and previous medical and surgical history will not be collected again at Screening Visit 1b. However, medical conditions that started after Screening Visit 1a should be collected.

For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial during the urgent healthcare visit for an asthma exacerbation, Screening Visit 1b should be completed immediately after providing written informed consent/assent and verifying eligibility.

11.1.1. Chest X-ray or CT

A chest X-ray (or CT scan), for purposes of determining participant eligibility, will be performed at Screening Visit 1b if no chest imaging (X-ray or CT) is available within the previous year or if clinically indicated. For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition, this assessment may be performed at Visit 1a if no chest imaging (X-ray or CT) is available within the previous year. The maximum combined number of chest X-rays and/or CT scans must not exceed 2 for screening.

11.1.2. Smoking Status Review

At the Screening Visits 1a and 1b, information on smoking history (including cigarettes, electronic cigarettes, pipes, marijuana, vaporizers, and cigars), smoking duration (in years), and smoking quantities (in pack-years) will be collected. Former smokers are defined as individuals who have stopped smoking for at least 6 months prior to Screening (Visit 1b). The number of pack-years will be calculated using the formula:

Number of pack-years = (number of cigarettes per day / 20) × number of years smoked
(eg, 20 cigarettes per day for 10 years, or 10 cigarettes per day for 20 years both equal 10 pack-years)

One pack of cigarettes a day for 1 year is equivalent to:

- 1 cigar or pipe per day for 1 year.
- Smoked hookah or shisha =1 session per day for 1 year.

- Vaped e-cigarettes =0.5 mL e-liquid per day for 1 year, or =1 cartridge/tank/pod per day for 1 year.
- 1 use of marijuana per day for 1 year.

Recent smoking status will be reviewed at timepoints specified in the SoA ([Table 5](#)).

11.1.3. Concomitant Medications

Concomitant medication assessments should include prior or current information regarding all prescription, illicit, nonprescription, or alternative medications (including herbal products, vitamins, and contraceptive medications). Use of any palliative or supportive care medications (eg, analgesics, anti-emetics, antidiarrheals, hematopoietic growth factors, transfusions) should be noted.

See Section [8.2](#) for more information.

11.1.4. Demographic/Medical and Surgical History and Other Baseline Characteristics

Demographic information collected will include age and sex, along with ethnicity and race as described by the participant. Other baseline characteristics include height, weight, and body mass index. Medical history includes asthma history, acute exacerbation history, past and current medical conditions (such as cardiovascular and cerebrovascular medical history and surgical history), and substance usage.

11.2. Safety Parameters

Safety will be evaluated by assessment of reported AEs, including SAEs and AESIs, DILI, UADEs, safety laboratory evaluations (hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis), vital signs, physical examinations including injection site evaluation, oxygen saturation detected via pulse oximetry, ECG, and concomitant medication/treatment review. The planned timepoints are provided in the SoA ([Table 5](#)).

At baseline (Visit 2 Day 0), participants will be monitored for a minimum of 2 hours after IP administration.

11.2.1. Vital Signs and Oxygen Saturation

Vital signs, including body temperature, respiratory rate (breath per minute), pulse (beats per minute), and blood pressure (BP) (mmHg), will be measured in the seated position following at least 5 minutes rest at the timepoints specified in the SoA ([Table 5](#)).

BP and pulse measurements will be assessed with a completely automated device whenever possible. Manual techniques will be used only if an automated device is not available. Vital signs will be measured prior to the spirometry maneuvers.

Oxygen saturation will be measured by pulse oximeter as indicated in the SoA.

Participants will be monitored for a minimum of 2 hours after IP administration. Vital signs measurement will be performed pre-injection, at 30 minutes (± 10 minutes) post-injection and then at 1 hour (± 10 minutes) and 2 hours (± 15 minutes) post-injection. Any abnormal findings

that are new or worsened in severity and clinically significant, in the opinion of the Investigator, will be recorded as an AE. Vital sign measurements will be recorded in the eCRF.

11.2.2. Height and Weight

Height and weight will be measured at timepoints per the SoA (Table 5).

The participant's height must be measured without shoes and weight must be determined in indoor clothing and without shoes.

11.2.3. Physical Examination

Complete and symptom-directed physical examinations will be performed at timepoints per the SoA (Table 5).

A complete physical examination will cover general appearance, dermatology, head, ears, eyes, nose, throat, respiratory, cardiovascular, abdominal, neurological, musculoskeletal, and lymphatic body systems.

Symptom-directed physical examinations can be performed at the Investigator's discretion at timepoints allowed per the SoA.

Findings from physical examinations will be documented in the appropriate sections of the eCRF. Abnormal findings identified during physical examination will be evaluated and documented by the Investigator as to whether the abnormality is an AE or medical history.

11.2.4. Electrocardiograms

Twelve-lead ECGs will be taken at timepoints per the SoA (Table 5).

ECGs will be taken using an approved ECG machine. It is recommended that the same ECG machine is used for each assessment. The ECG measurements are collected and assessed at local sites.

All ECG measurements will be performed with the participant resting in a supine position for approximately 5 minutes before each reading and should be carried out after measurement of vital signs and before spirometry. ECGs should be performed before blood is drawn during visits requiring blood draws.

The following parameters will be recorded:

- Ventricular rate.
- PR interval.
- QRS duration.
- RR interval.
- QT interval.
- QT interval corrected for heart rate using Fridericia's formula (QTcF).

Note: The QTcF will be centrally calculated for standardization of reporting across all centers.

The Investigator/Investigator's designee will be responsible for reviewing the ECG to assess whether it is within reference limits and to determine the clinical significance of all abnormal ECG results ('clinically significant' or 'not clinically significant'). A copy of the ECG assessment must be kept in the participant's file at the site. The ECG strips or reports will be retained with the source.

11.2.5. Injection Site Reaction Assessment

Assessment of the planned injection sites will be done prior to dosing, following the injection prior to release of the participant from the clinic (if applicable), and the additional scheduled timepoints per the SoA (Table 5). An assessment tool is provided in Appendix D as an aid in the assessment of common symptoms of injection site reaction. The use of the assessment tool is encouraged but the Investigator may use their own judgement and description of symptoms in the assessment of injection sites following administration of IP.

Participants will be monitored for a minimum of 2 hours after IP administration. Injection sites will be assessed at approximately 2 hours (± 30 minutes) post-injection as indicated in the SoA (Table 5).

Prior to the participant being discharged from the clinic visit, the injection sites should be observed, and the participant queried for the following:

- Pain.
- Erythema/redness.
- Itching/scratching/pruritus.
- Warm to touch.

Additionally, when the participant returns for their next visit, the site staff should inquire about any signs/symptoms of an injection site reaction that occurred after discharge from the prior clinic visit.

An injection site reaction should be reported as an AE if the Investigator judges the reaction as clinically significant. If the AE of injection site reaction lasts longer than 24 hours and the severity is Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Grade ≥ 3 , it will be considered an AESI as defined in Section 11.3.3 and should be reported as described in Section 11.7.2.

See Appendix D for an injection site reaction assessment tool.

11.2.6. Laboratory Assessments

Safety laboratory samples (hematology, clinical chemistry, urinalysis) will be performed at visits according to the SoA (Table 5). See Appendix C for the list of clinical laboratory tests to be performed.

Standard laboratory hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis will be analyzed by a qualified central laboratory. Any safety laboratory tests completed as part of the assessment and treatment of the asthma exacerbation may be used for screening (eg, hematology, clinical chemistry, urinalysis).

Due to the short screening window, local laboratory results will be used for the purpose of determining the participant's eligibility for randomization. Local laboratory samples should be taken at Screening Visit 1b and the results should be received and reviewed prior to randomization to allow review of the applicable eligibility criteria. If local laboratory results from the assessment of the current asthma exacerbation are already available within 48 hours prior to Screening Visit 1b, these results can be used for determination of participant's eligibility. For all randomized participants, a sample for central laboratory analysis should be obtained before IP administration on Day 0 as baseline.

The Investigator must review the laboratory report, document this review, and record any clinically relevant changes occurring during the trial in the AE section of the eCRF. The laboratory reports must be filed with the source documents. Clinically significant abnormal laboratory findings are those which are not associated with the underlying disease, unless judged by the Investigator to be more severe than expected for the participant's condition.

All laboratory tests with values considered clinically significantly abnormal during participation in the trial or within 28 days after the dose of IP should be repeated until the values return to normal or baseline or are no longer considered clinically significant by the Investigator or Medical Monitor.

If such values do not return to normal/baseline within a period of time judged reasonable by the Investigator, the etiology should be identified and the Sponsor notified.

11.2.7. Pregnancy Screen

Pregnancy screening for females of childbearing potential must be negative prior to IP administration. Pregnancy tests will be analyzed at a local laboratory.

A serum pregnancy test must be taken at Screening (Visits 1a and/or 1b) in women of childbearing potential (WOCBP) as described in the SoA (Table 5). A urine pregnancy test (human chorionic gonadotropin; dipstick) must be performed at the trial site at baseline prior to randomization in WOCBP, unless the Baseline Visit (Day 0) and Visit 1b are on the same day. The test must be repeated every 4 weeks as shown in the SoA (Table 5).

Additional serum or urine pregnancy tests may be performed, as determined necessary by the Investigator, or required by local regulation, to establish the absence of pregnancy at any time during the participant's participation in the trial.

Pregnancy reporting requirements are found in Section 11.7.5.

11.3. Safety Reporting Definitions

Investigators are responsible for the detection and documentation of events that meet the definition of an AE, SAE, AESI, suspected DILI, or UADE, as provided in this protocol.

Investigators must review the IB so as to be aware of the safety-related events which may be anticipated with its use. Investigators should also be versed in the latest SoC guidelines.

11.3.1. Adverse Event (AE)

An AE is defined as any untoward medical occurrence associated with the use of a drug in humans, whether or not considered drug related.

An AE may be any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of an IP, whether or not considered causally associated with the use of the IP. Any abnormal laboratory value, vital sign result, or ECG or X-ray findings deemed clinically significant by the Investigator, regardless of causal relationship, must be reported as an AE. A clinical diagnosis, rather than the changes in laboratory analyte or other assessment, should be recorded (eg, anemia rather than low hemoglobin value).

Examples of AEs include the following:

- Significant or unexpected worsening or exacerbation of the condition or indication under study (eg, asthma exacerbation as diagnosed from signs and symptoms such as wheezing, dyspnea, coughing, chest tightness).
- Exacerbation of a chronic or intermittent pre-existing condition, including either an increase in frequency or intensity of the condition (eg, abnormal physical examination finding).
- Signs, symptoms, or clinical sequelae of a suspected interaction.
- Signs, symptoms, or clinical sequelae of a suspected overdose of the IP or a concurrent medication (overdose per se should not be reported as an AE or SAE, unless nonserious or serious sequelae [respectively] occur).
- The following abnormal laboratory results:
 - Any laboratory abnormality suggestive of a new disease/organ toxicity or a worsening of a pre-existing condition.
 - Any laboratory abnormality that required the participant to have IP interrupted or discontinued.
 - Any laboratory abnormality that required the participant to receive specific treatment for the lab abnormality.
 - Any laboratory abnormality that required additional monitoring and follow-up visits.
 - Any laboratory abnormality requiring further diagnostic investigation.

The following examples are not considered AEs:

- Medical or surgical procedure (eg, endoscopy, appendectomy), although the condition that leads to the procedure is an AE.
- Anticipated day-to-day fluctuations of pre-existing disease(s) or condition(s) (including laboratory values) present or detected at the start of the trial that do not worsen.
- The disease or disorder being studied, or expected progression, signs, or symptoms of the disease or disorder being studied, unless they become more severe or occur with a greater frequency than expected for the participant's condition.

11.3.2. Serious Adverse Event

An AE or suspected adverse reaction is considered “serious” if, in the view of either the Investigator or Sponsor, it results in any of the following outcomes:

- Death.
- A life-threatening AE (ie, presented an immediate risk of death from the event as it occurred. This criterion is not intended to include an AE that, had it occurred in a more severe form, might have caused death.)
- Inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization.
- A persistent or significant incapacity or substantial disruption of the ability to conduct normal life functions.
- A congenital anomaly/birth defect.
- Important medical events that may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered serious when, based upon appropriate medical judgment, they may jeopardize the participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition. Examples of such medical events include allergic bronchospasm requiring intensive treatment in an emergency room or at home, blood dyscrasias or convulsions that do not result in inpatient hospitalization, or the development of drug dependency or drug abuse.

The following events do not meet the definition meet the definition of an SAE: hospitalization for elective treatment of a pre-existing condition that does not worsen from baseline, hospitalizations for a standard procedure for IP administration, routine monitoring of the studied indication not associated with any deterioration in condition, social or convenience admission to a hospital, prolongation of a hospitalization for social or convenience reasons not associated with the occurrence of an AE, or hospitalization or an emergency room visit that lasts less than 24 hours that does not meet the criteria of an important medical or a life-threatening event.

11.3.3. Adverse Event of Special Interest

An AESI may be serious or nonserious and is one of scientific and medical concern specific to the Sponsor's product mechanism of action, for which ongoing monitoring may be appropriate. Such an event might warrant further investigation to characterize and understand it and rapid communication by the trial Sponsor to other regulatory authorities may also be warranted.

For this trial, AESIs shall include:

- Conjunctivitis.
- Keratitis.
- Severe injection site reactions persisting for more than 24 hours: defined as injection site reactions persisting for more than 24 hours and the severity is CTCAE Grade ≥ 3 .
- Parasitic and opportunistic infections: whether the infection is classified as opportunistic infection will be determined after discussion with medical monitor.

When reporting opportunistic infection, the Investigators will refer to [Appendix H, Table 7](#).

Anaphylaxis: defined according to the symptoms shown in [Appendix H, Table 8](#).

11.3.4. Suspected Drug-induced Liver Injury

DILI refers to a liver injury induced by various chemical drugs, biological products, herbal medicines and their metabolites or even excipients ([Food and Drug Administration 2009 Jul 1](#)). Hy's Law can facilitate assessment of severe liver injury predominated by hepatocellular injury. It specifically refers to cases that meet all of the following 3 criteria:

For participants with normal liver function tests at baseline:

1. ALT or AST $\geq 3 \times$ ULN during Treatment Assessment Period, and
2. Total bilirubin $> 2 \times$ ULN during Treatment Assessment Period; without cholestasis at baseline (serum alkaline phosphatase [ALP] increased), and
3. No other identifiable causes explaining the simultaneous elevation of aminotransferases and total bilirubin, such as viral hepatitis A, B, C or E or other acute liver diseases, or concomitant use of other drugs that may induce liver injury.

11.3.5. Unanticipated Adverse Device Effect

According to 21 CFR 812.3(s), a UADE means any serious adverse effect on health or safety or any life-threatening problem or death caused by, or associated with, a device, if that effect, problem, or death was not previously identified in nature, severity, or degree of incidence in the investigational plan or application (including a supplementary plan or application), or any other unanticipated serious problem associated with a device that relates to the rights, safety, or welfare of participants.

11.3.6. Unexpected Adverse Event

An AE that is not listed in the IB at the specificity or severity that has been observed. For example, hepatic necrosis would be unexpected (by virtue of greater severity) if the IB referred only to elevated hepatic enzymes or hepatitis. Similarly, cerebral thromboembolism and cerebral vasculitis would be unexpected (by virtue of greater specificity) if the IB listed only cerebral vascular accidents. This includes AEs that are mentioned in the IB as occurring with a class of products or as anticipated from the pharmacological properties of the IP but are not specifically mentioned as occurring with the particular IP under investigation.

11.4. Relationship to Investigational Product

The Investigator will assess the relationship of each AE to IP based on his/her clinical judgment. The causality assessment is one of the criteria used when determining regulatory reporting requirements. The Investigator's assessment of an AE's relationship to IP is part of the documentation process, but it is not a factor in determining what is or is not reported in the trial. The Investigator will assess causality for every event before entering information into the AE eCRF/ Safety Reporting Form. The Investigator may change his or her opinion of causality in light of follow-up information and amend the AE/SAE information accordingly in the eCRF or

the AE eCRF/ Safety Reporting Form, as applicable. The Sponsor's assessment of relationship may differ from the Investigator's assessment.

Relationship to IP will be assessed according to the following guidelines:

- Possibly related: the AE is known to occur with the IP, there is a reasonable possibility that the IP caused the AE, or there is a temporal relationship between the IP and event. Reasonable possibility means that there is evidence to suggest a causal relationship between the IP and the AE.
- Unlikely related: there is not a reasonable possibility that the administration of the IP caused the event, there is no temporal relationship between the IP and event onset, or an alternate etiology has been established.

11.5. Severity Assessment

It is important to distinguish between serious and severe AEs. Severity is a measure of intensity whereas seriousness is defined by the criteria under Section 11.3.2. An AE of severe intensity may not be considered serious.

The Investigator may use the CTCAE Version 5.0 to assist in the determination of severity and clinical significance. The following represents CTCAE grading of AE severity:

- Grade 1: asymptomatic or mild symptoms or clinical or diagnostic observations only or intervention not indicated.
- Grade 2: minimal, local or noninvasive intervention indicated or limiting age-appropriate instrumental activities of daily living (ADL). Instrumental ADL refer to preparing meals, shopping for groceries or clothes, using the telephone, managing money, etc.
- Grade 3: hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization indicated or disabling or limiting self-care ADLs. Self-care ADLs refer to bathing, dressing and undressing, feeding self, using the toilet, taking medications and not bedridden.
- Grade 4: Life-threatening consequences with urgent intervention indicated.
- Grade 5: Death related to AE.

11.6. Recording Adverse Events

All AEs regardless of causality will be recorded in the EDC from the time the participant signs the informed consent/assent form through the participant's last visit or 28 days post last dose, whichever is longer. AEs that occur after signing of the informed consent/assent form but prior to IP administration should be recorded on the Medical and Surgical History eCRF. AEs reported during this time that are serious should also be reported as an SAE (Section 11.7.1). Any clinically significant findings as determined by the Investigator, or changes from the time of signing the informed consent/assent form, should be recorded on the AE or Medical History eCRF, as applicable.

For participants who received IP, if an Investigator becomes aware of an SAE that occurs after the participant's trial participation ends and the Investigator considers the event to be possibly

related to the IP, the Investigator needs to report the SAE to the Sponsor as described in Section 11.7.

11.7. Safety Reporting to the Sponsor of Serious Adverse Events and Other Safety Reports

11.7.1. Serious Adverse Events

If the Investigator determines that an event meets the protocol definition of an SAE due to any cause that occurs during the course of this trial, regardless of relationship to IP, he/she must notify the Sponsor by entering the SAE information into the eCRF within 24 hours of the Investigator becoming aware of the SAE.

If EDC is not available, the Investigator must complete a Safety Reporting Form and email it to the Sponsor **within 24 hours** of the Investigator becoming aware of the SAE. The Investigator must also enter the SAE information into the eCRF as soon as possible thereafter.

Sponsor Safety Reporting Email Address: connectsafety.sm@thermofisher.com

In the initial email, the Investigator must provide to the Sponsor the following eCRF pages, completed to the greatest extent possible:

- AE record.
- Medical history.
- Prior and concomitant medications.

Also, the following documents are to be forwarded: any laboratory results, diagnostic test results, or medical reports relevant to the SAE.

The EDC is the primary method for notification of SAE information. In rare circumstances and in the absence of email capacity, notification by fax or telephone is acceptable, with a copy of the Safety Reporting Form sent by overnight mail. Initial notification via telephone does not replace the need for the Investigator to complete the SAE information in the eCRF within the time frames outlined.

If the Investigator does not have all information regarding an SAE, he/she must not wait to receive additional information before notifying the Sponsor of the event. The SAE must be updated when additional information is received. Follow-up information received on all SAEs must be forwarded to the Sponsor using the same timelines as for an initial report.

11.7.2. Adverse Event of Special Interest

The Investigator must notify the Sponsor of any AESI that is serious (ie, an SAE) **within 24 hours** of the Investigator becoming aware of the event following the same reporting process as outlined for SAEs in Section 11.7.1.

For an AESI that does not meet the definition of an SAE, the Investigator must report it to the Sponsor **within 72 hours** of becoming aware of the event and following the same reporting process as outlined for SAEs in Section 11.7.1 by entering the information on the eCRF or utilizing the Safety Reporting Form, if the EDC is not available.

11.7.3. Adverse Event of Drug-induced Liver Injury

The Investigator must notify the Sponsor **within 24 hours** of awareness that a participant has experienced DILI suspected to meet the Hy's Law following the same reporting process as outlined for SAEs in Section 11.7.1. The recommendations for the follow-up and handling of suspected DILI cases are provided in [Appendix I](#).

11.7.4. Unanticipated Adverse Device Effect

The Investigator must notify the Sponsor of any UADE. If a UADE is associated with an SAE, the Investigator must notify the Sponsor **within 24 hours** of the Investigator becoming aware of the effect following the same reporting process as outlined for SAEs in Section 11.7.1.

11.7.5. Reporting Pregnancy

Pregnancy is not considered to be an AE; however, if the outcome of a pregnancy meets the criteria for an SAE (ie, ectopic pregnancy, spontaneous abortion includes miscarriage and missed abortion, intrauterine fetal demise, neonatal death, or congenital anomaly [in a live-born baby, a terminated fetus, an intrauterine fetal demise, or a neonatal death]), the Investigator should follow the procedures for reporting SAEs. Female participants who become pregnant within 8 weeks after receiving IP should also notify the Investigator. The Investigator must attempt to follow the pregnancy to term or termination to report on outcome and health status of mother and child.

The Investigator must notify the Sponsor of any pregnancy by completing a Pregnancy Form and emailing it to the Sponsor **within 24 hours** after the Investigator becomes aware of the pregnancy.

Sponsor Safety Reporting Email Address: connectsafety.sm@thermofisher.com

11.8. Follow-Up of Adverse Events

After the occurrence of an AE, the Investigator is required to follow each participant proactively and provide further information on the participant's condition. All AEs documented at a previous visit or contact and designated as ongoing will be reviewed at subsequent visits or contacts.

Nonserious AEs will be followed after the last scheduled trial visit until the event resolves, the condition stabilizes, or until the event is otherwise explained or judged by the Investigator to be no longer clinically significant (unless the participant is lost to follow-up or withdraws consent).

SAEs will be followed until the event resolves (ie, when the event no longer meets any of the seriousness criteria), the condition stabilizes, or until the event is otherwise explained or judged by the Investigator to be no longer clinically significant (unless the participant is lost to follow-up or withdraws consent). The Investigator will ensure that follow-up information provided to the Sponsor includes results of any additional laboratory tests or investigations, histopathologic examinations, or consultations with other healthcare professionals that serve to clarify the nature of the event, the cause of the event, or both. New or updated information will be recorded as outlined in the section Reporting Serious Adverse Events to the Sponsor.

The Investigator will assess the outcome of each AE using the following categories:

- Resolved/Recovered: the AE resolved or the participant recovered without sequelae. An event (either serious or nonserious) occurred and had an end date without a complication.
- Resolved/Recovered with sequelae: the AE has a complication or condition that results from a previous AE.
- Not resolved: at the end of the trial, a nonserious AE either has not changed in intensity or may not have recovered to baseline values.
- Unknown: the participant has withdrawn from the trial prematurely or is lost to follow-up, and the status of the event is unknown.
- Death.

12. PHARMACOKINETICS, PHARMACODYNAMICS, AND IMMUNOGENICITY

12.1. Pharmacokinetics

Blood samples for PK assessments will be collected prior to administration of IP and postdose at the specified timepoints (Table 5). Plasma samples for determination of rademikibart concentrations will be analyzed by a laboratory using a validated method.

Whole blood samples of approximately 2 mL will be collected and processed for measurement of plasma concentrations of rademikibart. Each plasma sample will be divided into 2 aliquots (1 for PK, and a backup).

Instructions for the collection and handling of biological samples will be provided by the Sponsor. The actual date and time (24-hour clock time) of each sample will be recorded.

12.2. Pharmacodynamics

Biomarkers of type 2 inflammation will be assessed over the course of the participants' treatment including peripheral blood eosinophil count, eotaxin-3, C-reactive protein, total immunoglobulin E, and TARC as specified in the SoA (Table 5).

12.2.1. Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide

FeNO will be measured using a NIOX VERO[®] Airway Inflammation Monitor, or equivalent device according to the SoA (Table 5). The FeNO test will be performed prior to spirometry. Participants should not eat or drink for 1 hour prior to having the FeNO test.

Baseline FeNO is performed at Visit 2 before IP administration. If Visit 1b and Visit 2 are the same day, then FeNO does not need to be repeated.

Further details on the procedure for measuring FeNO with NIOX will be provided in a separate instruction manual.

12.3. Immunogenicity

Immunogenicity will be evaluated by assessment of anti-drug antibodies (ADAs) and, if applicable, neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) according to the SoA (Table 5).

Antibodies to rademikibart will be evaluated in serum samples collected from all participants. Additionally, serum samples should also be collected at the final visit from participants who withdraw from the trial. These samples will be tested by the Sponsor's designee using validated methods.

Serum samples will be screened for ADAs binding to rademikibart and the titer of confirmed positive samples will be reported. Confirmed positive samples will be assessed for nAbs and reported as nAb positive/negative.

13. STATISTICS

Complete details of the statistical analyses and methods, including data conventions, will be contained in a separate Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) that will be finalized before database lock and unblinding. This section is a summary of the planned statistical analyses of the most important endpoints including primary and key secondary endpoints.

13.1. Primary Analysis Hypothesis

The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in the treatment failure rates between rademikibart treatment and placebo (rademikibart vs placebo).

$H_0: P_{\text{rademikibart}} - P_{\text{p}} = 0$, where $P_{\text{rademikibart}}$ and P_{p} are the proportion of participants with treatment failure within 28 days after randomization for those randomized to rademikibart and placebo, respectively.

The alternative hypothesis is that rademikibart is different from placebo with respect to the treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization.

$H_a: P_{\text{rademikibart}} - P_{\text{p}} \neq 0$.

13.2. Sample Size Determination

Approximately 160 participants with an acute asthma exacerbation and eosinophil ≥ 300 cells/ μL at Screening Visit 1b will be randomized at a 1:1 ratio to rademikibart 600 mg SC (n=80) or placebo (n=80). The sample size calculation is based on the statistical analysis of the primary endpoint of treatment failure rate.

To account for a 5% dropout rate, approximately 160 participants will be randomized to ensure that 152 participants are evaluable. Assuming treatment failure rates of 22.5% for the rademikibart group and 45% for the placebo group up to 28 days after randomization, this sample size will provide at least 80% power to detect a difference at a 2-sided Type I error rate of 5%. Conditional power will be calculated during the IA and the final sample size will be determined according to the prespecified rules.

To ensure that the sample size is reasonably sufficient, an unblinded sample size re-estimation will be conducted when approximately the first 80 participants in total have had the opportunity to complete the trial or discontinue early (ie, no ongoing participants will be included in this sample size re-estimation procedure). The unblinded sample size re-estimation procedure for this trial will not allow for a reduction in the planned sample size or an increase in sample size greater than 40 participants per group (80 participants total). This sample size re-estimation procedure will be conducted by a statistician who is not associated with the conduct or final analysis of the trial. No penalty will be applied to the p-values or confidence intervals for assessing treatment difference from placebo due to this sample size re-estimation procedure. The independent statistician and DMC will recommend to the Sponsor any changes required to the sample size. Results from the IA will be communicated to a limited number of the Sponsor's senior management team who are independent of the clinical trial team and will be identified in the unblinding plan before the IA is performed.

13.3. Analysis Sets

For the purposes of analysis, the following analysis sets are defined:

Analysis Set	Description
RS	All participants who are randomized to treatment
FAS	All participants from RS having taken at least 1 dose of IP after randomization; data will be presented based on randomized treatment group
PPS	All participants from FAS without important major protocol deviation(s) that could affect the evaluation of the IP
SS	All participants from RS having taken at least 1 dose of IP after randomization; data will be presented based on treatment actually received
PKS	All participants from RS with at least 1 dose of rademikibart taken and with at least 1 post-dose evaluable PK blood sample

FAS = Full Analysis Set; IP = investigational product; PKS = Pharmacokinetics Analysis Set; PPS = Per-protocol Set; RS = Randomized Set; SS = Safety Analysis Set

All screened participants will be accounted for in the clinical study report (CSR). The inclusion and/or exclusion of participants from the trial analysis sets will be documented in the SAP before breaking the randomization code.

Participants in the Randomized Set (RS) will be summarized according to the treatment group to which they were randomized.

Participants in the Full Analysis Set (FAS) will be summarized according to the treatment group to which they were randomized, regardless of treatment received. The primary analyses of all efficacy endpoints will be conducted in the FAS.

Supportive efficacy analyses will be conducted in the Per-protocol Set (PPS). Analyses based on the PPS will be performed to support the results obtained for the FAS. Reasons for exclusion from the PPS will be documented prior to database lock and unblinding.

The analysis population for the safety endpoints will be the Safety Analysis Set (SS). These participants will be analyzed according to their actual treatment received, regardless of the randomized treatment assigned.

The analysis population for the immunogenicity endpoints will be the subset of the Pharmacokinetic Analysis Set (PKS) population with at least a predose (baseline) and at least one evaluable post-dose immunogenicity assessment.

13.4. Statistical Analyses

13.4.1. General Considerations

Unless otherwise stated, all significance tests will be 2-sided using the 5% significance level. In addition, 95% confidence intervals will be provided where appropriate.

An observed-cases approach will be used for tabulations of data by visit (ie, involving only those participants who attended each specific visit).

Categorical data will be summarized using the number and percentage of participants in each category and treatment group. Continuous data will be summarized using descriptive statistics including the number of non-missing observations (n), mean, standard deviation, minimum, 25th percentile, median (50th percentile), 75th percentile, and maximum values.

All the analyses specified in the protocol will be reviewed in relation to the blinded data obtained and the SAP will be finalized before breaking the randomization code for primary analysis. Any changes from the statistical analysis planned in this clinical trial protocol will be described and justified in a protocol amendment, the SAP, and/or in the CSR.

Objectives and endpoints are provided in Section 5.

13.4.2. Multiplicity Adjustment

The analysis of secondary and exploratory endpoints will not have multiplicity adjustments.

13.4.3. Disposition of Participants

Participant disposition will be presented, including reasons for discontinuation from the trial, for all participants who provide informed consent/assent.

13.4.4. Demographics and Other Baseline Characteristics

Demographics include age, sex, race, and ethnicity. Other baseline characteristics include height, weight, duration of asthma, past and concurrent diagnoses (from medical history and indications for concomitant medication), concomitant medication, and previous asthma treatments.

Descriptive statistics of demographics and other baseline characteristics will be presented separately for all randomized participants, the FAS, and the PPS. The presentations will be overall and by treatment group.

13.4.5. Primary Efficacy Endpoint

Formal hypothesis testing will be performed to analyze the difference in treatment failure rates between treatment groups using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test for the common risk difference stratified by age and baseline smoking status. The risk difference and the corresponding 95% CI will be presented. The null hypothesis of no difference in response rates between rademikibart and placebo will be tested against the 2-sided alternative that there is a difference at significance level 0.05. The primary efficacy analysis will be conducted based on observed data using the FAS.

13.4.5.1. Sensitivity Analyses

Sensitivity Analysis 1: Missing data from participants who discontinue the trial prior to a documented treatment failure will be considered to have experienced treatment failure. The primary analysis will be repeated using this sensitivity analysis to handle missing data.

Sensitivity Analysis 2: Tipping point analyses will be conducted whereby the primary analysis on the binary endpoint will be repeated for every possible scenario regarding the missing endpoints. Every possible combination of response and non-response in the rademikibart dose and placebo groups is considered for the missing values, and the primary analysis is repeated for

each scenario. A plot of p-values will be provided, and the robustness of the primary result will be assessed.

13.4.5.2. Supplementary Analyses

The primary analysis will be repeated on PPS.

13.4.6. Secondary Efficacy Endpoints

The rate of new asthma exacerbations in the 28 days after randomization will be analyzed using a negative binomial regression model adjusting for randomization stratification factors and baseline characteristics. Full details of the model will be documented in the SAP.

The time to the first new asthma exacerbation will be analyzed using a stratified log-rank test, adjusting for the randomization stratification factors. A Kaplan-Meier plot of the time to first new asthma exacerbation will be provided.

A mixed model repeated measures analysis will be used to compare treatment groups with respect to the mean CFB in morning/evening asthma symptom score and nocturnal awakenings and with respect to the absolute CFB in post-BD FEV₁. The mixed model repeated measures model will include fixed factors for scheduled visit, treatment, the visit by treatment interaction, and will include covariates for the stratification factors and the respective baseline value of the continuous outcome. An unstructured covariance matrix will be used to model the within-participant covariance structure.

The FAS will be used for the secondary endpoint analyses.

13.4.6.1. Supplementary Analyses

Analysis for key secondary endpoint will be repeated on PPS.

13.4.7. Exploratory Efficacy Endpoints

The exploratory efficacy endpoints are provided in Section 5.

Exploratory efficacy endpoints will be summarized by treatment group and visit using descriptive statistics. Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel chi-square tests will be used to compare treatment groups with respect to the proportion-based binary efficacy endpoints and a mixed model repeated measures or analysis of covariance will be used to compare treatment groups with respect to continuous efficacy endpoints. Negative binomial models will be used for any exploratory analyses of exacerbation rates, and stratified log-rank test will be used for any time-to-event driven exploratory analyses. Analyses will be conducted based on observed data using the FAS.

13.4.8. Safety Analysis

Safety endpoints are provided in Section 5.

The analyses of safety will be based on the SS.

Safety data will be summarized using frequency tables (counts with percentages) and will be presented by treatment and scheduled time, if appropriate. Continuous safety data will be summarized using descriptive statistics by treatment and scheduled time, if applicable.

Summaries will be provided by scheduled visits and timepoints. Data collected at unscheduled times will be listed but will not be included in summaries by scheduled visit.

Safety endpoints to be summarized include AEs, injection site reactions, vital signs (BP, pulse, respiratory rate, and temperature), ECG parameters, and safety laboratory data (hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis).

13.4.8.1. Adverse Events

AEs will be coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities. TEAEs are defined as any AEs that occur or worsen during the Treatment Assessment Period, whether or not considered related to the treatment. The incidence of TEAEs will be presented overall, by system organ class, and by preferred term (PT) for each treatment group. Incidence of TEAEs by severity and relationship to IP will also be presented for each treatment group. Deaths, SAEs, AESIs, and AEs resulting in discontinuation from the IP or the trial will be summarized by treatment group.

In addition, AEs occurring during the Follow-up Period will be summarized for participants followed during that period.

A listing will be provided for all participants who experience a TEAE, SAE, discontinue IP or the trial prematurely because of AEs, and temporarily interrupt IP because of AEs. AESIs will also be listed.

13.4.8.2. Concomitant Medications

Medication names will be coded according to the World Health Organization Drug Dictionary. Prior and concomitant medications will be summarized overall and by drug classification and preferred name by treatment group.

Prior medications summaries will be restricted to medications stopped before the date of first IP dose. The summary of concomitant medications will comprise all medications taken during the Treatment Assessment Period, including medications ongoing at entry.

13.4.8.3. Vital Signs

The change in vital signs (BP, pulse, respiratory rate, body temperature) from baseline to each visit will be summarized by visit and treatment group descriptively including mean, standard deviation, minimum, 25th percentile, median (50th percentile), 75th percentile, and maximum values. The number and percentage of participants with a treatment-emergent potentially clinically significant values will be summarized.

13.4.8.4. Laboratory Values

The change in each of the laboratory parameters from baseline to each visit will be summarized by visit and treatment group descriptively including mean, standard deviation, minimum, 25th percentile, median (50th percentile), 75th percentile, and maximum values.

Laboratory parameters will be classified as 'low', 'normal', or 'high', depending on whether the value is below, within, or above the reference range, respectively. Shift tables based on the low/normal/high categories may be produced showing the categories at baseline against those at

each visit. Listings with laboratory parameters outside the reference range flagged will be provided.

13.4.8.5. ECG Data

Ventricular rate, RR interval, QT interval, QTcF, PR interval, and QRS duration values at each timepoint and CFB at each timepoint after first dose will be summarized. The number and percentage of participants with a treatment-emergent potentially clinically significant ECG value will be summarized (for ECG, Visit 1b serves as the baseline). A listing of participants with extreme values or changes will be provided.

13.4.9. Anti-drug Antibodies

ADA status (positive vs negative) at each visit will be summarized by treatment group. If considered relevant, descriptive statistics including number of participants, mean, standard deviation, median, and range of the actual ADA titers by treatment group and visit will be provided. The ADA status across the trial for each participant (positive vs negative) will also be classified and summarized by treatment group.

The association of ADA status across the trial (positive vs negative) with AEs/SAEs may be evaluated. In addition, the association of ADA titers (\geq median titer in positive participants vs $<$ median titer) with AE/SAEs may be evaluated for ADA-positive treated participants only. The ADA-positive participants across the trial may also be divided into persistent positive vs transient positive. A participant will be considered as persistent positive if he/she has positive ADA for ≥ 2 consecutive visits with ADA assessment. Otherwise, the participant will be considered as transient ADA-positive. The associations between ADA and AE/SAEs may be summarized for both persistent positive participants vs transient positives participants.

Evaluations of nAb will be conducted on those serum samples that test positive for ADA. The test sample is deemed positive or negative for the presence of nAb to rademikibart relative to a predetermined (in assay validation), statistically derived cut point.

All participants with titer information will be listed.

13.4.10. Pharmacodynamic Analysis

Biomarker analyses will be considered exploratory. Changes in serum levels of PD analytes and peripheral eosinophil counts will be summarized using descriptive statistics by treatment and scheduled time and, if appropriate, will be plotted by treatment. Exploratory biomarker analyses will be detailed in a separate analysis plan and report.

13.4.11. Pharmacokinetic Analysis

Whole blood for plasma rademikibart concentrations will be obtained and analyzed as per the SoA (Table 5). Individual plasma concentrations will be plotted and summarized using descriptive statistics by scheduled time.

Rademikibart PK data from this trial will be pooled with data from other studies to inform the population PK model of rademikibart in this trial population. Results of the population PK analysis will be reported separately.

13.5. Other Analysis

13.5.1. Subgroup Analysis

Subgroup analyses of the primary endpoint and secondary endpoints will be conducted to assess consistency of the investigational intervention effect across the following subgroups:

- Sex.
- Race.
- Ethnicity.

If the number of participants is too small within a subgroup, then the subgroup categories may be redefined before unblinding the trial. Forest plots presenting the estimated trial arm difference and 95% CIs will be provided. Further details on the statistical analysis will be provided in the SAP.

13.6. Handling of Missing Data

Missing data handling is described in the Sensitivity Analyses for primary endpoint in Section 13.4.5.1. Additional details will be provided in the SAP.

13.7. Interim Analysis

One IA is planned to be performed when approximately 80 participants with an asthma exacerbation are enrolled into the trial and have completed the Week 4 Visit. This analysis will allow for the potential adjustment of the sample size.

During the IA, treatment failure rate within 28 days after randomization and the new asthma exacerbation rate over the 4-week period will be assessed, and conditional power will be calculated by an independent statistician. The independent statistician will compare the calculated conditional power with the prespecified sample size to determine the final sample size for the trial. The independent statistician and DMC will recommend to the Sponsor any changes required to the sample size. Results from the IA will be communicated to a limited number of the Sponsor's senior management team who are independent of the clinical trial team; these people will be identified in the unblinding plan before the IA are performed.

14. DIRECT ACCESS TO SOURCE DATA/DOCUMENTS

14.1. Trial Monitoring

Before an investigational site can enter a participant into the trial, a representative of the Sponsor will visit the investigational trial site to:

- Determine the adequacy of the facilities.
- Discuss with the Investigator(s) and other personnel their responsibilities with regard to protocol adherence, and the responsibilities of the Sponsor or its representatives. This will be documented in a Clinical Trial Agreement between the Sponsor and the Investigator.
- The Sponsor (or its designee) is responsible for ensuring the proper conduct of the trial. This includes ensuring the participants' rights and well-being are protected, the conduct of the trial is within compliance of an approved protocol and GCPs, and the integrity of the data is accurate, complete, and verifiable from source documentation. At regular intervals during the trial, the Sponsor or its designees will contact the trial site via site visits, telephone calls, emails, and letters to review trial progress and the eCRF completion and to address any concerns or questions regarding the trial conduct. The extent, nature, and frequency of on-site visits will be based on such considerations as the trial objectives and/or endpoints, the purpose of the trial, trial design complexity, and enrollment rate. During monitoring visits, the following aspects of trial conduct will be carefully reviewed: participants' informed consent/assent documents, participant recruitment procedures, participants' compliance with the trial procedures, source-data verification, drug accountability (unblinded monitor only), use of concomitant therapy by participants, AE and SAE documentation and reporting, and the quality of data. By signing the protocol, the Investigator agrees to Sponsor (or designee) monitoring of the trial.

During the trial, a monitor from the Sponsor or representative will have regular contact with the investigational site, for the following:

- Provide information and support to the Investigator(s).
- Confirm that facilities remain acceptable.
- Confirm that the investigational team is adhering to the protocol, that data are being accurately recorded in the CRFs, and that IP accountability checks are being performed.
- Perform source data verification. This includes a comparison of the data in the CRFs with the participant's medical records at the hospital or practice, and other records relevant to the trial. This will require direct access to all original records for each participant (eg, clinic charts).
- Record and report any protocol deviations not previously sent to the Sponsor.

14.2. Audits and Inspections

Authorized representatives of the Sponsor, a regulatory authority, an IRB/IEC may visit the site to perform audits or inspections, including source data verification. The purpose of a Sponsor audit or inspection is to systematically and independently examine all trial-related activities and documents to determine whether these activities were conducted, and data were recorded, analyzed, and accurately reported according to the protocol, GCP guidelines of the ICH, and any applicable regulatory requirements. The Investigator should contact the Sponsor immediately if contacted by a regulatory agency about an inspection.

14.3. Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee Approval

The Principal Investigator must obtain IRB/IEC approval for the investigation. Initial IRB/IEC approval, and all materials approved by the IRB/IEC for this trial including the participant consent/assent form and recruitment materials must be maintained by the Investigator and made available for inspection.

15. QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Sponsor or its designee establishes quality assurance and quality control systems with Standard Operating Procedures to ensure that the clinical trial is conducted, and the data are generated, documented (recorded), and reported in compliance with the protocol, ICH Guideline for Good Clinical Practice E6, and applicable regulatory requirements.

Investigators are responsible for adhering to GCP guidelines. Investigators must ensure that all data collected during the trial are accurate, complete, and reliable before presenting it to the Sponsor and that it can be used for regulatory submissions. The Investigator or designee must record all required data using the prespecified data collection method defined by the Sponsor or its designee.

15.1. Trial Auditing

The Investigator should contact the Sponsor immediately if contacted by a regulatory agency regarding an inspection.

The Sponsor also reserves the right to audit sites with advanced notice. Sponsor audits may be routine or for-cause. The trial may be audited or inspected at any point during or after completion by the Sponsor (or designee), IRB/IEC, and/or regulatory authorities. In the event of an audit, the Investigator agrees to allow the Sponsor, representatives of the Sponsor, the competent authority, or other regulatory agencies direct access to all trial records such as the trial protocol, CRFs, participant consent/assent forms, participant records maintaining confidentiality, AE reports, and source data. The Investigator will immediately notify the Sponsor of all audits or inspections scheduled by any regulatory authority and promptly forward copies of any audit or inspection reports, including any discrepancies, non-compliance, and corrective actions, to the Sponsor.

16. ETHICS

16.1. Ethics Review

16.1.1. Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee Approval

Federal and national regulations and ICH guidelines require approval be obtained from an IRB/IEC before participation of human participants in research studies. Before the trial onset, the protocol, informed consent/assent, advertisements to be used for participant recruitment, and other written information regarding this trial to be provided to the participant or the participant's legal guardian must be approved by the IRB/IEC. Documentation of all IRB/IEC approvals and of the IRB/IEC compliance with ICH Guideline E6 will be maintained by the site and will be available for review by the Sponsor or its designee.

All IRB/IEC approvals should be signed by the IRB/IEC Chairman or designee and must identify the IRB/IEC name and address, the clinical protocol by title and/or protocol number, and the date approval and/or favorable opinion was granted.

The Principal Investigator is responsible for obtaining continued review of the clinical research at intervals not exceeding 1 year or otherwise specified by the IRB/IEC. The Principal Investigator must supply the Sponsor or its designee with written documentation of continued review of the clinical research.

16.2. Ethical Conduct of the Trial

This trial will be conducted in compliance with the protocol and all applicable regulatory requirements in accordance with ICH/GCP and in general conformity with the most recent version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

16.3. Written Informed Consent/Assent

The informed consent/assent process for clinical studies must comply with Title 21 of the CFR Part 50, the Declaration of Helsinki, GCP requirements (CPMP/ICH/135/95), and local regulations, with patient protection as priority. Before participation, each participant must sign a written informed consent/assent form with information on the trial and its potential risks. A separate informed consent/assent form is needed for genetic analysis if applicable.

If any changes to trial procedures occur at a site, the informed consent/assent forms must be reviewed by the Sponsor or its designee and approved by the IRB/IEC before use. If the informed consent/assent form is revised during the trial, all active participants must sign the updated version. The Principal Investigator must ensure that the participant or their legal guardian fully understands the trial before signing the informed consent/assent form.

The Principal Investigator provides a signed copy to the participant and keeps the original in the participant's medical records. The Sponsor will provide a multicenter informed consent/assent form template, which may be adapted to conform to institutional needs while ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements. Any amendments or new information that may impact a participant's willingness to join the trial will prompt a revised informed consent/assent form from the Sponsor.

The informed consent/assent form must be written in clear, non-technical language to ensure understanding while detailing the trial's purpose, potential risks, benefits, and the participant's right to withdraw at any time without consequence. After obtaining consent/assent, the informed consent/assent form is stored in the Investigator's site file and made available for review.

Documentation of the informed consent/assent discussion is added to the participant's case history, and each participant receives a copy of the signed informed consent/assent form. If the informed consent/assent form is revised, re-consent/re-assent will be obtained following the same process.

16.4. Investigator Responsibilities and Documentation

Before beginning the trial, the Principal Investigator will be asked to comply with ICH E6 and Title 21 of the CFR and any local regulatory requirements by assuring responsibility for and providing the following procedures and essential documents, including, but not limited to:

- Conducting trial according to current protocol approved by appropriate IRB/IEC.
- Personally conducting trial or personally supervising Sub-Investigators and other staff involved in trial conduct.
- Ensuring all trial staff are informed of their obligations to meet the Investigator commitments in Form FDA 1572 (US) or ICH E6 and other local regulations such as financial disclosure forms.
- Reading and understanding the current version of the rademikibart IB (Edition 8 or above).
- Obtaining IRB/IEC review and approval for trial (per 21 CFR 56 [US] and ICH E6).
- Ensuring IRB/IEC provides initial and continuing review, and the approval of the trial complies with relevant local regulations (per 21 CFR 56 [US] and ICH E6); promptly informing IRB/IEC of changes in research activity or any unanticipated problems involving risk to participants.
- Making no changes to trial without approval by Sponsor and IRB/IEC review and approval (except when needed immediately to protect safety, rights, or welfare of trial participants).
- Notifying trial participants that rademikibart is investigational; obtaining informed consent/assent from each participant per relevant regulations (per 21 CFR 50 [US] and ICH E6).
- Informing Sponsor or designee of unanticipated problems AEs during trial (per 21 CFR 312.64 [US] and ICH E6); SAEs, serious AESIs, and DILI to be reported within 24 hours and nonserious AESIs within 72 hours.
- Informing Sponsor or designee of UADEs during trial (per 21 CFR 812.150 [US]) within 24 hours.
- Maintaining adequate and accurate records (per 21 CFR 312.62 [US] and ICH E6); make records available for inspection (per 21 CFR 312.68 [US] and ICH E6).
- Complying with all other pertinent requirements of 21 CFR 312 (US) and ICH E6.

- An original Investigator-signed Investigator Agreement page of the protocol
- Providing an IRB/IEC-approved informed consent/assent form, samples of site advertisements for recruitment, and any other written information regarding this trial that is to be provided to the participant or legal guardians.
- Form FDA 1572 (US) or form with equivalent information, fully executed, and all updates on a newly executed Form FDA 1572 (US) or equivalent.
- Curriculum vitae (CV) for the Principal Investigator and each Sub-Investigator will be listed on Form FDA 1572 (US) and/or ICH E6 or local equivalent. Current licensure must be noted on the CV. These will be signed and dated by the Principal Investigators and Sub-Investigators at trial start-up, indicating they are accurate and current.
- Providing financial disclosure information to allow the Sponsor to submit complete and accurate certification or disclosure statements required under 21 CFR 54 (US) or relevant local equivalent.
- Providing laboratory certifications and reference ranges for any local laboratories used by the site, in accordance with 42 CFR 493 (US) or relevant local equivalent.

17. DATA HANDLING AND RECORDKEEPING

17.1. Inspection of Records

The Sponsor will be allowed to conduct site visits to the investigation facilities for the purpose of monitoring any aspect of the trial. The Investigator agrees to allow the monitor to inspect the drug storage area, IP stocks, drug accountability records, participant charts and trial source documents, and other records relative to trial conduct.

17.2. Source Documents and Retention of Records

All trial documents and records must be maintained by the Investigator or institution for a specified period, in accordance with ICH E6, Section 8 guidelines. These documents should be stored securely to ensure they are readily accessible for review, inspection, and audit by the Sponsor, regulatory authorities, or CRO. This includes documents from before, during, and after the clinical trial. Examples include the trial protocol and any amendments, IB, financial aspects of the trial, insurance statements (if applicable), signed agreements between all parties, eCRF, delegation of authority logs, pharmacy dispensing records, drug accountability logs, AE reports, participant source data (original or certified copies), correspondence with health authorities and IRBs/IECs, informed consent/assent forms, monitoring visit logs, laboratory certifications or quality control procedures, and laboratory reference ranges. These documents should be stored in either electronic or paper format in a secure location to preserve their integrity, prevent loss, damage, or unauthorized access. Electronic records must comply with 21 CFR 11 requirements to ensure data integrity, authenticity, and accessibility.

The Investigator or Sponsor is responsible for ensuring that trial records are retained for at least 2 years after the last marketing application approval in an ICH region or until there are no pending or contemplated marketing applications in that region, or until at least 2 years have passed since the formal discontinuation of clinical development for the IP. However, these records should be kept longer if required by applicable regulatory requirements or agreed upon in the Clinical Trial Agreement. It is the Sponsor's responsibility to inform the site when these documents no longer need to be retained. According to 21 CFR 54.4(a), Investigator-related financial disclosure records must be retained for at least 2 years after the completion of the clinical trial or as specified in the Clinical Trial Agreement.

17.2.1. Financial Disclosure

Investigators are required to inform the Sponsor of all disclosable financial interests or arrangements (including those of their spouse and dependent children), prior to trial initiation at the site, at trial completion, and 1 year after trial completion in accordance with 21 CFR Part 54. In addition, the Investigator or Sub-Investigators must promptly notify the Sponsor if there are any reportable changes that occur during the previously described period.

Disclosable financial interests or arrangements, or the absence thereof will be recorded on the Financial Disclosure for Clinical Investigators Form.

Any Investigator(s) added as investigational staff to the Form FDA 1572 must complete the Financial Disclosure for Clinical Investigators Form at the start of his/her participation in the

trial. The Financial Disclosure for Clinical Investigators Form for any Investigator(s) leaving the trial prior to completion will also be obtained.

18. PUBLICATION AND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE POLICY

All information provided by the Sponsor and all data and information generated by the site as part of the trial (other than a participant's medical records) are the sole property of the Sponsor.

For clinical interventional trials in patients, the Sponsor will post trial results on websites such as <https://clinicaltrials.gov/> and <https://eudract.ema.europa.eu/> in accordance with FDA and European Union reporting rules. Regardless of trial outcome, the Sponsor commits to submit for publication results of its interventional clinical studies according to the prespecified plans for data analysis. Wherever possible, the Sponsor also plans to submit for publication the results of any nonclinical or technology studies while protecting any proprietary information.

Any publication or presentation of the results of this trial may only be made in compliance with the provisions outlined in the executed Clinical Trial Agreement. The Sponsor has developed a policy for the publication of scientific and clinical data that follows the recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), the CONSORT group and Good Publication Practice (GPP).

When the trial is completed or prematurely terminated, the Sponsor or designee will ensure a CSR is written in compliance with ICH E3 (Structure and Content of Clinical Study Reports) and submitted to the regulatory authorities, as required by the applicable regulatory requirement(s). Where required by applicable regulatory requirements, an Investigator signatory will be identified for the approval of the CSR. The Investigator will be provided reasonable access to statistical tables, listings, and figures, as well as relevant reports, and will have the opportunity to review the complete trial results.

18.1. Confidentiality

All information provided by the Sponsor and all data and information generated by the site as part of the trial (other than a participant's medical records) will be kept confidential by the Investigator and site staff. This information and data will not be used by the Investigator or other site personnel for any purpose other than conducting the trial and will not be released to any unauthorized third party without prior written approval of the Sponsor. These restrictions do not apply to the following: 1) information that becomes publicly available through no fault of the Investigator or site staff, 2) information that must be disclosed in confidence to an IRB/IEC solely for the evaluation of the trial results, 3) information that must be disclosed in order to provide appropriate medical care to a trial participant, or 4) trial results that may be published as described in the Publication and Information Disclosure Policy section. If a written contract for the conduct of the trial is executed and that contract includes confidentiality provisions inconsistent with this statement; that contract's confidentiality provisions shall apply rather than this statement, provided, however, that the confidentiality provisions in any written contract shall not be less restrictive than this statement.

The Investigator agrees to comply with all applicable national, state, and local laws and regulations relating to the privacy of participants' health information. The Investigator shall ensure that trial participants authorize the use and disclosure of protected health information in accordance with the privacy regulations of the HIPAA and in a form satisfactory to the Sponsor.

The participant's contact information will be securely stored at each clinical site for internal use during the trial. Throughout the trial, a participant's source data will only be linked to the

Sponsor's clinical trial database or documentation via a unique identification number. Copies of any participant source documents that are provided to the Sponsor must have certain personally identifiable information removed (ie, participant name, address, and other identifier fields not collected in the participant's eCRF). At the end of the trial, all records will continue to be kept in a secure location for as long a period as dictated by the IRB/IEC and institutional regulations.

To comply with ICH guidelines for GCP and to verify compliance with this protocol, the Sponsor requires that the Investigator permit its monitor or designee's monitor, representatives from any regulatory authority, the Sponsor's designated auditors, and the appropriate IRB/IECs to review the participant's original medical records (source data or documents), including, but not limited to, clinical laboratory test result reports, ECG reports, admission and discharge summaries for hospital admissions occurring during a participant's trial participation, and autopsy reports. Access to a participant's original medical records requires the specific authorization by the participant as part of the informed consent/assent process.

19. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENTS

The SoA for participants who complete the planned treatment is presented in [Table 5](#).

Table 5: Schedule of Assessments

Procedures	Screening		Randomization/ Baseline/ Administration	Post-IP Treatment Assessment						Follow-up
	V1a ^a	V1b ^{a,b}		V2 ^c	V3 ^d	V4 ^d	V5	V6	V7 ^e	
DAY	Up to 26 Weeks to D-1	Up to 48 hours to D0	0	1	2	3	7 ±2 days	14 ±2 days	28 ±3 days	56 ±3 days (EOT)
WEEK							1	2	4	8
Written informed consent/assent and HIPAA	X	X ^a								
Demographics	X	X ^a								
Previous medical and surgical history ^f	X	X ^a								
Chest X-ray or CT ^g		X								
Verify eligibility criteria	X	X	X							
Smoking status review	X	X					X		X	X
Prior & concomitant medications	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
e-diary registration & training			X ^h							
Review e-diary						X	X		X	X
Interventional Treatment/Rescue Medication										
Randomization and assignment of trial product kit number			X							
IP administration			X							
Review rescue medication use			X	X	X	X	X		X	X

Procedures	Screening		Randomization/ Baseline/ Administration	Post-IP Treatment Assessment						Follow-up
	V1a ^a	V1b ^{a,b}		V2 ^c	V3 ^d	V4 ^d	V5	V6	V7 ^e	
VISIT										
DAY	Up to 26 Weeks to D-1	Up to 48 hours to D0	0	1	2	3	7 ±2 days	14 ±2 days	28 ±3 days	56 ±3 days (EOT)
WEEK							1	2	4	8
Safety										
Complete physical examination ⁱ	X	X								X
Symptom-directed physical examination ⁱ			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Assess injection site(s) ^j			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Body weight, height ^k	X	X							X	X
Vital signs, oxygen saturation ^l	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
ECG (12-lead) ^m	X	X					X			X
Hematology, clinical chemistry, urinalysis ⁿ	X	X ^o	X ^o				X		X	X
Pregnancy (β-hCG blood) test ^p	X	X								
Urine pregnancy test ^q			X						X	X
AE reporting, including SAEs and AESIs	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PK/Immunogenicity/Pharmacodynamics/Immunogenicity										
Plasma PK samples for rademikibart concentration ^r			X			X	X		X	X
Blood sample for			X				X		X	X

Note: Participants will be monitored at the trial site for a minimum of 2 hours after IP administration.

- a. According to the timepoint at which participants consent/assent to the trial, the Screening procedure will be as follows:
 - For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial while in a stable condition, they should complete Visit 1a after providing written informed consent/assent and eligibility has been verified. Investigators or their designees will contact the participants by telephone approximately every 4 weeks after Visit 1a to collect any changes in concomitant medications and any SAEs. If a participant's symptoms worsen, such as increased breathlessness, cough, wheeze, chest tightness, this may indicate that the participant is experiencing an exacerbation. Participants should be reminded to contact the site to report worsening symptoms at the onset of the exacerbation, before taking any medication if not needed urgently. However, participants must not delay treatment (eg, use prescribed rescue therapies or seek emergency treatment) if urgently needed. They should then visit the ED or urgent healthcare clinic associated with the trial center to complete V1b (exacerbation visit) and further verify eligibility criteria. Written informed consent/assent, HIPAA authorization, participant demographics, and previous medical and surgical history will not be collected again at V1b. However, medical conditions that started after V1a should be collected.
 - For participants who consent/assent to participate in the trial during the urgent healthcare visit for an acute asthma exacerbation, V1b should be completed immediately after providing written informed consent/assent and verifying eligibility.
- b. The Screening Period after Screening V1b is up to 48 hours. Any procedures completed as part of the assessment and treatment of the asthma exacerbation may be used for Screening (eg, hematology, clinical chemistry, urinalysis, β -hCG if applicable, spirometry, chest X-ray or CT, ECG). Note that the maximum combined number of chest X-rays and/or CT scans must not exceed 2 for screening.
- c. Randomization/Baseline/Administration Visit is defined as Day 0 (Visit 2). Screening V1b and Day 0 (Visit 2) may be the same day or up to 48 hours apart. If V1b and Day 0 (V2) are the same day, then FeNO and post-BD spirometry do not need to be repeated. For ECG, complete physical exam, and height/weight the V1b assessment will serve as Baseline. All assessments at Visit 2 (Day 0) are to be conducted pre-IP dose administration with the exception of the assessment of SC injection sites and post-IP administration vital sign measurements.
- d. V3 and V4 are for participants who are hospitalized for current acute asthma exacerbation. Hospitalized participants discharged from the hospital prior to V3 or V4, or participants that were not hospitalized, should return to the trial site or have a home health care visit for spirometry assessments at Visit 3 and Visit 4 when feasible.
- e. At V7, participants will be contacted by telephone.
- f. Medical history includes asthma history, asthma exacerbation history, past and current medical conditions (including cardiovascular and cerebrovascular medical history, surgical history), and substance usage, etc.
- g. A chest X-ray (or CT scan), for purposes of determining participant eligibility, will be performed at Screening Visit 1b if clinically indicated or if no chest imaging (X-ray or CT) was performed at Visit 1a or is not available within the previous year.
- h. Participants will be trained on home use of the e-diary at Visit 2 and throughout the trial on an as needed basis. The participant will respond to symptom scale questions and record the use of established controller inhalation therapy and inhalations of short-acting rescue medications via the e-diary twice daily at home.
- i. A complete physical examination will cover general appearance, dermatological, head, ears, eyes, nose, throat, respiratory, cardiovascular, abdominal, neurological, musculoskeletal, and lymphatic body systems. A complete physical examination will be conducted during Screening Visits 1a and 1b, and the EOT visit. At all other visits, a symptom-directed physical examination may be conducted at the discretion of the Investigator.
- j. Participants will be monitored for a minimum of 2 hours after IP administration. Injection sites will be assessed at 30 minutes (± 10 minutes) post-injection and then at 2 hours (± 30 minutes) post-injection
- k. Height will only be measured at Visit 1a or Visit 1b. The participant's height must be measured without shoes and weight must be determined in indoor clothing and without shoes.
- l. Vital signs, including body temperature, respiratory rate (breath per minute), pulse (beats per minute), and blood pressure (mmHg), will be measured in the seated position following at least 5 minutes rest. BP and pulse measurements will be assessed with a completely automated device whenever possible. Manual techniques will be used only if an automated device is not available. Vital signs will be measured prior to the spirometry maneuvers. Oxygen saturation will be measured by using pulse oximeter. Participants will be monitored for a minimum of 2 hours after IP administration. Vital signs will be performed pre-injection, at 30 minutes (± 10 minutes) post-injection and then at 1 hours (± 10 minutes) and 2 hours (± 15 minutes) post-injection.
- m. 12-lead ECG measurements are collected and assessed at local sites. Measurements will be performed with the participant resting in a supine position for approximately 5 minutes before each reading and should be carried out after measurement of vital signs and before spirometry. ECGs should be performed before blood is drawn during visits requiring blood draws.
- n. Hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis parameters are provided in [Appendix C](#).

- o. Screening V1b: Due to the short screening window, local laboratory results will be used for the purpose of determining the participant's eligibility for randomization. Local laboratory samples should be taken at Screening V1b and the results should be received and reviewed prior to randomization to allow review of the applicable eligibility criteria. If local laboratory results from the assessment of the current asthma exacerbation are already available within 48 hours prior to Screening V1b, these results can be used for determination of participant's eligibility. For all randomized participants, a sample for central laboratory analysis should be obtained before IP administration on Day 0 as baseline.
- p. For women of childbearing potential, must be negative prior to IP administration. Analyzed at a local laboratory.
- q. For women of childbearing potential, analyzed at a local laboratory. V2 collection is only required if Screening V1b and the Baseline Visit (Day 0) are not on the same day.
- r. On Day 0, PK and ADA/nAb samples will be collected prior to administration of IP. On days when PK and ADA/nAb sample collection are coinciding, the samples can be taken at the same time.
- s. Spirometry assessments include FEV₁, and FVC (both the absolute measurement, and the percentage of predicted normal value will be recorded). Spirometry will be performed preferably in the morning; afternoon is allowable in the exceptional circumstance when morning spirometry cannot be performed. If the participant can tolerate BD washout, at Visit 5, Visit 6, Visit 8, Visit 9, and on the day of discharge (only for hospitalized participants), pre-BD spirometry will be performed prior to administering maintenance asthma medication(s). Participants should withhold their usual maintenance therapies on the day(s) when pre-BD spirometry is being performed as below: Withholding the last dose of salbutamol/albuterol or levosalbutamol/levalbuterol for at least 6 hours; withholding the last dose of LABA for at least 12 hours (ultra-long-acting LABA like vilanterol should be withheld for at least 24 hours); withholding the last dose of LAMA for at least 24 hours. This washout period will be verified before performing the measurements.
- t. If the participant's condition allows, post-BD FEV₁ will be conducted approximately 15 to 30 minutes after the participant administers 4 inhalations of albuterol (salbutamol) via MDI (ie, total of 400 mcg) using a spacer device. It is anticipated that participants who are randomized into the trial will receive albuterol as part of the routine treatment of asthma exacerbation during V2. In this case, spirometry should be scheduled to coincide with this treatment to allow post-BD recordings to be measured.
- u. Spirometry assessments at Visit 3 and Visit 4 should be performed in participants who were hospitalized for the index asthma exacerbation unless, in the opinion of the investigator or treating physician, the hospitalized participant is not well enough to do so. Hospitalized participants discharged from the hospital prior to Visit 3 and/or Visit 4, and participants who were not hospitalized, should be encouraged to return to the trial site or have a home health care visit for spirometry assessments at Visit 3 and Visit 4.
- v. Home peak flow testing for PEF will be performed twice daily (morning and evening) from V2 through V9. Participants should perform peak flow testing every morning and evening while sitting or standing prior to using albuterol (if needed) or other medications for their asthma. Participants should perform peak flow testing in the same manner, either sitting or standing, throughout the trial.
- w. ACQ-5 will be captured electronically using a handheld device at the site visits or paper questionnaires at the telephone call visit (V7). Electronic upload of a participant completed paper questionnaire is permitted when use of the electronic questionnaire is not possible.

APPENDIX B. CONTRACEPTION AND PREGNANCY TESTING

Definition Related to Childbearing Potential

Women of Childbearing Potential (WOCBP) must use highly effective birth control throughout the trial including the follow-up period.

Women in the following categories are considered WOCBP (fertile):

1. Following menarche

- From the time of menarche until becoming postmenopausal unless permanently sterile (see below).
- A postmenopausal state is defined as no menses for 12 months without an alternative medical cause.
 - A high follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) level in the postmenopausal range may be used to confirm a postmenopausal state in women not using hormonal contraception or hormonal replacement therapy (HRT). However, in the absence of 12 months of amenorrhea, confirmation with more than 1 FSH measurement is required.
 - Females on HRT and whose menopausal status is in doubt will be required to use one of the nonestrogen hormonal highly effective contraception methods if they wish to continue their HRT during the trial. Otherwise, they must discontinue HRT to allow confirmation of postmenopausal status before trial enrollment.
- Permanent sterilization methods (for the purpose of this trial) include:
 - Documented hysterectomy.
 - Documented bilateral salpingectomy.
 - Documented bilateral oophorectomy.
 - For individuals with permanent infertility due to an alternate medical cause other than the above, (eg, Mullerian agenesis, androgen insensitivity, gonadal dysgenesis), Investigator discretion should be applied to determining trial entry.

NOTE: Documentation can come from the site personnel's review of the participant's medical records, medical examination, or medical history interview.

- If fertility is unclear (eg, amenorrhea in adolescents or athletes) and a menstrual cycle cannot be confirmed before first dose of IP, additional evaluation should be considered.

CONTRACEPTIVES^a ALLOWED DURING THE TRIAL INCLUDE:
Highly Effective Methods^b That Have Low User Dependency <i>Failure rate of <1% per year when used consistently and correctly.</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implantable progestogen-only hormone contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation^c
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intrauterine device (IUD)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intrauterine hormone-releasing system (IUS)^c
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bilateral tubal occlusion
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Azoospermic partner (vasectomized or due to a medical cause) <i>Azoospermia is a highly effective contraceptive method provided that the partner is the sole sexual partner of the woman of childbearing potential and the absence of sperm has been confirmed. If not, an additional highly effective method of contraception should be used. Spermatogenesis cycle is approximately 90 days.</i>
Highly Effective Methods^b That Are User Dependent <i>Failure rate of <1% per year when used consistently and correctly.</i>
<p>Combined (estrogen- and progestogen-containing) hormonal contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation^c</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Oral – Intravaginal – Transdermal – Injectable
<p>Progestogen-only hormone contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation^c</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Oral – Injectable
<p>Sexual abstinence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Sexual abstinence is considered a highly effective method only if defined as refraining from heterosexual intercourse during the entire period of risk associated with the IP. The reliability of sexual abstinence needs to be evaluated in relation to the duration of the trial and the preferred and usual lifestyle of the participant.)
CONTRACEPTIVES NOT ALLOWED DURING THE TRIAL INCLUDE:
Effective Methods^d That Are Not Considered Highly Effective <i>Failure rate of $\geq 1\%$ per year when used consistently and correctly.</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progestogen-only oral hormonal contraception where inhibition of ovulation is not the primary mode of action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Male or female condom with or without spermicide
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cervical cap, diaphragm, or sponge with spermicide
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A combination of male condom with either cervical cap, diaphragm, or sponge with spermicide (double-barrier methods)^c
<p>^a Contraceptive use by men or women should be consistent with local regulations regarding the use of contraceptive methods for those participating in clinical studies.</p> <p>^b Failure rate of <1% per year when used consistently and correctly. Typical use failure rates differ from those when used consistently and correctly.</p>

^c Male condoms must be used in addition to hormonal contraception. If locally required, in accordance with Clinical Trial Facilitation Group guidelines, acceptable contraceptive methods are limited to those which inhibit ovulation as the primary mode of action.

^d Considered effective, but not highly effective - failure rate of $\geq 1\%$ per year.

NOTE: Periodic abstinence (calendar, symptothermal, postovulation methods), withdrawal (coitus interruptus), spermicides only, and lactational amenorrhea method (LAM) are not acceptable methods of contraception. Male condom and female condom should not be used together (due to risk of failure from friction).

APPENDIX C. CLINICAL SAFETY LABORATORY ASSESSMENTS

Laboratory Tests	Parameters	
Hematology	Platelet count	
	Red blood cell (RBC) count	
	White blood cell (WBC) count with differential: neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils, basophils	
	Hemoglobin	
	Hematocrit	
Clinical Chemistry	Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)	Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)
	Potassium	Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)
	Creatinine	Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)
	Sodium	Gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT)
	Calcium	Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)
	Glucose	Total and direct bilirubin
	Chloride	Total protein
	Bicarbonate	Total cholesterol
	Uric acid	
	Albumin	
	C-reactive protein ^a	
	Creatine Phosphokinase (CPK)	
	Triglycerides	
	Routine Urinalysis	Specific gravity pH, glucose, protein, blood, ketones, bilirubin, urobilinogen, nitrite, leukocyte esterase Microscopic examination (if blood or protein is abnormal)
Pregnancy Testing	Highly sensitive human chorionic gonadotropin pregnancy test (as needed for women of childbearing potential) (serum at Screening only, urine at subsequent visits)	

^a C-reactive protein is a pharmacodynamic marker.

APPENDIX D. INJECTION SITE REACTION ASSESSMENT TOOL

The Injection Site Assessment Tool provided in [Table 6](#) is provided as an aid in the assessment of common symptoms of injection site reaction. The Investigator may use their own judgement and description of symptoms in the assessment of injection sites following administration of IP. An injection site reaction should be reported as an AE if the Investigator judges the reaction as clinically significant. A parameter grade of less than 2 is generally considered not clinically significant but the Investigator should use their judgement in the reporting of AEs. See [Section 11.2.5](#).


Table 6: Injection Site Reaction Assessment

Parameter	Grade	Description
Erythema	0	None
	1	Very slight (barely perceptible)
	2	Slight (well defined)
	3	Moderate
	4	Severe (beet redness) to slight eschar formation (injuries in depth)
Drainage	0	None
	1	Serous
	2	Serosanguinous
	3	Bloody
	4	Purulent
Edema	0	None
	1	Very slight (barely perceptible)
	2	Slight (edges well defined)
	3	Moderate (raised approximately 1 mm)
	4	Severe (raised >1 mm and beyond areas of exposure)
Induration	0	None
	1	Minimal
	2	Mild (spongy tissue)
	3	Moderate (firm, warm)
	4	Severe (hard, red, hot, crepitus)
Hematoma	0	None
	1	Minimal
	2	Mild
	3	Moderate
	4	Severe

**APPENDIX E. ASTHMA CONTROL QUESTIONNAIRE (ACQ)-5
SCORE**

Due to copyright restrictions, refer to publication ([Juniper et al. 1999](#)) for details.

APPENDIX F. MODIFIED BORG DYSPNEA SCALE



APPENDIX G. LIST OF PROHIBITED LIVE ATTENUATED VACCINES

The following list of prohibited live attenuated vaccines is indicative and is not exhaustive:

- Bacillus Calmette-Guérin antituberculosis vaccine.
- Chickenpox (Varicella).
- Intranasal influenza (FluMist-Influenza); inactive influenza vaccine delivered by injection is permitted.
- Measles (Rubeola).
- Measles-mumps-rubella combination.
- Measles-mumps-rubella-varicella combination.
- Mumps.
- Oral polio (Sabin).
- Oral typhoid.
- Rotavirus.
- Rubella.
- Smallpox (Vaccinia).
- Zostavax (for shingles; note that Shingrix is *not* live).
- Yellow fever.
- Japanese Encephalitis Vaccine (only some formulations, eg, Imojev).

APPENDIX H. ADDITIONAL SAFETY REPORTING DEFINITIONS FOR ADVERSE EVENTS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Table 7: List of Opportunistic Infections

<p>The following is a list of infections that are indicative of opportunistic infections, but the list is not exhaustive. Refer to Winthrop et al. 2015 for additional information (Winthrop KL et al. 2015).</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aspergillosis • Blastomycosis dermatitidis (endemic in the south-eastern and south-central states US, along Mississippi and Ohio Rivers) • Candidiasis (only systemic or extensive mucosal or cutaneous candidiasis) • Coccidioides immitis (endemic south-western US and Central and South America) • Cryptococcus • Cytomegalovirus • Herpes simplex (disseminated) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Herpes zoster (disseminated; ophthalmic; involvement of 2 or more dermatomes) • Histoplasmosis (pulmonary or disseminated; most common tropical areas Tennessee-Ohio-Mississippi river basins) • Listeriosis • Mycobacterium tuberculosis • Mycobacterium avium • Nontuberculous mycobacterium • Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP)

Table 8: Definition of Anaphylaxis

<p>Anaphylaxis is highly likely when <u>any</u> of the following 3 criteria are met:</p>
<p>1. Acute onset (minutes to several hours) of certain illness with involvement of the skin or mucosal tissue, or both (eg, generalized urticaria, pruritus or flushing, swollen lips-tongue-uvula) and associated with at least one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Respiratory compromise (eg, dyspnoea, wheeze-bronchospasm, stridor, PEF decreased, hypoxemia). b. Reduced blood pressure (BP) or associated symptoms of end-organ dysfunction (eg, hypotonia [collapse], syncope, incontinence).
<p>2. Two or more of the following that occur after exposure to a likely allergen for that patient (minutes to several hours):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Involvement of the skin-mucosal tissue (eg, generalized urticaria, pruritus - flushing, swollen lips-tongue-uvula). b. Respiratory compromise (eg, dyspnea, wheeze-bronchospasm, stridor, PEF decreased, hypoxemia). c. Reduced BP or associated symptoms (eg, hypotonia (collapse), syncope, incontinence). d. Persistent gastrointestinal symptoms (eg, abdominal colic, vomiting).
<p>3. Reduced BP after exposure to known allergen for that patient (minutes to several hours); systolic blood pressure (SBP) lower than 90 mmHg or reduction from baseline greater than 30%.</p>
<p>Source: (Sampson et al. 2006)</p>

APPENDIX I. DRUG-INDUCED LIVER INJURY

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) refers to a liver injury induced by various chemical drugs, biological products, herbal medicines and their metabolites or even excipients.

1. Criteria for fulfillment of Hy's Law

Hy's Law can facilitate assessment of severe liver injury predominated by hepatocellular injury. It specifically refers to cases that meet all of the following 3 criteria:

For participants with normal liver function tests at baseline:

1. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) $\geq 3 \times$ ULN during treatment period;
2. Total bilirubin $> 2 \times$ ULN during treatment period; without cholestasis at baseline (serum alkaline phosphatase [ALP] increased);
3. No other identifiable causes explaining the simultaneous elevation of aminotransferases and total bilirubin, such as viral hepatitis A, B, C or E or other acute liver diseases, or concomitant use of other drugs that may induce liver injury.

2. Retest and confirmation

For participants with normal liver biochemistry parameters at baseline, if ALT or AST $> 3 \times$ ULN is detected, the liver-related biochemistry parameters should be retested within 48 to 72 hours whenever possible to confirm and assess the trend of changes. When ALT or AST is significantly elevated above $3 \times$ ULN (eg, ALT or AST increased to $> 5 \times$ ULN) or total bilirubin is significantly elevated above $2 \times$ ULN, immediate retest of the liver biochemistry parameters is recommended.

For participants with abnormal liver biochemistry parameters at baseline, a comprehensive evaluation should be made taking into account the above criteria, with reference to the following criteria as recommended:

1. ALT or AST $\geq 3 \times$ baseline value during treatment period;
2. An increase in total bilirubin by $1 \times$ ULN during treatment period; without cholestasis at baseline (serum ALP increased);
3. No other identifiable causes explaining the simultaneous elevation of aminotransferases and total bilirubin, such as viral hepatitis A, B, C or E or other acute liver diseases, or concomitant use of other drugs that may induce liver injury.

In addition to repeated testing of AST and ALT, liver biochemistry parameters should also include albumin, creatine kinase, total bilirubin, direct and indirect bilirubin, gamma-glutamyltransferase, prothrombin time/international normalized ratio, and alkaline phosphatase. A detailed medical history and relevant information collection are recommended, including: a history of alcohol use, acetaminophen, recreational drugs, various supplements, family medical history, travel history, history of contact with patients with jaundice, history of surgery, blood transfusion, liver disease or allergic diseases, history of possible occupational chemical exposure, etc. Further examinations can also include the detection of hepatitis A, B, C, D and E as well as imaging of the hepatobiliary system (such as CT, ultrasound).

All cases confirmed by repeat testing to meet the above laboratory criteria for AST/ALT and total bilirubin increased should be considered as a suspected DILI (Hy's Law) case if no other causes of liver function test abnormalities have been identified. These suspected DILI (Hy's Law) cases should be reported to the Sponsor within 24 hours.

A suspected DILI (Hy's Law) case will become a confirmed case only after all the results of the reasonable tests have been available and other etiologies have been excluded.

3. Close observation

Participants with confirmed liver injury should be closely monitored, including collecting a more detailed medical history and dynamically observing changes in the liver biochemistry parameters and coagulation function. Based on the participant's condition, liver biochemical parameters and coagulation function should be retested. Initially, liver function tests should be repeated 2 to 3 times per week. If the abnormalities stabilize or the investigational product has been discontinued and the participant is asymptomatic, the frequency of repeat testing can be reduced to once per week.

4. Follow-up

Cases with liver injury should be followed up until all abnormal parameters have recovered and stabilized at the normal or baseline level, or a relevant clinical outcome event (eg, chronic liver injury, cirrhosis, liver failure, liver transplant, death) has occurred. It should be noted that liver injury may continue evolving even after discontinuation of the related drug.

APPENDIX J. MAINTENANCE THERAPY EQUIVALENCE

Table 9: Estimated Daily Dose for Inhaled Corticosteroid

Adults and Adolescents (12 years and older)	Total daily ICS dose (mcg)		
	Low	Medium	High
Inhaled Corticosteroid (Alone or in Combination with LABA)			
Beclometasone dipropionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	200-500	>500-1000	>1000
Beclometasone dipropionate (DPI or pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	100-200	>200-400	>400
Budesonide (DPI, or pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	200-400	>400-800	>800
Ciclesonide (pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	80-160	>160-320	>320
Fluticasone furoate (DPI)	100		200
Fluticasone propionate (DPI)	100-250	>250-500	>500
Fluticasone propionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	100-250	>250-500	>500
Mometasone furoate (DPI)	Depends on DPI device - see product information		
Mometasone furoate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	200-400		>400

DPI = dry powder inhaler; HFA = hydrofluoroalkane; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; LABA = long-acting beta agonist; pMDI = pressurized metered-dose inhaler.

APPENDIX K. PROTOCOL VERSION HISTORY

Protocol Version	Date	Type of Amendment	Region(s) Impacted
1.0	20 Feb 2025	N/A	N/A
1.1	20 Mar 2025	Nonsubstantial	Global
2.0	03 Jul 2025	Substantial	Global
2.1	03 Sep 2025	Nonsubstantial	United Kingdom
3.0	24 Jul 2025	Substantial	Global
3.1	23 Sep 2025	Nonsubstantial	Global

N/A = not applicable

20. REFERENCES

- Bafadhel M, McKenna S, Terry S, Mistry V, Pancholi M, Venge P, Lomas DA, Barer MR, Johnston SL, Pavord ID, et al. 2012. Blood eosinophils to direct corticosteroid treatment of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 186(1):48–55. doi:10.1164/rccm.201108-1553OC.
- Beckmann MP, Schooley KA, Gallis B, Vanden Bos T, Friend D, Alpert AR, Raunio R, Prickett KS, Baker PE, Park LS. 1990. Monoclonal antibodies block murine IL-4 receptor function. *J Immunol*. 144(11):4212–4217. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.144.11.4212.
- Boonpiyathad T, Sözener ZC, Satitsuksanoa P, Akdis CA. 2019. Immunologic mechanisms in asthma. *Semin Immunol*. 46:101333. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2019.101333.
- Camargo CA Jr, Smithline HA, Malice MP, Green SA, Reiss TF. 2003. A randomized controlled trial of intravenous montelukast in acute asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 167(4):528–33. doi:10.1164/rccm.200208-802OC.
- Castro M., Corren J, Pavord ID, Maspero J, Wenzel S, Rabe KF, Busse WW, Ford L, Sher L, FitzGerald JM, et al. 2018. Dupilumab Efficacy and Safety in Moderate-to-Severe Uncontrolled Asthma. *N Engl J Med*. 378(26):2486–2496. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1804092.
- Castro Mario, Corren J, Pavord ID, Maspero J, Wenzel S, Rabe KF, Busse WW, Ford L, Sher L, FitzGerald JM, et al. 2018. Dupilumab Efficacy and Safety in Moderate-to-Severe Uncontrolled Asthma. *N Engl J Med*. 378(26):2486–2496. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1804092.
- Cavalcante TMC, Diccini S, Barbosa DA, Bittencourt ARC. 2008. The use of Borg’s modified scale in asthma crises. *Acta Paul Enferm*. 21(3):466–73.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. 2020. Most Recent National Asthma Data. Asthma. [accessed 2025 Dec 31]. https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_national_asthma_data.htm.
- Centre of Excellence in Severe Asthma. 2016. Innovative Solutions for Severe Asthma. Centre of Excellence in Severe Asthma.
- Denlinger LC, Phillips BR, Ramratnam S, Ross K, Bhakta NR, Cardet JC, Castro M, Peters SP, Phipatanakul W, Aujla S, et al. 2017. Inflammatory and Comorbid Features of Patients with Severe Asthma and Frequent Exacerbations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 195(3):302–313. doi:10.1164/rccm.201602-0419OC.
- Dupixent EU SmPC [package insert]. Sanofi Winthrop Industrie: Dupixent EU SmPC; 2024.
- Dupixent USPI [package insert]. Sanofi and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals: Dupixent USPI; 2024.
- Fahy JV. 2015. Type 2 inflammation in asthma--present in most, absent in many. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 15(1):57–65. doi:10.1038/nri3786.
- FitzGerald, Bleeker, Nair, Korn, Ohta, Lommatzsch, Ferguson, Busse, Barker, Sproule, et al. 2016. Benralizumab, an anti-interleukin-5 receptor α monoclonal antibody, as add-on treatment for patients with severe, uncontrolled, eosinophilic asthma (CALIMA): a

- randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 388(10056):2128–2141. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31322-8.
- Food and Drug Administration. 2009 Jul 1. Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Premarketing Clinical Evaluation. FDA Guidance. [accessed 2025 Jun 22]. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/drug-induced-liver-injury-premarketing-clinical-evaluation>.
- Global Initiative for Asthma [GINA]. 2024. 2024 Summary Guide for Asthma Management and Prevention: For Adults, Adolescents, and Children 6-11 Years.
- Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL, Hallstrand TS, Kaminsky DA, McCarthy K, McCormack MC, et al. 2019. Standardization of Spirometry 2019 Update. An Official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Technical Statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 200(8):e70–e88. doi:10.1164/rccm.201908-1590ST.
- House SL, Matsuda K, O’Brien G, Makhay M, Iwaki Y, Ferguson I, Lovato LM, Lewis LM. 2015. Efficacy of a new intravenous β_2 -adrenergic agonist (bedoradrine, MN-221) for patients with an acute exacerbation of asthma. *Respir Med*. 109(10):1268–73. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2015.08.003.
- Israel E, Reddel HK. 2017. Severe and Difficult-to-Treat Asthma in Adults. *N Engl J Med*. 377(10):965–976. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1608969.
- Juniper EF, Bousquet J, Abetz L, Bateman ED. 2006. Identifying “well-controlled” and “not well-controlled” asthma using the Asthma Control Questionnaire. *Respir Med*. 100(4):616–21. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2005.08.012.
- Juniper EF, O’Byrne PM, Guyatt GH, Ferrie PJ, King DR. 1999. Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure asthma control. *Eur Respir J*. 14(4):902–7. doi:10.1034/j.1399-3003.1999.14d29.x.
- Kendrick KR, Baxi SC, Smith RM. 2000. Usefulness of the modified 0-10 Borg scale in assessing the degree of dyspnea in patients with COPD and asthma. *J Emerg Nurs*. 26(3):216–22. doi:10.1016/s0099-1767(00)90093-x.
- Kerwin EM, Guo J, Adivikolanu R, Longphre M, Wang J, Yun J, Pan W, Wei Z, Collazo R. 2024. Improved Lung Function and Asthma Control Observed With Rademikibart in Patients With Moderate-to-Severe Uncontrolled Asthma (CBP-201-WW002). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 209(1_MeetingAbstracts):A7003. doi:10.1164/ajrccm-conference.2024.209.1_MeetingAbstracts.A7003.
- Lambrecht BN, Hammad H, Fahy JV. 2019. The Cytokines of Asthma. *Immunity*. 50(4):975–991. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.018.
- Levy BD, Noel PJ, Freemer MM, Cloutier MM, Georas SN, Jarjour NN, Ober C, Woodruff PG, Barnes KC, Bender BG, et al. 2015. Future Research Directions in Asthma. An NHLBI Working Group Report. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 192(11):1366–72. doi:10.1164/rccm.201505-0963WS.

- Mador MJ, Rodis A, Magalang UJ. 1995. Reproducibility of Borg scale measurements of dyspnea during exercise in patients with COPD. *Chest*. 107(6):1590–7. doi:10.1378/chest.107.6.1590.
- Maspero J, Adir Y, Al-Ahmad M, Celis-Preciado CA, Colodenco FD, Giavina-Bianchi P, Lababidi H, Ledanois O, Mahoub B, Perng DW, et al. 2022. Type 2 inflammation in asthma and other airway diseases. *ERJ Open Res*. 8(3). doi:10.1183/23120541.00576-2021.
- Mayers I, Randhawa A, Qian C, Talukdar M, Soliman M, Jayasingh P, Johnston K, Bhutani M. 2023. Asthma-related emergency admissions and associated healthcare resource use in Alberta, Canada. *BMJ Open Respir Res*. 10(1):E1934. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2023-001934.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, Crapo R, Enright P, van der Grinten CP, Gustafsson P, et al. 2005. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J*. 26(2):319–38. doi:10.1183/09031936.05.00034805.
- Mogensen I, Alving K, Bjerg A, Borres MP, Hedlin G, Sommar J, Dahlén SE, Janson C, Malinovschi A. 2016. Simultaneously elevated exhaled nitric oxide and serum-eosinophil cationic protein relate to recent asthma events in asthmatics in a cross-sectional population-based study. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 46(12):1540–1548. doi:10.1111/cea.12792.
- Moore WC, Meyers DA, Wenzel SE, Teague WG, Li H, Li X, D’Agostino R Jr, Castro M, Curran-Everett D, Fitzpatrick AM, et al. 2010. Identification of asthma phenotypes using cluster analysis in the Severe Asthma Research Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 181(4):315–23. doi:10.1164/rccm.200906-0896OC.
- Mortimer K, Lesosky M, García-Marcos L, Asher MI, Pearce N, Ellwood E, Bissell K, El Sony A, Ellwood P, Marks GB, et al. 2022. The burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in adults in 17 countries: GAN Phase I study. *Eur Respir J*. 60(3):2102865. doi:10.1183/13993003.02865-2021.
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. 2007. Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. .
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. 2020. 2020 Focused Updates to the Asthma Management Guidelines. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
- Nowak RM, Parker JM, Silverman RA, Rowe BH, Smithline H, Khan F, Fiening JP, Kim K, Molfino NA. 2015. A randomized trial of benralizumab, an antiinterleukin 5 receptor α monoclonal antibody, after acute asthma. *Am J Emerg Med*. 33(1):14–20. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2014.09.036.
- Olin JT, Wechsler ME. 2014. Asthma: pathogenesis and novel drugs for treatment. *BMJ*. 349(nov24 8):G5517. doi:10.1136/bmj.g5517.
- Patel N, Singh S, Desai R, Desai A, Nabeel M, Parikh N, Singh G, Patel S, Parikh R, Mahajan S. 2022. Thirty-day unplanned readmission in hospitalised asthma patients in the USA. *Postgrad Med J*. 98(1165):830–836. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2021-140735.
- Ramakrishnan S, Russell REK, Mahmood HR, Krassowska K, Melhorn J, Mwasuku C, Pavord ID, Bermejo-Sanchez L, Howell I, Mahdi M, et al. 2024. Treating eosinophilic exacerbations of asthma and COPD with benralizumab (ABRA): a double-blind, double-dummy, active

- placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet Respir Med*. doi:10.1016/s2213-2600(24)00299-6.
- Ray A, Raundhal M, Oriss TB, Ray P, Wenzel SE. 2016. Current concepts of severe asthma. *J Clin Invest*. 126(7):2394–403. doi:10.1172/jci84144.
- Ries AL. 2005. Minimally clinically important difference for the UCSD Shortness of Breath Questionnaire, Borg Scale, and Visual Analog Scale. *Copd*. 2(1):105–10. doi:10.1081/copd-200050655.
- Sampson HA, Munoz-Furlong A, Campbell RL, Adkinson NF, Bock SA, Branum A, Brown SGA, Camargo CA, Cydulka R, Galli SJ, et al. 2006. Second symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: Summary report-Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network symposium. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 117(2):391–397. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2005.12.1303.
- Ulrik CS, Frederiksen J. 1995. Mortality and markers of risk of asthma death among 1,075 outpatients with asthma. *Chest*. 108(1):10–5. doi:10.1378/chest.108.1.10.
- Wagener AH, de Nijs SB, Lutter R, Sousa AR, Weersink EJ, Bel EH, Sterk PJ. 2015. External validation of blood eosinophils, FE(NO) and serum periostin as surrogates for sputum eosinophils in asthma. *Thorax*. 70(2):115–20. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205634.
- Wilson RC, Jones PW. 1989. A comparison of the visual analogue scale and modified Borg scale for the measurement of dyspnoea during exercise. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 76(3):277–82. doi:10.1042/cs0760277.
- Winthrop KL, Novosad SA, Baddley JW, et al. 2015. Opportunistic infections and biologic therapies in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: consensus recommendations for infection reporting during clinical trials and postmarketing surveillance. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 74(12):2107–2116. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-207841.